



GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

For

**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
(MGVCL)**

Case No. 1372 of 2013

29th April 2014

6th Floor, GIFT ONE, Road 5C, GIFT CITY
Gandhinagar-382 335 (Gujarat), INDIA
Phone: +91-79-23602000 Fax: +91-79-23602054/55
E-mail: gerc@gercin.org : Website www.gercin.org



सत्यमेव जयते

**GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
(GERC)**

GANDHINAGAR

Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

For

**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
(MGVCL)**

Case No. 1372 of 2013

29th April 2014

CONTENTS

1. Background and Brief History	2
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL).....	2
1.3 Commission's Orders for the second Control period.....	3
1.4 Admission of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process	4
1.5 Contents of this Order.....	5
1.6 Approach of this Order.....	6
2. A Summary of MGVCL's Petition	7
2.1 Actuals for FY 2012-13 Submitted by MGVCL.....	7
2.2 Summary of Projected Revenue Gap for FY 2014-15.....	7
2.3 MGVCL's request to the Commission:	8
3. Brief outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and the Commission's View	9
3.0 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observation	9
3.1 Common Suggestions/objections	9
3.2 Suggestions/objections pertaining to MGVCL.....	26
4. Truing up for FY 2012-13	34
4.1 Energy sales.....	34
4.2 Distribution losses	35
4.3 Energy Requirement.....	36
4.4 Power purchase cost.....	37
4.4.1 Gains / losses due to distribution losses	39
4.5 Fixed Charges	40
4.5.1 Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for FY 2012-13	40
4.5.2 Employee Cost	42
4.5.3 Repairs and Maintenance (R&M) Expenses.....	43
4.5.4 Administration and General (A&G) Expenses	44



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

4.5.5 Other Debits.....	44
4.5.6 Extraordinary Items.....	45
4.5.7 Net prior period expenses / (income)	45
4.5.8 Other expenses capitalised.....	45
4.5.9 Capital expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX	47
4.5.10 Depreciation.....	48
4.5.11 Interest and Guarantee charges.....	50
4.5.12 Interest on Working Capital.....	52
4.5.13 Provision for bad debts	54
4.5.14 Return on equity.....	55
4.5.15 Taxes.....	57
4.5.16 Non-Tariff Income	58
4.6 Revenue from sale of power	59
4.7 ARR Approved in the truing up	60
4.8 Revenue gap / surplus for FY 2012-13	62
4.10 Consolidated revenue Surplus of the Discoms for FY 2012-13.....	63
5. Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15.....	65
5.1 Introduction.....	65
5.2 Approved ARR for FY 2014-15.....	65
5.3 Projected Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2014-15.....	65
5.4 Estimated Revenue and Revenue (Gap) /Surplus for FY 2014-15.....	67
6. Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment.....	69
6.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment.....	69
6.2 Formula	69
7. Wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge.....	71
7.1 Allocation matrix	71
7.2 Wheeling charges.....	72
7.3 Cross subsidy charge	73
8. Compliance of directives	76



8.1 Compliance of Directives	76
9. Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals	85
COMMISSION'S ORDER	87
ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE FOR FY 2014-15.....	88



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Actuals Submitted by MGCVCL for FY 2012-13	7
Table 2.2: ARR, Revenue and Gap for FY 2014-15	7
Table 4.1: Category-wise Actual Sales for FY 2012-13	34
Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	35
Table 4.3: Distribution Losses considered for truing up for FY 2012-13	36
Table 4.4: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance as Submitted by MGCVCL for	36
FY 2012-13.....	36
Table 4.5: Energy Requirement Approved by the Commission for truing up for	37
FY 2012-13.....	37
Table 4.6: Net Power Purchase Cost for FY 2012-13	38
Table 4.7: Power Purchase Cost Submitted by MGCVCL for FY 2012-13	38
Table 4.8: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13.....	39
Table 4.9: Power Purchase Cost Approved by the Commission for truing up for	39
FY 2012-13.....	39
Table 4.10: Gains/ (Losses) on Account of Distribution Losses for FY 2012-13.....	40
Table 4.11: Approved Gains / (losses) – Power Purchase Expenses for truing up for.....	40
FY 2012-13.....	40
Table 4.12: O&M Expenses Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13.....	41
Table 4.13: O&M Expenses and Gains/ (losses) Submitted in the truing up for	41
FY 2012-13.....	41
Table 4.14: Employee cost submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	42
Table 4.15: R&M expenses submitted by MGCVCL for the truing up for FY 2012-13.....	43
Table 4.16: A&G expenses submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13.....	44
Table 4.17: Approved O&M expenses and Gains/ (losses) in the truing up for.....	46
FY 2012-13.....	46
Table 4.18: Capital Expenditure Submitted by MGCVCL for FY 2012-13	47
Table 4.19: Approved Capitalisation and Source of Funding in the truing up for	FY
2012-13	48
Table 4.20: Depreciation submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	48
Table 4.21: Fixed Assets and Depreciation Computed by MGCVCL for FY 2012-13	48
Table 4.22: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Submitted in the truing up for	49
FY 2012-13.....	49
Table 4.23: Approved depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13.....	49
Table 4.24: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Approved in the truing up for	50
FY 2012-13.....	50
Table 4.25: Interest and Guarantee charges submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13...50	
Table 4.26: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13.....50	



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 4.27: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Interest & Guarantee Charges for	51
FY 2012-13.....	51
Table 4.28: Interest & Finance Charges Approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2012-13	52
.....	52
Table 4.29: Gains/ (losses) approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13.....	52
Table 4.30: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for	53
FY 2012-13.....	53
Table 4.31: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up.....	53
for FY 2012-13	53
Table 4.32: Interest on Working Capital Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	54
Table 4.33: Provision for Bad Debts Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for	54
FY 2012-13.....	54
Table 4.34: Provision for Bad Debts for FY 2012-13	54
Table 4.35: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debt Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	55
Table 4.36: Return on Equity Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	55
Table 4.37: Return on equity submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	55
Table 4.38: Return on equity approved for FY 2012-13	56
Table 4.39: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Return on Equity in the truing up for	56
FY 2012-13.....	56
Table 4.40: Taxes Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	57
Table 4.41: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Provision for tax for FY 2012-13	57
Table 4.42: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Tax in the truing up for FY 2012-13	58
Table 4.43: Non-Tariff Income Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13	58
Table 4.44: Gains/(Losses) Submitted Due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2012-13.....	58
Table 4.45: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Non-Tariff Income in the truing up for	59
FY 2012-13.....	59
Table 4.46: Revenue Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13	59
Table 4.47: Revenue Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	59
Table 4.48: ARR Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	60
Table 4.49: Projected Revenue gap / (surplus) FY 2012-13	62
Table 4.50: Revenue gap / (Surplus) Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13	63
Table 4.51: Consolidated revenue surplus of four Discoms for FY 2012-13	63
Table 4.52: Net revenue (Gap) / Surplus approved for FY 2012-13.....	64
Table 5.1: Approved ARR for FY 2014-15	65
Table 5.2: Projected Revenue for FY 2014-15	66
Table 5.3: Projected Sales, No of Consumers, Connected Load and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15	66
Table 5.4: Projected Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2014-15 With Existing Tariff.....	66



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 5.5: Approved Sales and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15	67
Table 5.6: Approved Revenue (Gap) /Surplus for FY 2014-15 with Existing Tariff	68
Table 5.7: Consolidated surplus computed for FY 2014-15	68
Table 7.1 Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for MGCVCL.....	71
Table 7.2: Allocation of ARR between wire and retail supply business for MGCVCL for FY 2014-15....	72
Table 7.3: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2014-15	74



ABBREVIATIONS

A&G	Administration and General Expenses
ARR	Aggregate Revenue Requirement
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure
CERC	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission
Control Period	FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16
DGVCL	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited
DISCOM	Distribution Company
EA	Electricity Act, 2003
EHV	Extra High Voltage
FPPPA	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment
FY	Financial Year
GEB	Gujarat Electricity Board
GERC	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GFA	Gross Fixed Assets
GoG	Government of Gujarat
GSECL	Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited
GUVNL	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited
HT	High Tension
JGY	Jyoti Gram Yojna
kV	Kilo Volt
kVA	Kilo Volt Ampere
kVAh	Kilo Volt Ampere Hour
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
LT	Low Tension Power
MGVCL	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
MTR	Mid-term Review
MU	Million Units (Million kWh)
MW	Mega Watt
MYT	Multi-Year Tariff
O&M	Operations & Maintenance
p.a.	Per Annum
PF	Power Factor
PGCIL	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
R&M	Repairs and Maintenance
RLDC	Regional Load Despatch Centre
SBI	State Bank of India
SLDC	State Load Despatch Centre
UGVCL	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited
WRLDC	Western Regional Load Despatch Centre



**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15**



Before the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission at Gandhinagar

Case No. 1372/2013

Date of the Order: 29/04/2014

CORAM

Shri Pravinbhai Patel, Chairman
Dr. M. K. Iyer, Member

ORDER

1. Background and Brief History

1.1 Background

The Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (hereinafter referred to as MGVCL, or Petitioner) filed its petition on 29th November 2013 under Section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003, read in conjunction with the applicable Gujarat Electricity Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2011, for True-up of FY 2012-13, and determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15.

The Commission admitted the petition on 3rd December 2013 as Case No: 1372/2013.

1.2 Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)

The Government of Gujarat unbundled and restructured the Gujarat Electricity Board with effect from 1st April, 2005. The Generation, Transmission and Distribution



businesses of the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board were transferred to seven successor companies. The seven successor companies are listed below

Generation Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited (GSECL)

Transmission Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited (GETCO)

Distribution Companies:

Sl. No	Name of Company
1	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited (DGVCL)
2	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)
3	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited (UGVCL)
4	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (GUVNL), a holding company, is responsible for purchase of electricity from various sources and supply to Distribution Companies and also other activities including trading of electricity.

The Government of Gujarat, vide Notification dated 3rd October, 2006, notified the final opening balance sheets of the transferee companies as on 1st April, 2005. The value of assets and liabilities, which stand transferred from the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board to the transferee companies, include Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL). Assets and liabilities (gross block, loans and equity), as on the date mentioned in the Notification, have been considered by the Commission, in line with the Financial Restructuring Plan (FRP), as approved by Government of Gujarat.

1.3 Commission's Orders for the second Control period

MGVCL filed its petition under the Multi-Year Tariff Framework for the control period FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16 on 12th May, 2011, in accordance with Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission, in exercise of the powers vested in it under Sections 61, 62 and 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, and all other powers enabling it on this behalf, and after taking into consideration the submission made by MGVCL, the objections by various stakeholders, response of MGVCL, issues raised during public hearing and all other



relevant material, issued the Multi-Year Tariff Order on 6th September, 2011 for the control period from FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission issued the orders for truing up for FY 2010-11 and determination of Tariff for FY 2012-13 on 2nd June, 2012.

The Commission issued the order for truing up for FY 2011-12 and determination for Tariff for FY 2013-14 on 16th April 2013.

1.4 Admission of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process

MGVCL submitted the current petition for 'Truing up' of FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for FY 2014-15 on 29th November, 2013. The Commission admitted the petition (Case No. 1372/2013) on 3rd December, 2013.

In accordance with section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Commission directed MGVCL to publish its application in the abridged form to ensure public participation. The public notice, inviting objections / suggestions from its stakeholders on the petition filed by it, was published in the following newspapers on 11th December, 2013.

Sl. No.	Name of the Newspaper	Language	Date of Publication
1	Daily News Analysis(DNA)	English	11.12.2013
2	Gujarat Samachar	Gujarati	11.12.2013

The Petitioner has also placed the public notice and the petition on its website for inviting objections and suggestions on the petition. The interested parties / stakeholders were asked to file their objections / suggestions on the petition, on or before 10th January, 2013.

The Commission received objections / suggestions from 15 stakeholders. The Commission examined the objections / suggestions received and fixed the date for public hearing for the petition on 13th February, 2014 at the Commission's Office, Gandhinagar, and subsequently a communication was sent to the objectors to take part in the public hearing process for presenting their views in person before the Commission. The public hearing was conducted in the Commission's Office in Gandhinagar as scheduled on the above date.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

The names of the stakeholders who filed their objections and the objectors who participated in the public hearing for presenting their objections are given below:

Sl. No.	Name of Stakeholders	Participated in the Public Hearing
1.	Shri Jitendra Jethalal Pandya	Yes
2.	Federation of Gujarat Industries	Yes
3.	Gujarat Bricks Manufacturer's Federation	Yes
4.	Shri Jayesh Shah Palejwala	No
5.	Laghu Udyog Bharati - Gujarat	Yes
6.	Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS)	Yes
7.	OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd.	Yes
8.	Gujarat Krushi Vij Grahak Suraksha Sangh	Yes
9.	Shri Ganpatbhai Lallubhai Suthar	No
10.	Shri Amarsinh Chavda	No
11.	Western Railways	Yes
12.	Yash Complex Co-Operative Housing Service Society Ltd., Vadodara	Yes
13.	Utility Users' Welfare Association (UUWA)	Yes
14.	Socialist Unity Centre of India (Communist) [SUCI(C)]	Yes
15.	Indus Towers	Yes

A short note on the main issues raised by the objectors in the submissions in respect to the Petition, along with the response of MGCVCL and the Commission's views on the response, are briefly given in Chapter 3.

1.5 Contents of this Order

The order is divided into nine chapters:

1. The **first** chapter provides a brief background regarding the Petitioner, the petition on hand and details of the public hearing process and the approach adopted in this Order.
2. The **second** chapter outlines a summary of MGCVCL's submission.
3. The **third** chapter deals with the public hearing process, including the objections raised by various stakeholders, MGCVCL's response, and the Commission's views on the response.
4. The **fourth** chapter focuses on the details of truing up for FY 2012-13.
5. The **fifth** chapter deals with the determination of tariff for FY 2014-15.
6. The **sixth** chapter deals with the FPPPA charges.
7. The **seventh** chapter deals with wheeling charges and cross-subsidy surcharge.



8. The **eighth** chapter deals with compliance of directives and issue of fresh directives.
9. The **ninth** chapter deals with the tariff philosophy and tariff proposals for FY 2014-15.

1.6 Approach of this Order

MGVCL has approached the Commission with the present petition for 'truing up' for the FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for the FY 2014-15.

The Commission has undertaken truing up for the FY 2012-13, including computation of gains and losses for the FY 2012-13, based on the submissions of the petitioner and the audited annual accounts made available by the petitioner.

While truing up of FY 2012-13, the Commission has been primarily guided by the following principles:

1. Controllable parameters have been considered at the level of approval under the MYT order, unless the Commission considers that there are valid reasons for revision of the same
2. Un-controllable parameters have been revised, based on the actual performance observed.

The truing up for the FY 2012-13 has been considered, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. For the determination of the ARR for FY 2014-15, the Commission has considered the ARR for FY 2014-15, as approved in the Mid-term Review order.



2. A Summary of MGVCL's Petition

The Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL) submitted the details of True-up for FY 2012-13 and revenue estimates for FY 2014-15 on 29th November, 2013.

2.1 Actuals for FY 2012-13 Submitted by MGVCL

The details of expenses under various components of ARR for FY 2012-13 are given in Table 2.1 below:

Table 2.1: Actuals Submitted by MGVCL for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up
			(Rs. Crore)
1	Cost of Power Purchase	3,500	3,342
2	Operations & Maintenance Expenses	270	306
2.1	Employee Cost	234	274
2.2	Repair & Maintenance	42	40
2.3	Administration & General Charges	41	47
2.4	Other Debits	9	9
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0	0
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	0	(0)
2.7	Less: Other Expenses Capitalised	57	64
3	Depreciation	131	135
4	Interest & Finance Charges	45	75
5	Interest on Working Capital	0	0
6	Provision for Bad Debts	4	0
7	Sub-Total [1 to 6]	3,950	3,858
8	Return on Equity	74	78
9	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	6	2
10	Total Expenditure (7 to 9)	4,029	3,937
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	87	110
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (10 - 11)	3,942	3,828

2.2 Summary of Projected Revenue Gap for FY 2014-15

Table below summarises the Aggregate revenue Requirement projected in Mid-term Review of the Business Plan, the total revenue with the existing tariff and the proposed gap for FY 2014-15.

Table 2.2: ARR, Revenue and Gap for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2014-15
		(Rs. Crore)
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4,546
2	Revenue Gap from True-up of FY 2012-13	103



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2014-15
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4,649
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	3,285
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	905
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	82
7	Agriculture Subsidy	82
8	Total Revenue including subsidy (4 to 7)	4,354
9	Gap / (Surplus) (3 - 8)	295

The petitioner has proposed no change in tariff structure, except for HTP-I Category. MGVCL has proposed rationalisation in HTP-I by increasing fixed charges and reducing energy charges, to ensure reasonable recovery of fixed charges.

2.3 MGVCL's request to the Commission:

1. To admit this petition seeking True-up for FY 2012-13 and Tariff Petition for FY 2014-15.
2. To approve the True-up for FY 2012-13 and allow sharing of gains/losses with the consumers, as per sharing mechanism prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.
3. To realign the base FPPPA amount from 61 paisa/kWh to the actual (weighted average of Q1 to Q4) FPPPA of FY 2012-13.
4. To treat the unrecovered gap, as deemed fit, by the Commission.
5. To consider approved parameters/ARR of GSECL, GETCO and SLDC, while finalising tariff of the petitioner.
6. To grant any other relief as the Commission may consider appropriate. The petitioner craves leave of the Commission to allow further submissions, addition and alteration to this Petition as may be necessary from time to time.
7. Pass any other order as the Commission may deem fit and appropriate under the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.



3. Brief outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and the Commission's View

3.0 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observation

In response to the public notice, inviting objections / suggestions of the stakeholders on the petitions filed by DISCOMs for truing up of FY 2012-13 and determination of tariff for FY 2014-15, a number of consumers / consumer organisations filed their objections / suggestions. Some of these objectors participated in the public hearing also. It is observed that the objections/ suggestions filed, by and large, are repetitive in nature. Some of the objections are general in nature and some are specific to the proposals submitted by the petitioner for approval of True-up for FY 2012-13 and ARR and Tariff revision for FY 2014-15. It is also noted that many of the objections/ suggestions are common to all the four DISCOMs and some are specific to the concerned DISCOM. The objections / suggestions are segregated into two groups viz. common to all DISCOMs and specific to concerned DISCOM. The Commission has, therefore, addressed the objections / suggestions issue-wise rather than objector-wise.

3.1 Common Suggestions/objections

Issue 1: Cross subsidy reduction

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that the proposal of the petitioner should have included the status and future action plans for progressive reduction of cross subsidies. The proposal requires rejection with a direction to revise to reflect compliance of EA Act, 2003 and mandates thereunder and also para 34 of Conduct of Business Regulation.

Response of DISCOMs

No Comments.

Commission's observation

The Commission determines the charges keeping in view the consumers interest as well as cost of supply.



Issue 2: Cost of Supply

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that the petitioners have not submitted voltage / category-wise cost of supply as envisaged in E. Act, 2003 and Tariff Policy.

The cost of supply has been furnished belated for FY 2011-12 but not for FY 2012-13 and hence the actual picture of cross subsidies is not known.

It has further been submitted that it is not correct to increase the tariff based on the average cost of supply. This is against specific directions / interpretation given by APTEL in its judgement dated 30.05.2011 in Appeal No: 102, 103 and 112 of 2010 that the cross subsidy will be calculated as the difference between the average tariff realisation for that category as per ARR and the cost of supply for the consumer category based on voltage-wise cost of supply.

Response of DISCOMs

Cost of supply report for FY 2011-12 was submitted in Nov. 2012 and for FY 2012-13 the report is in the process of finalisation.

Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted. The DISCOMs have to build up adequate data to workout category-wise cost of supply. Directive is issued to DISCOMs to build up data to arrive at category-wise cost of supply.

Issue 3: Passing of Agricultural Subsidy burden to Industrial Consumers

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd have stated that there is under-recovery in the case of Agricultural category against substantially high recovery from Industrial consumers and no attempt has been made in the proposal to adjust the tariff to reduce the gap as mandated under EA 2003 and Tariff Policy. Against the cost of supply of Rs. 5.37/unit for Industrial HT, the recovery is Rs 6.08/unit i.e., 13% higher recovery whereas in the case of Agricultural category it is 54% under recovery.

Further Agricultural subsidy from government is far less than what is actually required and the huge unrecovered gap pertaining to Agriculture Category is passed on to the Industrial category. This requires correction. As per cost of supply report of DGVCL,



as against Rs 6.26/ unit for (Industrial) category, only Rs 2.88/ unit is the realisation. It is clear that cross subsidisation level is very high.

Response of DISCOMs

As per the National Tariff Policy there is need to rationalise tariff to various consumer categories so that it is more aligned to cost of supply and in a band of $\pm 20\%$ to average cost of supply. In order to ensure uniform tariff rates for all the four Distribution Companies, differential bulk supply tariff mechanism is in place.

For all the DISCOMs taken together the Average realisation of HT category for FY 2012-13, after deducting demand charges paid by Open Access consumers, works out to Rs. 6.22 per kWh, which is 122% of ACS. For FY 2011-12, the average recovery from HT consumers was within the band of + 20%. Whereas for FY 2012-13 it was +24%. The increase in average realisation for HT category in FY 2012-13 is due to non-drawal of energy by some consumer corresponding to their contracted demand from the licensee, but preferred to draw energy from other sources. This trend would continue in FY 2014-15 also as more consumers are opting for Open Access whose fixed cost recovery is artificially increasing the average realisation.

Commission's observation

The objection and response of DISCOMs are noted.

Issue 4: Fixed Cost recovery

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd have stated that considering the connectivity at 220 kV with contract demand > 2500 KVA, the fixed cost recovery is very high (Rs 3.29/unit with total recovery Rs 8.84/ unit), requires rationalisation. The Proposals are to increase the fixed cost part. In the case of Respondents, the fixed cost recovery is far higher as they rarely use DGVCL power and generate its own power from CPP.

Based on the power bill during April to December '13 from DGVCL, the average fixed cost rate worked out to Rs 26/unit which is extremely exorbitant / irrational and cannot be justified.



Response of DISCOMs

Under the two part tariff mechanism, average realisation per unit varies with load factor of the consumers. For consumers having high load factor per unit rate of realisation is less compared to those having low load factor.

Regarding increase in demand charges, the fixed costs are recovered through fixed charges and a part of fixed cost is recovered through energy charges. As a part of rationalisation, demand charges are increased in HTP-I category. Even with the proposed increase in demand charges of HTP-I consumers, only 60.47% fixed cost attributable to HT consumers is recovered and the balance 39.53% is being recovered through energy charges. In case of consumers who do not procure power from DISCOM, the uncovered 39.53% of fixed cost is a burden on consumers in general, as the licensee has to maintain the network and power supply corresponding to contract demand irrespective of actual drawal. The DISCOM has proposed not only increase in the demand charges but also reduction in energy charges correspondingly.

Commission's observation

The objection and response of DISCOMs are noted.

Issue 5: Discouragement to Open Access users

M/s Hindalco Industries Limited, Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cement Ltd, and Aditya Birla Nuvo Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd have stated the proposal of the petitioners is to discourage Open Access users from selling/buying power from sources other than utilities/DISCOMs after attempts like

- (i) Deemed Open Access separate category tariff;
- (ii) Imposing unlawful and arbitrary conditions;
- (iii) Demanding additional surcharges
- (iv) Restricting Open Access to contract demand;
- (v) Denial of NOC consent; and
- (vi) Arbitrary misinterpretation of commission's orders for minimum "Scheduling of MW" of power to drawal of IMW at consumers end/bus etc.

Response of DISCOMs

The replies to the above points are as under:



- (i) No such separate tariff is proposed
- (ii) The undertaking is as per the orders of the Commission
- (iii) Additional charge is leviable as per see 42(4) of E A 2003
- (iv) Short-Term Open Access is allowed within the available margin in the network
- (v) Essence of “undertaking” is to be followed
- (vi) Commission has already decided and issued order for scheduling and drawal of load by Open Access consumers in its order in petitions nos. 1325 and 1327/ 2013.

Distribution losses as approved by the Commission from consumers of different voltage classes is applied to respective Open Access consumers.

Commission’s observation

The Commission has noted the response of DISCOMs

Issue 6: Tariff for start-up power

- (a) M/s Grasim Industries Ltd and Federation of Gujarat Industries have stated that the petitioner suggested for a specific clarification / direction from the Commission for billing based on per day pro-rata contract Demand charges to be levied under the HTP-III when availed by CPP and / or a generator for start-up power requirement / purpose.

The objectors suggested that pending such tariff to be decided by the Commission, a clarification/ direction for billing under HTP-III category based on contract demand charges on per day basis for the number of days such power might be drawn / consumed / used by a CPP/ Cogen plant for the start-up purpose would be most appropriate and befitting to the provisions of EA, 2003 as well as National Tariff policy.

The objectors have also stated that in view of the EA, 2003, the National Tariff Policy and in particular the contention of the APTEL in Appeal No: 8 of 2010, the demand charges recovery on prorata basis be limited to the number of hours or say maximum up to the number of days of actual usage of the start-up power.

- (b) OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that there is no separate tariff for drawal of start-up power by conventional power plants and requested for determination of tariff for start-up power.



Response of DISCOMs

- (a) The generator requires power for start-up at any point of time and the licensee has to maintain the network and power supply all the time to meet with the eventually and cost incidental thereto. Therefore generator has to maintain contract demand to the extent of his requirement and pay charges as a consumer.
- (b) The LT-Temp Tariff Category is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at low voltage. For HT consumers, temporary connection is available under HTP-III category. Consumers who want power for specific time period can avail connection under HTP-III category and charges will be applicable as per tariff schedule applicable from time to time.

Commission's observation

The response of the Petitioner is noted and appropriate decision taken.

Issue 7: Installation of suite meters, restoration of supply etc.

Shri Jayesh Shah Palejwala has suggested that:

- (a) Installation of suite meters at rented units through one master / principal meter and the DISCOMs charge only on principal meters consumption.
- (b) In case of consumers booked under section 135 they should not be forced to pay compounding charges but to be allowed to pay only the charges as per supplementary bill excluding compounding charges and the connection should be restored on payment.
- (c) The licensee, who has not installed energy meters on distribution transforms should be punished.
- (d) Divisional and sub-divisional engineers should not be kept busy with court cases as consumers are put to hardship.

Response of DISCOMs

The response of the DISCOMS on the above issues are as follows:

- (a) Under the guise of the suite meter, the consumer may allow supply to another consumer / tenant which tantamount to distribution of electricity which is a licensed activity. Hence the request cannot be accepted.



- (b) Regarding restoration of supply of the consumer booked under section 135 of the Act, 2003, the related provisions of the Act and Regulations notified by the Commission have to be followed.
- (c) Status as on 31.12.2013 has been submitted by the DISCOMs for installation meters at distribution transformer level. Out of 75678 transformers, DTC meters are provided on 31119 transformers and 18367 transformers are HVDC. So total DTC meters including HVDC are 49486 nos. i.e., 65.39%.
- (d) Employees are required to function as per rules and regulation, statute etc. in force.

Commission's observation

The Commission agrees with the response of DISCOMs. However, the DISCOMs shall complete metering at the distribution transformers expeditiously.

Issue 8: Revision of True-up proposal for FY 2013-14 and questioning of Tariff proposals for FY 2014-15

Laghu Udyog Bharati have suggested as follows:

- (a) The objector has pointed out various mismatches between the figures of ARR petition, Mid-term petition and Annual Accounts.
- (b) Capex: Non-Submission of details of accounts of equity and debt infusion for capex.
- (c) Electricity Sale Cost Validation: The Commission may constitute a mechanism or depute an independent agency/ person to validate the sales data furnished by DISCOMs. The real cost of electricity sale increases due to minimum charges, power factor penalty and demand penalty.
- (d) Charging of T&D losses for Open Access business and power inter change: The accounts of receipts of cross subsidy and recovery of line losses from Open Access consumers is not available in the true-up proposals. Similarly GUVNL is not giving credit of Open Access cost of line losses to DISCOMs and details in this regard are also not available.
- (e) Cost of Ag units: The subsidy as per tariff received is Rs. 35 Cr., FPPPA received is Rs. 77.234 Cr. The receipt for unit of Ag consumption (DGVCL) is Rs 45.844 Cr. It has to be explained where the amount for Rs 45.844 Cr. is adjusted. The D-4 forms of Agriculture per unit cost do not give correct pictures.



- (f) Power Sale / Purchase data of ARR: Table D-1 of petition shows cost of power purchase of 18321 MU at the rate of Rs 3.72/unit amounting to Rs 5985 Cr., Table 9 of petition shows energy sales of 14816 MU at the cost of Rs 5818 Cr. and Table 11 of the petition shows net sale of energy requirement of 18321 MU. There is no mention of sale of power to GUVNL and UI interchange which is reflected in Annual accounts note 21 amounting to Rs 813.46 Cr. + Rs 145.22 Cr. With this figure, net ARR surplus for FY 2012-13 shall be Rs (2172.3 +813.46+145.22) 3130.98 Cr.

The Commission is requested to implement an independent system to validate technical as well as financial data of DISCOMs and not solely rely on their submission.

Response of DISCOMs

- (a) Company proposes its aggregate revenue requirement along with proposed tariff for particular year and after completion of financial year, the true-up petition is required to be filed in which actual / normative cost along with actual revenue and with the resultant revenue gap. After due scrutiny and prudence check the Commission approves the gap, if any.
- (b) Funding of capital expenditure is done through various sources categorised under headings viz. consumer contribution, grants, equity and grants from the CAPEX and the remaining Capex is funded in the debt equity ratio of 70:30.
- (c) Revenue projections are based on the assumption that there shall be no recovery of penal charges.
- (d) Charging of T&D losses is the matter of energy accounting. Cross subsidy surcharge is considered under the head 'revenue' for sale of power.
- (e) Objector has considered only fixed charges and subsidy amount while it has not considered energy charges and FPPPA charges.
- (f) For the purpose of calculating power purchase cost for retail supply to consumers, the revenue from power sale to GUVNL and UI are deducted.

Commission's observation

The Commission has conducted detailed analysis of the components of ARR filed in the petition after due validation and prudence check and decisions are taken as per provisions in GERC Regulations.



Issue 9: Distribution Losses

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected to the increase in distribution losses which had increased for all DISCOMs putting an additional burden of Rs 372 Cr. on consumers.

Distribution losses being controllable factor a burden of Rs 124 Cr. is transferred on consumers of Gujarat. The objector demanded that the Commission should impose penalty on DISCOMs if the target is not achieved and the amount of penalty should be recovered from each of the DISCOMs.

Response of DISCOMs

DISCOMs take various steps for reduction of distribution loss (both technical and commercial) and ensure loss reduction trajectory as approved by the Commission and at the end of the control period it shall try to achieve approved distribution loss level.

The Commission has approved distribution loss trajectory for the entire MYT control period from FY 2011-12 to FY 2015-16. In the petition for Mid-term review of Business plan, the company proposed trajectory for distribution losses for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16. Distribution losses being controllable factor, the company has given appropriate treatment to the deviation from the approved loss level in the true-up petition for FY 2012-13.

Commission's observation

The Commission has fixed distribution loss level trajectory for each DISCOM and the energy requirement/power purchase is regulated to the loss level approved by the Commission.

Issue 10: Tariff Revision for HTP-I

The Federation of Gujarat Industries, Ultratech Cements Ltd and OPGS Power Gujarat Power Private Ltd have stated that the DISCOMs have proposed to increase demand charges from Rs. 350/kVA to Rs 430/kVA i.e. by 23% and decrease in energy charges by 20 paise per unit for the HTP-I category. If the demand charges are set at a higher level, the energy conservation measures as envisaged in Tariff Policy would not materialise as there will be lesser incentive to consumers in reducing energy consumption. Further any decrease in energy charges, the



proposed tariff is unfavourable to consumers who are having a lower consumption as they are paying higher demand charge disproportionate to energy consumption whereas consumers having higher consumption will be benefitted from lower energy charges. The Commission is therefore requested not to allow any increase in demand charges and any decrease in the energy charges for the HTP-I category. Further considering that the true-up of ARR is being made on a regular basis, it is not clear as to how the licensee will suffer an under recovery of its legitimate costs due to tariff structure because all the legitimate costs are passed on to consumers through tariff determination.

Response of DISCOMs

In the present tariff structure only a part of the fixed cost is recovered through energy charges and as a part of rationalisation, demand charges are increased in HTP-I category. Even if the proposed increase in demand charges of HTP-I consumers is recovered, the remaining 39.53% is still being recovered through energy charges, In case of consumers who are not taking power from DISCOM, the unrecovered 39.53% of fixed cost, otherwise payable by such consumers is a burden to all other consumers.

Further under the two part tariff mechanism, average per unit realisation varies with the load factor of the consumer. For consumers having high load factor, per unit rate of realisation is less as compared to those having low load factor.

Commission's observation

The objection and the response from the DISCOMs are noted. The Commission will examine the issue and take appropriate decision.

Issue 11: Consideration of transmission charges for determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge

OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that when a consumer opts for Open Access, the distribution licensee avoids payment of transmission charges for the energy consumed by him, especially in the surplus power scenario as claimed by the licensee. However transmission charges shall be considered as part of avoided cost while determining cross subsidy surcharge.



The transmission charges being an integral part of the licensee's cost of supply, the same has to be considered while calculating Cross Subsidy Surcharge. If the transmission charges are not made part of power purchase cost, the same has to be considered as part of wheeling charges.

Response of DISCOMs

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with provision of National Tariff Policy.

Commission's observation

For determining the cross subsidy surcharge for Open Access consumers, the Commission is guided by the provision in section 42 (2) of the Act and guidelines provided in clause 8.5 of the Tariff Policy.

Issue 12: Bad Debts

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has suggested that the Commission should direct the DISCOMs to submit names of defaulters whose amount exceeds Rs 1.00 Lakh and also to publish their names in local newspapers and to submit all details on action taken against each defaulter. Till that time, the proposed amount of Rs 120 Cr. should not be approved.

Response of DISCOMs

The Companies are taking various actions for recovery of arrears as under:

- Disconnections
- Recovery through Civil Courts
- Arranging Lok Adalat etc.

After disconnection, if the consumer does not turn up for making payment the connection is permanently disconnected (PDC):

Every year certain amount of some consumers, which seems to be non-recoverable is waived by the company and is charged to P&L of the company under the head "other debits" for the respective year and the same is proposed for recovery in True-up petition as "controllable" in line with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The companies have submitted the details of consumers who are in arrears of over Rs 1.00 lac and above as on 31.12.2013 in response to the objection.



Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue 13: Losses in JGY Scheme

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected to heavy losses in JGY scheme which were not reduced in spite of directives from the Commission and which are putting heavy burden on consumers of Gujarat. The objector has requested the Commission to direct all DISCOMs to reduce losses by 20% by 31.3.2015, as the losses are controllable and the burden of 33% should not be transferred to consumers due to inefficiency of DISCOMs.

Response of DISCOMs

Various steps are taken to reduce losses in JGY categories, such as maintenance of HT line, LT line, Transformer, XLPE conductor, Aerial Bunch Conductor, Insulated Conductor, Installation checking, meter replacement, Installation sealing, Installation of meter boxes, feeder bifurcation, Panel meter testing and installation of Amorphous transformer. Due to these steps, the losses on JGY Feeders have been reduced in FY 2012-13 compared to FY 2011-12. The companies have given appropriate treatment to the deviation from the approved loss level in the true-up for FY 2012-13.

Commission's observation

The loss level in JGY feeders is still high. The Commission has given directive to all the DISCOMs to reduce the losses to acceptable level.

Issue 14: Meter Problems

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that till date more than 40% of Ag connections are unmetered and more than 2 lac meters are not working in Gujarat. The Commission is requested to direct all DISCOMs to provide details of metered and unmetered agriculture connection, meters not working in respect of each DISCOM and the reasons for not changing non-working meters.

The objector has also observed that due to financial constraints all DISCOMs are not having stock of new meters and bills are issued on average consumption based on consumer's connected load.

Response of DISCOMs



The Companies have large base of old consumers and are prioritising the replacement of non-working, defective, inaccurate and very old meters. The companies have meter replacement plan and accordingly meters are replaced every year and this work is closely monitored and field officers are instructed to ensure that non-working and defective meters of 3 phase are replaced in 2-3 days and single phase meters in the same month.

Commission's observation

As already directed the DISCOMs shall promptly replace the defective meters.

Issue 15: Controllable and Uncontrollable factors

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has observed that there is hardly any gain to consumers due to controllable and uncontrollable factors except for MGVCL which has gained in both controllable and uncontrollable factors. The objector has therefore suggested that the burden of uncontrollable factors should be equally shared by DISCOMs and consumers instead of transferring the entire burden on consumers.

Response of DISCOMs

Expenses have been categorised as controllable and uncontrollable as per the nature of expenditure and provision of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Accordingly true-up petition is filed.

Commission's observation

The expenses under uncontrollable factor such as cost of power etc. are beyond the control of the DISCOM and is a pass-through.

Issue 16: Increase in base price of FPPPA

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) objected for abrupt increase in FPPPA charges levied by four DISCOMs from 61 paise / unit to Rs 1.20 paise and thereby the base of FPPPA charge is increased by almost 33%, which includes FPPPA charges of Rs 169.69 Cr. collected vide FPPPA charges due to receipt of inferior quality of coal. Another reason for high FPPPA charges is purchase of power from IPPs and payment of fixed cost to IPPs having gas based generation. The objector therefore requested the Commission to reject the proposal for increase in FPPPA charges from 61 paise/unit to 120 paise/unit.



Response of DISCOMs

The Commission has issued order on 6.9.2011 in which power purchase cost was calculated based on actual Power Purchase Cost (PPC) for 2009-10. In Mid-term review of business plan for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16, while calculating PPC, base is shifted from FY 2009-10 to FY 2012-13. During FY 2012-13, weighted average of FPPPA recovered was Rs 1.20/unit and hence the same is proposed to be freeze.

Commission's observation

Shifting / Freezing of base FPPPA rate shall not affect the FPPPA calculations. Any reduction in Power Purchase cost may reduce the FPPPA charge to a lower figure and the same shall be passed on to consumers.

Issue 17: Burden of Rs 1816 Cr. on consumers

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that in spite of deficit of Rs 1816 Cr. by all four petitioners during 2014-15, no increase in tariff is proposed, hence the Commission should not Suo Motu increase the tariff rates.

The objector has also stated that it has filed PIL before Gujarat High Court under WP PIL No. 147/2012, the Commission should refrain from increasing tariff, since the matter is sub-judice.

Response of DISCOMs

As per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, the DISCOMs are required to file true-up for FY 2012-13 and tariff proposal for FY 2014-15. Accordingly petitions are filed with the Commission with a request to address the resultant gap suitably.

Commission observation

The Commission has taken appropriate decision based on the analysis of the ARR and Prudence check of various expenses.

Issue 18: Different tariff for each DISCOM

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has suggested for implementation of different tariff for each DISCOM and also for performance Based Tariff. The objector has stated that it is not correct to compare to performance of PGVCL with MGVCL and also why the consumers of MGVCL should pay high tariff for poor performance of PGVCL.



The objector further stated that the distribution loss of PGVCL is 30% compared to other three DISCOMs where losses are below 15% and separate tariff for each DISCOM will make them accountable for their performance and also generate competition.

Response of DISCOMs

Uniform retail supply tariff for all four DISCOMs has been envisaged so that the consumers in similar categories in the State could have similar tariff without discrimination as envisaged in EA, 2003. Since 85% to 93% of total cost incurred by DISCOMs is for power purchase, it plays a major role in determining the ARR as well as gap / surplus for the DISCOM in a particular year. Further as the consumer and consumption profiles are different in the four DISCOMs, the revenue earning capacities of DISCOMs differ resulting different ARRs. It is therefore necessary to build a mechanism in projections to bring them to a level-playing field. This is proposed to be achieved by differential Bulk Supply Tariff (BST) to each DISCOM which is approved by the Commission.

Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

Issue 19: Agricultural Consumption

The Consumer Education and Research Society (CERS) has stated that more than 40% of Ag connections are unmetered and this consumption is accounted for in distribution losses and ultimately transferred to consumers. The objector requested the Commission to direct the DISCOMs to submit separate details of amount recovered from metered and unmetered consumers.

Response of DISCOMs

The DISCOMs have submitted the details of revenue from metered and unmetered Ag consumers up to Nov. 2013.

Commission's observation

Since August 2000 DISCOMs have stopped giving unmetered connections for Agriculture services. The balance unmetered services are to be metered within definite timeframe.



Issue 20: Determination of cross subsidy surcharge while determining power purchase cost for plants running on natural gas / RING, trading margin of GUVNL, transmission charges etc.

The OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that while calculating the weighted average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin, the Commission did not consider the cost of power purchase from plants running on natural gas/ RLNG. The Commission has taken the purchase of power from Essar at the average cost of Rs 4.91 / kWh as the costliest source of power. However there were more costly power purchases such as that from Essar-300 MW, GSEG-156 MW, GPEC-655 MW, GSPC-Pipavav, GSEG expansion etc. and those plants appear in the merit order of SLDC. No reason was mentioned in Tariff orders as to why these plants were excluded for determining CSS.

The objector requested to consider the determination of cross subsidy surcharge as follows:

- (i) Cost of power purchase from plants running on natural gas/ RLNG also while calculating the weighted average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin
- (ii) The 4 paise/kWh charged by GUVNL; and
- (iii) Transmission charges;

Response of DISCOMs

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provision of National Tariff Policy.

Commission's observation

The Power Purchase from plants running on spot gas/ RLNG are similarly placed with Liquid fuel fired station and hence excluded in accordance with the Policy guideline. . Hence the power purchase from such stations is not considered under 5% at the margin.

Issue 21: Calculation of Average tariff 'T' for determination of cross subsidy surcharge

OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that while calculating average tariff 'T' for determination of cross subsidy surcharge, a distinction has to be made between Open Access customers who have surrendered their contract demand and the Open



Access customers who continue to maintain their contract demand, since in the latter case, there is no loss of demand charges on account of their opting for Open Access. Therefore in the case of Open Access consumers who have not surrendered their contract demand, 'T' may be calculated as average applicable energy charges only.

Response of DISCOM

The Commission determines cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provisions of National Tariff Policy.

Commission's observation

'T' being the average tariff payable by the relevant category is considered for the consumer categories in general as per Tariff Policy.

Issue 22: Additional Surcharge

The OPGS Power Gujarat Power Limited has stated that currently the Open Access consumers are paying a wheeling charge of 12 paise/kWh and cross subsidy of 45 paise/kWh. The additional surcharge, proposed by DISCOMs will be in addition to these charges and will cause substantial financial burden on the Open Access consumers. The objector has requested the Commission to determine the Open Access charges i.e., Cross Subsidy Surcharge (CSS), Wheeling charge and additional surcharge in such a way that the Open Access consumers are not unduly burdened due to these charges.

Response of DISCOMs

No comments

Commission's observation

Additional surcharge has to be paid by the consumers who avail Open Access as per section 42(4) at the Electricity Act 2003 to be determined by the Commission as per Regulations.

Issue 23: Inclusion of Trading Margin of GUVNL in power purchase cost for determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge for FY 2014-15

The OPGS Power Gujarat Private Ltd has stated that GUVNL charges 4 paise from DISCOMs for transaction of every unit of energy and this component of power



purchase cost is approved by the Commission. Hence while calculating the weighted average cost of power purchase of top 5% at the margin for the determination of CSS for FY 2014-15, the 4 paise/unit charged by GUVNL shall also be added as it is part of power purchase cost of DISCOMs.

Response of DISCOMs

The Commission determines the cross subsidy surcharge in accordance with the provision of National Tariff Policy.

Commission's observation

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

3.2 Suggestions/objections pertaining to MGCVCL

Issue 1: Charging of tariff rates of city area to villages

Sri Jitendra Jethalal Pandya has stated that the villages under Urban Development Authority (UDA) are being charged as per tariff rates applicable to city area. The objector suggested that the villages in the UDA should be charged as per tariff rate of rural area.

Response of DISCOM

The tariff orders issued by GERC stipulate that the RGP Rural tariff is applicable to all services for residential premises located in areas within Gram Panchayat as defined in Gujarat Panchayat Act. However, this is not applicable to villages which are located within the geographical jurisdiction of UDA. Accordingly appropriate tariff is applicable.

Commission's observation

The suggestion by the objector and response of DISCOM is noted.

Issue 2: Demand Side Management (DSM)

Federation of Gujarat Industries has stated that

- (1) To optimise use of full Contract Demand during night hours under HTP-IV tariff from 22.00 hrs. to next day 6.00 hrs. The manufacturing calls for various checks, works, testing etc. on a trial basis even for preparatory process prior to 22.00



hrs. e.g., for pumping the water/chemicals etc. to overhead tanks by running small pumps/ equipments etc. which may not fall or considered by the inspecting officer of the Authority within the ambit of qualifying phrase viz. maintenance purpose” occurring in HTP-IV optees, according to which the consumer can use energy within the range of 10% of the total consumption within the limit of 15% of the Contract Demand (CD) beyond prescribed hours for maintenance purpose.

The objector has suggested to amend the condition under HTP-IV tariff for “maintenance purpose” to “any purpose”.

- (2) Fixed cost recovery under HTP-IV category consumers (above 2500 KVA) is Rs. 3.27/ unit with total recovery at the rate of Rs. 6.77/unit which is for more than the tariff for HTP-I category.

Response of DISCOM

The fixed charges are levied from the consumer to recover company’s fixed cost which it will incur irrespective of consumption and time of consumption by the consumer. Fixed charges cover components like cost of infrastructure, employee cost, R&M cost, A&G expenses etc. Therefore any kind of discrimination among tariff categories would lead to passing the burden on the other tariff categories. Thus it is not appropriate to have different fixed charges for the consumer of the same class category.

The energy charges for HTP-IV category are substantially lower than the energy charges of consumers of other categories as the objection of the HTP-IV category is to shift the demand to night hours. If the power supply is allowed to be utilised other than the night hours for other than maintenance purpose, the purpose of HTP-IV tariff will not be served and may jeopardise the grid discipline.

Commission’s observation

The Commission has noted the suggestion of the objector and response of DISCOM.

Issue 3: Merit order Despatch

Federation of Gujarat Industries has stated that GUVNL has been overlooking merit order by preferring to buy costlier generation from GSECL when cheaper power is available in the power markets (IEX/PX) and under UI regime. The objector has suggested for having pre-programmed computerised system for merit order despatch



(MOD) and supervisory system under the control of impartial agencies like WRLDC/PGCIL/CEA to oversee the same and guide the system operators.

Response of DISCOM

Fixed cost and variable costs of GSECL plants are approved by the Commission and power is procured following the principles of Merit order.

In accordance with the provision of Intra-State ABT order of the Commission, power is procured on real time basis following the principles of merit order whereby cheaper power is scheduled first and thereafter costlier power till the requirement of DISCOMs is met.

Power requirement of DISCOMs is always in large quantum. GUVNL has tied up power on long term basis to meet the requirement of DISCOMs. UI is a mechanism to impose grid discipline and cannot be used as a source of power purchase.

Commission's observation

The DISCOMs have tied up power on long term basis and their primary requirement has to be fulfilled through these sources. The rate of power available through exchange vary on day-to-day basis and cannot be considered for merit order despatch. Similarly the UI mechanism is a means to maintain grid discipline and its rate is determined in real time only.

Issue 4: Hurdles in use of self solar generation by Industrial/Commercial consumers

Federation of Gujarat Industries has sought clarification on the following issues/hurdles:

- (a) Requirement of clearance, if any, for such captive use of solar power by industrial/commercial consumers who intend to use self solar generation:
- (b) Standing instructions to ensure for changing the meters to ensure solar power getting injected into system/grid and accounting.
- (c) Connection diagram duly approved by the utility taking care of safety aspect.

The Commission is requested to fix a time frame for clearance or approval by the utilities for use of captive power.



Response of DISCOM

Grid connectivity to solar generating plant is provided by the licensee for captive use. So far as “Rooftop Solar” plant is concerned, safety and policy issues for implementation on commercial basis are yet to be addressed.

Commission’s observation

DISCOM should clear such proposals expeditiously.

Issue 5: Power Purchase Cost

Yash complex Cooperative Housing Service Society Ltd, Vadodara has stated that 1064 MW is total tied up capacity of GUVNL as per MYT order dated 6.9.2011 for all DISCOMs with fixed cost of Rs. 4072 Cr. which has increased to Rs. 9239 Cr. for FY 2012-13 without additional capacity in the system except MoU or agreement.

As per SLDC Accounts energy sent to DISCOM for FY 2012-13 is 9226 MUs and in this LT MUs at 11 KV are exclusive of STU losses. The share of MGVCCL is about 15% of catered MU. What is the correct quantity for the petitioner.

Response of DISCOM

The net power purchase cost, as mentioned in the petition, is Rs. 3342 Cr., net of trading sale to GUVNL and UI earned, which works out to 8533 MUs, as mentioned in Table 11 of the petition. Hence, the net power purchase quantity is 8533 MUs as above.

Commission’s observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

Issue 6: Merging of categories of LFD-I and LTP-I into RGP and LFD-II and LTP-I into NRGP up to 40 kW and above 40 kW connected load in LTMD Tariff.

Yash Complex Cooperative Housing Service Society Ltd, Vadodara has stated that the MGVCCL is not obeying the orders dated 6.9.2011 reclassifying by merging LFD-I and LTP-I into RGP and LFD-II and LTP-I into NRGP up to 40 kw connected load and above 40 kw connected load LT MD tariff.



Response of DISCOM

Following the order dated 6.9.2011, MGVCL has merged the connections of same tariff category i.e., NGRP/LTMD existing in the same premises owned by same legal entity and same electricity duty.

Commission's observation

The response of DISCOM is noted.

Issue 7: Reduction of Railway Traction Tariff

The Western Railways have requested for reduction in Railway Traction Tariff due to:

- (a) Railways (Gol) are EHT consumer;
- (b) Distribution loss of Railways are least; and
- (c) Railways pay the bills promptly to DISCOMs.

Response of DISCOMs

It can be seen from the past tariff orders that there has been no revision in energy charges of Railways even though there has been substantial increase in the cost of operations and service for the utility and the rate of inflation. Moreover, the freight cost charged by Railways for transportation of fuel has been increased substantially in last couple of years.

The contention of the railways that the railway traction tariff should be lower than HT industrial category cannot be sustained on the basis of the following special facilities being extended and the harmful effects on its power system due to the Railway Traction load which warrants payment of higher charges by railways.

- (i) Supply on two phases is given which induces imbalance in the system and excess demand reflects due to bunching of trains and charges at normal tariff and no penalty is levied. There is no load shedding, or power cuts unlike other HT consumers.
- (ii) Traction load transmits fluctuation and harmonics, which are harmful to the equipment and generators. These are absorbed by the system of GEB and no extra charges is levied for these harmful injections by the traction load of railways.

Commission's analysis

The request of Western Railway and the response of DISCOMs are noted.



Issue 8: Cost of supply

Railway Traction, being EHV connection, technical, distribution and commercial losses are not there. Hence the cost of EHV supply should always be less compared to the average cost of supply of other categories of consumers.

Response of DISCOMs

The National Tariff Policy mentions the need to have a rationalization of tariff to various consumer categories such that it is more aligned to cost of supply and in a band of $\pm 20\%$ to the average cost of supply. In order to ensure uniform tariff rates for all four state owned Distribution Companies, differential bulk supply tariff mechanism is in place. Accordingly average realization from Railway Traction is Rs. 6.64/kWh and (+) 20% of average cost is Rs. 6.69/kWh.

Commission's observation

The Commission has determined the tariff taking all factors into consideration.

Issue 9: Fuel Adjustment Cost

DISCOMs are charging very high FCA charges and increasing the same from time to time whereas no other SEBs have done like this where as the hike in fuel prices equally applies to all States. Hence it is not justifiable to levy steep hike FCA.

Response of DISCOMs

DISCOMs are transporting coal from coal mines located in Orissa, Chhattisgarh, Andhra Pradesh and Jharkhand which are farthest from Gujarat and the cost of transport of coal is much higher than the cost of coal. Further, the Railways has increased tariff for load transport in the recent past.

Also, the basic nature of FPPPA is adjustment related to power purchase cost i.e., passing on the increase or decrease, as the case may be. The FPPPA charge is levied on the consumer categories on account of the charges in power purchase cost which comprises almost to 85 to 90% of ARR. Any expenses related to Regulated business has to be recovered from the consumers and hence the FPPPA charges are recovered as incremental energy charges (Rs/kWh) as per the formulae approved by the Commission.



Commission's observation

The FPPPA charges are determined based on the actual cost of Fuel Power Purchase on which DISCOMs have no control. The costs are passed on to the consumers.

Issue 10: Increase in Power factor incentive

Railways have requested that in view of the APTEL order in Case No. 224/2006 (against tariff order of GERC dated 6.5.2006), to restore the original power factor incentive rates at 1% instead of 0.5% as best efforts are being made by Railways to improve PF of the system. Since Gujarat is charging penalty at 1% for PF below 0.9, Power Factor should be enhanced to rates as existing in Madhya Pradesh which is 1.5% of energy charges for PF from 0.95 to 0.96, 2% for 0.96 to 0.97, 3% for 0.97 to 0.98, 5% for 0.98 and 7% for 0.99 and above.

Response of DISCOMs

The PF incentive of 0.5% is fixed by Commission in Review Petition No: 2 & 3 of 2007 filed by Western Railway after a lot of discussion and deliberation on both sides.

Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

Issue 11: Increase in EHV rebate from 1 to 1.5%

Western Railways requested for increase in EHV rebate from 1% to 1.5%.

Response of DISCOMs

Rebate to consumers availing supply at HV level should be commensurate with the reduction in losses from normal voltage level for which the tariff has been determined. It is difficult to quantify the exact savings in energy losses due to power supply at HV class.

Commission's observation

The response of DISCOMs is noted.

Issue 12: Fixation of Ceiling for FCA

Western Railways requested for fixation of upper ceiling for FCA.



Response of DISCOMs

National Tariff Policy provides for recovery of uncontrollable cost speedily to ensure that future consumers are not burdened with the past cost. Prior approval of the Commission however is taken in case increase in fuel charges is beyond 10 paise/kWh in a quarter.

Commission's observation

As mentioned earlier the FPPPA are arrived at based on actual cost of fuel/power every quarter and increase or reduction in cost is passed on to the consumers. It is not possible to fix ceiling.

Issue 13: Need for Simultaneous Maximum Demand

DISCOMs levy charges based on the contract demand at individual traction substation and levy penalties for exceeding contract demand. Due to bunching of trains in the feed zone of traction substation demand will shoot up for a short spell at a couple of substations. Hence it becomes logical and appropriate that traction tariff should be made a single part tariff and if not, demand changes should be based as simultaneous maximum demand of various traction substations.

Response of DISCOMs

As per the directives of the Commission, a meeting was held with Railway authorities and an understanding was given that after allowing bunching of trains, the issue is largely being addressed. There is no difference between load/demand of railways at various locations, and the load/demand of other industries having multiple location/factories in DISCOM and who may also claim simultaneous maximum demand. Further if simultaneous maximum demand is allowed, it means that the sum of the demand at various locations can be drawn at a single location which may have catastrophic consequence as electrical infrastructure of DISCOM side is not designed / provided for entire load of all location at a particular location. Therefore it is not possible to accept simultaneous maximum demand.

Commission's observation

The issue raised will be examined and appropriate decision will be taken.



4. Truing up for FY 2012-13

The MGVCCL, in its submission for True-up for FY 2012-13, has furnished the actual energy sales, expenditure and revenue for FY 2012-13, based on the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The licensee has stated that the truing up for FY 2012-13 is based on the comparison of the actual performance of the FY 2012-13 with the approved aggregate revenue requirement for FY 2012-13 in the Tariff Order dated 6th September, 2011, to arrive at the gains / losses, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

The Commission has analysed the components of the actual energy sales, expenses, revenue and computed gains / losses in the process of truing up for FY 2012-13.

4.1 Energy sales

Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted the category-wise actual energy sales for FY 2012-13, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.1: Category-wise Actual Sales for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Sales (MU)	
		FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in truing up)
A	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2024	1818
2	GLP	}	46
3	Non RGP & LTMD		1119
4	Public Water works		173
5	Agriculture – Metered	519	513
6	Agriculture – Un-metered	474	474
7	Public Lighting	68	61
	LT Total (A)	4614	4205
B	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	2762	2454
9	Railway Traction	418	372
-	HT Total (B)	3180	2827
	Grand Total (A+B)	7794	7032



Commission's Analysis

The Commission, in the Tariff order dated 6th September 2011, had approved the energy sales of 7794 MU for FY 2012-13. As against the above, MGVCCL has submitted the actual sales of 7032 MU for FY 2012-13.

It can be seen from the Table given above, that the actual energy sales of almost all categories are much lower. Overall, the actual energy sales of MGVCCL are lower by 762 MU, as against those approved in the MYT Order.

The Commission approves the energy sales of 7032 MU for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Sales (MU)		
		FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in truing up)	FY 2012-13 (Approved in truing up)
A	LT Consumers			
1	RGP	2024	1818	1818
2	GLP	1339	46	46
3	Non RGP & LTMD		1119	1119
4	Public Water works	190	173	173
5	Agriculture – Metered	519	513	513
6	Agriculture – Un-metered	474	474	474
7	Public Lighting	68	61	61
	LT Total (A)	4614	4205	4205
B	HT Consumers			
8	Industrial HT	2762	2454	2454
9	Railway Traction	418	372	372
	HT Total (B)	3180	2827	2827
	Grand Total (A+B)	7794	7032	7032

4.2 Distribution losses

Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted that the actual distribution losses for FY 2012-13 were 12.89%, as against the approved level of 12.50% for FY 2012-13. The licensee has submitted that as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, the distribution losses need to be treated as controllable and any gains or losses have to be dealt with accordingly, as per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.



Commission's Analysis

The MGCVCL has submitted that the actual distribution loss for FY 2012-13 was 12.89%, which is higher than the distribution loss level of 12.50% approved by the Commission in the MYT Order dated 6th September 2011.

The Commission considers the distribution losses as controllable as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Accordingly, the Commission considers the losses of 12.50% as approved in MYT order for the truing

up of FY 2012-13 as shown in the Table below for computation of gains / losses due to variance of distribution losses.

Table 4.3: Distribution Losses considered for truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	(%)		
	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Actual)	FY 2012-13 (Considered in True-up)
Distribution Losses	12.50%	12.89%	12.50%

4.3 Energy Requirement

Licensee's submission

MGVCL has submitted the energy requirement for FY 2012-13, based on the actual energy sales and the actual distribution losses for FY 2012-13. The following Table summarises the energy requirement of MGVCL for FY 2012-13.

**Table 4.4: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance as Submitted by MGVCL for
FY 2012-13**

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in truing up)
1	Energy Sales	MU	7794	7032
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1113	1042
		%	12.50	12.89
3	Energy Requirement	MU	8907	8073
4	Transmission Losses	MU	383	378
		%	4.12	4.48
5	Total Energy to be input to the Transmission System	MU	9290	8451
6	Pooled losses in PGCIL system	MU	157	83
7	Total Energy Requirement	MU	9447	8533



Commission's Analysis

The MGCVCL has computed the energy requirement, based on the actual distribution losses of 12.89%, actual energy sales of 7032 MU and transmission losses of 4.48%. It can be seen from the Table given above that the distribution losses are higher than those approved in the MYT Order. The transmission losses are also higher than those considered in the MYT order.

Accordingly, the Commission has computed the energy requirement of MGCVCL for FY 2012-13, as shown in the Table below.

**Table 4.5: Energy Requirement Approved by the Commission for truing up for
FY 2012-13**

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Actuals submitted in the petition)	FY 2012-13 (considered for truing up for the purpose of energy requirement)
1	Energy Sales	MU	7794	7032	7032
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1113	1041	1041
		%	12.50	12.89	12.89
3	Energy Requirement	MU	8907	8073	8073
4	Transmission Losses	MU	383	378	378
		%	4.12	4.48	4.48
5	Total energy to be input to transmission system	MU	9290	8451	8451
6	Pooled losses in PGCIL system	MU	157	83	83
7	Total Energy Requirement	MU	9447	8533	8533

4.4 Power purchase cost

Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted that the company has been allotted share of generation capacities, as per the scheme worked out by GUVNL.

During the year, based on the requirement of power, the generation capacities have been allocated to MGCVCL. Based on the allocation, if there is surplus power, the distribution company sells the power to GUVNL. The comparison of the approved and actual power purchase cost, as submitted by MGCVCL, is as shown below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 4.6: Net Power Purchase Cost for FY 2012-13

	(Rs. Crore)	
Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual submitted
Total Power Purchase Cost	3499.63	3342

The Power Purchase Cost given above is the net power purchase cost, after considering the net UI Cost Payable/Receivable and the revenue from sale of power to GUVNL. The MGVCCL has submitted the actual power purchase cost during FY 2012-13, as shown below:

Table 4.7: Power Purchase Cost Submitted by MGVCCL for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Crore)
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL	3862
2	Power Purchase from others (wind, Reactive energy Charges)	17
3	UI import	24
4	SLDC Charges	1.0
5	Total Power Purchase (1+2+3)	3904
6	Power sold to GUVNL	484
7	UI export	79
8	Net Power Purchase Cost (4-5-6)	3342

It is submitted by MGVCCL that the variation in the approved power purchase cost by the Commission and the actual power purchase cost incurred is due to various reasons. These include: change in the power purchase cost, change in quantum of power purchased, consequent changes in the transmission charges payable and GUVNL cost allocation.

The licensee has stated that variation in power purchase expenses is due to variation in the cost and quantum, which is an uncontrollable factor. The licensee has also stated that the actual distribution loss for FY 2012-13 is higher than that approved in the Tariff Order. The quantum of power purchased is lower than that approved in the Tariff Order, which has a lower cost implication as well. Accordingly, any gain or loss, on account of uncontrollable factors, is to be entirely passed on to the consumers.

However, the increase or reduction in quantum of power purchase and power purchase expense due to variation in distribution loss is a controllable factor, which would result in gain or loss under GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, and is dealt with accordingly.



Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the actual quantum of power purchased and the power purchase cost during the year FY 2012-13, based on the actual energy sales and the distribution losses submitted by MGCVCL. It is observed by the Commission that GUVNL cost allocated to the Discoms is less than 4 paise/unit allowed in the MYT order dated 6th September, 2011. Hence, the Commission allows the same. The sales and the quantum of power purchase and the power purchase cost are as per the audited annual accounts for the FY 2012-13. The power purchase cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, is Rs. 3341.58 Crore, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4.8: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulates	Amount (Rs. Crore)
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL	3862.48
2	Power Purchase from others (Wind/Solar)	17.74
3	State Load Despatch Centre charges	0.88
4	UI Import	23.75
5	Total Power Purchase	3904.85
6	Less: Power sold to GUVNL	484.50
7	Less: UI export	78.77
8	Net Power Purchase Cost (4-5-6)	3341.58

The Commission, accordingly, approves the power purchase cost of Rs. 3341.58 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.9: Power Purchase Cost Approved by the Commission for truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Submitted in truing up)	FY 2012-13 (Approved in True-up) (Rs. Crore)
Total Power Purchase Cost	3499.63	3341.58	3341.58

4.4.1 Gains / losses due to distribution losses

The Commission had approved the distribution loss at 12.50% in the MYT order, against which the actual distribution loss of MGCVCL is 12.89% for FY 2012-13.

The total gains / losses, on account of lower distribution losses, are computed in the Table below:



Table 4.10: Gains/ (Losses) on Account of Distribution Losses for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Unit	Actuals submitted for FY 2012-13	Considered for computation of Gains/(Losses) for FY 2012-13
1	Energy Sales	MU	7032	7032
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1041	1005
		%	12.89	12.50
3	Energy Requirement	MU	8073	8037
4	Gains/(losses) due to Distribution Losses	MU	(36)	(36)
5	Average cost of Power Purchase	Rs./kWh	3.92	3.70
6	Gains/(losses) due to Distribution Losses	Rs. Crore	(14.11)	(13.32)

The total loss on account of higher distribution losses, as submitted by MGVCL, is Rs. 14.11 Crore and as computed by the Commission is Rs. 13.32 Crore. MGVCL has considered Wt. Avg. rate of power purchase as actual for FY 2012-13, instead of Wt. Avg. rate of power purchase approved by the Commission in MYT Order.

The Commission considered the change in power purchase cost, as uncontrollable, attributable to the variation in cost and quantum of power due to variations in sales and transmission losses, while variations in quantum of power, due to distribution losses, is considered as controllable. Accordingly, gains/losses computed on account of power purchase is shown in the Table below.

Table 4.11: Approved Gains / (losses) – Power Purchase Expenses for truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	FY 2012-13 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2012-13 (Approved in True-up)	Deviation + / (-)	(Rs. Crore)	
				Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Total Power Purchase Cost	3499.63	3341.58	158.05	(13.32)	171.37

4.5 Fixed Charges

4.5.1 Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for FY 2012-13

The MGVCL has submitted Rs. 306 Crore towards actual O&M expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 269.68 Crore considered for FY 2012-13 in the MYT order dated 6th September 2011, as detailed in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 4.12: O&M Expenses Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation + / (-)
1	Employee Cost	234	274	(40)
2	Repairs and Maintenance	42	40	2
3	Administration and General Expenses	41	47	(6)
4	Other Debits	9	9	(0)
5	Extraordinary Items	0	-	0
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ (Income)	-	(0)	0
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(57)	(64)	7
8	Total O&M Expenses	270	306	(37)

Licensee's Submission

The MGVCCL has submitted that the O&M expenses consist of the following elements:

- Employee Expenses
- Repairs and Maintenance Expenses
- Administration and General Expenses
- Other Debits
- Extraordinary Items
- Net Prior Period Expense/ (Income)
- Other Expenses Capitalized

The MGVCCL has compared the O&M expenses actually incurred during FY 2012-13 with the expenses approved by the Commission for the year in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13 and arrived at a loss of Rs. 4 Crore (on account of controllable factors) and loss of Rs. 33.00 Crore (on account of uncontrollable factors), as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.13: O&M Expenses and Gains/ (losses) Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	Employee Cost	234	274	-	(40)
2	Repair and Maintenance	42	40	2	-
3	Administrative and General expenses	41	47	(6)	-



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
4	Other Debits	9	9	-	(0)
5	Extraordinary Items	0	-	-	0
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ (Income)	-	(0)	-	0
7	Other Expenses capitalised	(57)	(64)	-	7
8	Total O&M Expenses	270	306	(4)	(33)

The O&M expenses are discussed component-wise in the following paragraphs.

4.5.2 Employee Cost

The MGVCCL has submitted Rs. 274 Crore towards actual employee cost in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 234.38 Crore approved in the MYT Order. The employee cost approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order of 6th September, 2011, and submitted by MGVCCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.14: Employee cost submitted by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	(Rs. Crore)	
	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Employee cost	234.38	274

Licensee's submission

The MGVCCL has submitted that the employee cost was as per the directions of the State Government. MGVCCL has requested that the variation in employee cost be considered as uncontrollable and, accordingly, appropriate treatment be given to the same. MGVCCL has estimated a loss of Rs. 40 Crore, on account of uncontrollable Employee cost.

Commission Analysis

The MGVCCL has compared the actual employee cost of Rs.274 Crore incurred during FY 2012-13 with Rs. 234.38 Crore considered in the MYT order for FY 2012-13. The actual employee cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, is Rs. 274.16 Crore.



The Commission, accordingly, approves the employee cost at Rs. 274.16 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as per the audited annual accounts.

The Commission considers the employee cost as a controllable expense, in accordance with the provisions under Regulation 23.2 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011.

4.5.3 Repairs and Maintenance (R&M) Expenses

The MGVCL has submitted Rs. 40 Crore towards R&M expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 42.48 Crore approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order. The R&M expenses approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT order of 6th September 2011, and submitted by MGVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.15: R&M expenses submitted by MGVCL for the truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Repairs and Maintenance expenses	42	40

Licensee's submission

The MGVCL has submitted that the assets of MGVCL are old and require regular maintenance to ensure uninterrupted operations. It has been further submitted that MGVCL has been trying its best to ensure uninterrupted operations of the system by undertaking R&M activities which are uncontrollable in nature.

The MGVCL has estimated a gain of Rs. 2 Crore, due to controllable factors.

Commission Analysis

The actual R&M expenses incurred during FY 2012-13 are Rs. 40.34 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the R&M expenses at Rs. 40.34 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as per the Audited Annual accounts.

The R&M expense is a controllable item of expenditure under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. The Gains / (Losses) are considered accordingly.



4.5.4 Administration and General (A&G) Expenses

The MGCVCL has submitted Rs. 47 Crore towards A&G expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 40.75 Crore approved in the MYT order. The A&G expenses approved for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order of 6th September 2011 and submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.16: A&G expenses submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
A&G expenses	40.75	47

Licensee's submission

The MGCVCL has submitted that the A&G expenses are categorised as controllable expenses in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, and the actual A&G expenses, when compared with the approved value, resulted in a loss of 6 Crore for FY 2012-13.

Commission's Analysis

The actual A&G expenses, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, are Rs. 56.11 Crore and are higher than what was approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13 by Rs. 15.36 Crore.

The Commission accordingly, approves the A&G expenses at Rs. 56.11 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The parameters impacting A&G expenses are controllable in nature, as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. The Commission, accordingly, considers the losses under A&G expenses as controllable.

4.5.5 Other Debits

Licensee's submission

The MGCVCL has submitted the actual other debits at Rs. 9 Crore in the truing up, as against Rs. 9 Crore approved in the MYT order dated 6th September, 2011 for FY 2012-13.



Commission's Analysis

The actual other debits, as per audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13, are Nil. The Commission has observed that the amount of Rs. 9.00 Crore claimed by MGVCL is not found in the audited accounts for FY 2012-13.

The Commission approves the other debits at Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

4.5.6 Extraordinary Items

The MGVCL has not submitted anything under extraordinary items in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against provision of Nil approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13.

Commission's Analysis

The actual extraordinary items are Nil, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13.

The Commission approves the extraordinary items as Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

4.5.7 Net prior period expenses / (income)

The MGVCL has not submitted anything towards net prior period expenses in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

Commission's Analysis

The MGVCL did not estimate the prior period expenses in the MYT petition for FY 2012-13. These net prior period expenses are recognised, through a directive in the Tariff order dated 6th September, 2011. The actual net prior period expenses accounted for in the audited annual accounts are Rs. (0.10) Crore.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the net prior period expenses of Rs. (0.10) Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

4.5.8 Other expenses capitalised

The MGVCL has submitted the actual expenses capitalised at Rs. 64 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 57 Crore approved in the MYT order for the FY 2012-13.



Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that the other expenses capitalised represent the capitalisation of employees cost, A&G expenses and interest charges, etc., as seen from Note 29 of the annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The actual other expenses capitalised are Rs. 70.17 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. These other expenses capitalised include Rs. 5.80 Crore towards capitalisation of interest charges. The interest charges capitalised are excluded from this figure, since the interest charges are allowed on normative basis, against the actual capitalisation of CAPEX.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the other expenses capitalised at Rs. 64.37 Crore, excluding the interest charges capitalised, in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The total O&M expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13 and the gains / (losses) considered due to controllable and uncontrollable factors are detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.17: Approved O&M expenses and Gains/ (losses) in the truing up for
FY 2012-13**

(Rs. Crore)						
Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/-	Gains/ (Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	Employee Cost	234.38	274.16	(39.78)	(39.78)	
2	Repairs and Maintenance	42.48	40.34	2.14	2.14	
3	Administrative and General Expenses	40.75	56.11	(15.36)	(15.36)	
4	Other Debits	9.07	0.00	9.07		9.07
5	Extraordinary Items	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	0.00	(0.10)	0.10		0.10
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(57.00)	(64.37)	7.37		7.37
8	Total O&M Expenses	269.68	306.14	(36.46)	(53.00)	16.54



4.5.9 Capital expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX

The MGVCL has furnished the capital expenditure at Rs. 340 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 249 Crore considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13 in the MYT Order. The details are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.18: Capital Expenditure Submitted by MGVCL for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)			
Sl. No.	Particulars	Considered in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Distribution Schemes	71	89
2	Rural Electrification Schemes	81	164
3	Non Plan Schemes	58	51
4	Others	5	5
5	Other New Schemes	34	31
6	Total Capital Expenditure	249	340

Licensee's submission

The MGVCL has submitted that the actual capital expenditure incurred during FY 2012-13 was Rs. 340 Crore, which is higher than what was considered for FY 2012-13 in the MYT order dated 6th September, 2011 by Rs. 91 Crore.

Commission's Analysis

The capital expenditure considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13 in the MYT order dated 6th September, 2011 was Rs. 248.00 Crore. The actual capital expenditure incurred is given as Rs. 340 Crore and this is higher by Rs. 92 Crore than the CAPEX considered in the ARR for FY 2012-13. The actual capitalisation is Rs. 314.42 Crore, as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The consumer contribution and subsidies and grants have been verified from the audited annual accounts. MGVCL has provided justification for increase in capital expenditure.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the capitalisation at Rs. 314.42 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The CAPEX, capitalisation and funding submitted by the MGVCL and approved by the Commission are as given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 4.19: Approved Capitalisation and Source of Funding in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)				
Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order for FY 2012-13	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Capital Expenditure		340	
2	Capitalisation	248.00	314	314.42
3	Less: Consumer Contribution	37.00	81	81.16
4	Less: Subsidies and Grants	126.00	96	95.93
5	Balance Capitalisation	85.00	137	137.33
6	Debt (70%)	59.00	96	96.13
7	Equity (30%)	25.00	41	41.20

4.5.10 Depreciation

The MGCVCL has submitted Rs. 135 Crore towards depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The depreciation charges approved in the MYT order of 6th September, 2011 and submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13 are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.20: Depreciation submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)		
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Depreciation	131	135

Licensee's submission

The MGCVCL has submitted that the amount of depreciation, as per actuals, is higher than the approved depreciation. MGCVCL has applied the rate of depreciation, as specified by CERC, assuming the asset mix to remain unchanged.

The MGCVCL has considered that the depreciation rate, as per the CERC Regulations, 2009 and computed the depreciation, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.21: Fixed Assets and Depreciation Computed by MGCVCL for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)				
Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation + / (-)
1	Gross Block at the Beginning of the Year	2371	2424	
2	Additions During the Year	248	314	
3	Depreciation for the Year	131	135	(4)
4	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.25%	5.22%	



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

The MGVCL has further submitted that the actual depreciation for FY 2012-13, as against the value approved in the Tariff order, resulted in a net uncontrollable loss of Rs. 4 Crore as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.22: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Submitted in the truing up for
FY 2012-13**

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	(Rs. Crore)
				Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Depreciation	131	135	0	(4)

Commission's Analysis

The opening balance of GFA, the net addition during the year FY 2012-13 and the closing balance of GFA have been verified with the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The MGVCL has adopted the opening balance of GFA at Rs. 2424.15 Crore and this is as per the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The depreciation rate of 5.22% adopted is in line with the depreciation rates specified in CERC Tariff Regulations, 2009.

The Commission has computed the depreciation at Rs. 134.75 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.23: Approved depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	(Rs. Crore)
			Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
1	Gross block at the beginning of the year	2424	2424.15
2	Additions during the year	314	314.42
3	Depreciation for the year	135	134.75
4	Average rate of depreciation	5.22%	5.22%

With regard to the computation of Gains / Losses, Regulation 23.2 considers the variation in capitalisation on account of time and/or cost overruns / efficiencies in the implementation of capital expenditure project, not attributable to an approved change in scope of such project, change in statutory levies or force majeure events, as a controllable factor. While approving the True-up for FY 2011-12, the Commission had considered the variation in the Capitalisation and the resultant change in depreciation, Interest on borrowings and Return on Equity as uncontrollable.



The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/losses on account of depreciation in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.24: Gains/ (Losses) due to Depreciation Approved in the truing up for
FY 2012-13**

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	(Rs. Crore)	
			Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Depreciation	130.99	134.75	0	(3.76)

4.5.11 Interest and Guarantee charges

The MGVCCL has submitted Rs. 75 Crore towards interest and guarantee charges in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 45 Crore approved in the Tariff order for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.25: Interest and Guarantee charges submitted by MGVCCL in the truing up for
FY 2012-13**

Particulars	(Rs. Crore)	
	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Interest and Guarantee Charges	45	75

Licensee's Submission

The MGVCCL has submitted that it has considered the opening balance of loan as the closing balance of loan approved in the truing up for FY 2011-12 in the Tariff Order dated 16th April 2013. The loan addition has been computed at Rs. 96 Crore towards loan for funding the CAPEX for FY 2012-13. MGVCCL has considered the weighted average rate of interest of 10.48%, as against 11.00% considered in MYT order for FY 2012-13. In addition, MGVCCL has considered the guarantee charges payable on legacy loan from the erstwhile GEB and interest on security deposits. The details of interest and finance charges submitted by MGVCCL are as given in the Table below.

**Table 4.26: Interest and Guarantee Charges Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)**

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Loans	258	300
2	New Loan During the Year	60	96
3	Repayment During the Year	131	135
4	Closing Loans	186	261
5	Average Loans	222	280



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
6	Interest on Loans	24	29
7	Interest on Security Deposit	20	40
8	Guarantee Charges and Other Finance Charges	1	5
9	Total Interest and Guarantee Charges	45	75
10	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	11.00%	10.48%

The MGCVCL has further submitted that interest and finance charges are categorised as uncontrollable, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, and, accordingly, worked out deviation in the actuals, vis-à-vis the approved expenses under uncontrollable factors. These are, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.27: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Interest & Guarantee Charges for FY 2012-13

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	(Rs. Crore)	
			Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Interest and Guarantee charges	45	75	-	(30)

Commission's Analysis

The Commission analysed the loan for capital expenditure and approved the opening loan towards capital expenditure at Rs. 299.63 Crore as the closing balance of loan, approved in the truing up for FY 2011-12 in the Tariff order dated 16th April 2013.

This is taken as the opening balance of loan in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The normative addition of loan during FY 2012-13 has been considered as approved in Table 4.19 above based on the actual capitalisation as per the audited annual accounts. PGVCL has submitted the actual interest on security deposit at Rs. 40.31 Crore as per audited annual accounts.

The repayment of loan is submitted at Rs. 135 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, which is equivalent to the depreciation. The guarantee charges and other finance charges as per audited accounts for FY 2012-13 are Rs. 5.32 Crore. MGCVCL has submitted vide e-mail dated 29th March, 2014 details of the actual opening balance as on 1st April 2012 for each loan portfolio and the rate of interest applicable for each loan portfolio for FY 2012-13. Based on these details, the weighted average rate of



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

interest in accordance with the Clause 39 of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, works out to 10.16%. Taking all these factors into consideration, the interest charges computed as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.28: Interest & Finance Charges Approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)			
Sl. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Loans	300	299.63
2	New loans During the Year	96	96.13
3	Repayment During the Year	135	134.75
4	Closing Loans	261	261.01
5	Average Loans	280	280.32
6	Interest on Loans	29	28.48
7	Interest on Security Deposit	40	40.31
8	Guarantee Charges	5	5.32
9	Total Interest & Finance Charges	75	74.11
10	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	10.48%	10.16%

The Commission, approves the interest and guarantee charges at Rs. 74.11 Crore in the truing for FY 2012-13.

As noted in Para 4.5.10 above, the Commission is of the view that the parameters which impact interest and guarantee charges should be treated as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/ (losses) on account of interest and guarantee finance charges in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.29: Gains/ (losses) approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)				
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Interest and Guarantee Charges	45.42	74.11	-	(28.69)

4.5.12 Interest on Working Capital

The MGCVCL has not submitted any claim towards interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Nil provisions approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13, which are as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.30: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13

	(Rs. Crore)	
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Interest on Working Capital	Nil	Nil

Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that the interest on working capital has been calculated, based on the normative principles, outlined in the terms and conditions of tariff Regulations at an interest rate of 14.75%, being the Short-Term Prime Lending Rate of SBI, as on 1st April 2011, as against 11.75% approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13.

The detailed computation of interest on working capital is as given in the Table below:

Table 4.31: Interest on Working Capital Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13

	(Rs. Crore)		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	O&M Expenses (one month)	22	26
2	Maintenance Spares (1% of Opening GFA)	24	26
3	Receivables	295	314
4	Less: Security Deposits from Consumers	398	529
5	Total Working Capital	(57)	(163)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	11.75%	14.75%
7	Interest on Working Capital	-	-

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the computation of normative working capital and interest thereon under GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011. Regulation 41.2 (b) specifies that interest shall be allowed at a rate equal to the State Bank Advance Rate (SBAR) as on 1st April of the Financial year in which the petition is filed. While truing up for FY 2011-12, the Commission had decided to consider the SBAR prevailing as on 1st April of the financial year for which truing up is being done, instead of 1st April of the financial year, in which petition is filed. The SBAR, as on 1st April 2012, was 14.75%.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

The Commission, accordingly, takes into consideration the SBAR of 14.75% in computation of Interest in Working Capital for FY 2012-13.

The Commission has computed the Working Capital and interest thereon, as detailed in Table below:

Table 4.32: Interest on Working Capital Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
1	O&M Expenses (One Month)	26	25.51
2	Maintenance Spares (1% of Opening GFA)	26	24.24
3	Receivables (1month of Sales)	314	304.64
4	Less: Security Deposit From Consumers (Average)	529	500.10
5	Total Working Capital	(163)	(145.70)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	14.75%	14.75%
7	Interest on Working Capital	Nil	Nil

The Commission, accordingly, approves the interest on working capital at Nil in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

4.5.13 Provision for bad debts

The MGCVCL has submitted Nil towards provision for bad debts in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 3.67 Crore approved in the Tariff order for FY 2012-13. The details are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.33: Provision for Bad Debts Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for
FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)		
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Provision for Bad Debts	3.67	Nil

Licensee's Submission

The MGCVCL has submitted that the actual value of bad debt, when compared with the approved value, resulted in a gain of Rs.3 Crore, on account of controllable factors, which are as shown in the Table below:

Table 4.34: Provision for Bad Debts for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)				
Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Bad Debt	3.67	Nil	3.00	-



Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has not claimed any Provision for Bad debt / written off during FY 2012-13. The Commission, therefore, approves the bad debt at NilL in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

The deviation on account of bad debt written off is Rs. 3.67 Crore and the Commission considers the gain of Rs. 3.67 Crore, due to controllable factors, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.35: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debt Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Bad Debt	3.67	NilL	3.67	-

4.5.14 Return on equity

The MGVCL has submitted Rs. 78 Crore towards return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 73.87 Crore approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13 which are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.36: Return on Equity Submitted by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Return on Equity	73.87	78

Licensee's Submission

The MGVCL has submitted that it has computed the return on equity, considering a rate of 14% on the average of opening and closing equity, taking into account the additions during the FY 2012-13.

The details of computation of return on equity are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.37: Return on equity submitted by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Equity	515	533
2	Additional Equity During the year	26	41



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
3	Closing Equity	540	575
4	Average Equity	528	554
5	Rate of Return on Equity	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	74	78

Commission's Analysis

The MGVCCL has furnished the opening equity capital at Rs. 533 Crore for FY 2012-13 and has submitted an equity addition of Rs. 41 Crore during the FY 2012-13. The actual opening equity, as on 01/04/2011, was Rs. 533.48 Crore, being the closing balance of equity approved in the True-up for FY 2011-12. The Commission has approved the normative equity addition at Rs. 41.20 Crore, as given in Table 4.19.

The Commission has computed the return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.38: Return on equity approved for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing Up for FY 2012-13
1	Opening Equity	533	533.48
2	Additional Equity During the Year	41	41.20
3	Closing Equity	575	574.68
4	Average Equity	554	554.08
5	Rate of Return on Equity	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	78	77.57

The Commission approves the return on equity at Rs. 77.57 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

As noted in Para 4.5.10 above, the factors impacting the Return on Equity are considered uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains/(losses) on account of return on equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.39: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Return on Equity in the truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Return on Equity	73.87	77.57	0	(3.70)



4.5.15 Taxes

The MGVCL has submitted Rs. 2 Crore towards income tax in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 5.84 Crore approved in MYT order for FY 2012-13, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.40: Taxes Submitted by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Provision for Tax	6	2

Licensee's submission

The licensee has submitted that the actual tax worked out to Rs. 2 Crore, as against Rs. 6 Crore approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13. MGVCL has further mentioned that tax is a statutory expense and this should be allowed, without any deduction. MGVCL has submitted a gain of Rs. 4 Crore, on account of tax, as given in the Table below:

Table 4.41: Gains/ (Losses) Submitted due to Provision for tax for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Tax	6	2	0	4

Commission Analysis

The Commission has obtained the copies of tax payer's counterfoil and found that the licensee has paid income tax of Rs. 2.03 Crore for FY 2012-13.

The Commission approves the tax paid at Rs. 2.03 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

With regard to the computation of gains/(losses), Regulation 23.1 considers variation in taxes on income as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains/(losses) on account of tax on income in the truing up for FY 2012-13 which are as detailed in the Table below:



Table 4.42: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Tax in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Provision for Tax	5.84	2.03	0	3.81

4.5.16 Non-Tariff Income

The MGCVCL has furnished the actual Non-Tariff income at Rs. 110 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 86.75 Crore approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13, which are as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.43: Non-Tariff Income Submitted by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
Non-Tariff Income	87	110

Licensee's Submission

The licensee has submitted that the actual value of Non-Tariff income is Rs. 110 Crore, as against Rs. 87 Crore approved in the MYT order for FY 2012-13 and this resulted in a net uncontrollable gain of Rs. 23 Crore, which is as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.44: Gains/(Losses) Submitted Due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Non-Tariff income	87	110	0	23

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has verified and found that the actual 'other income' is Rs. 139.17 Crore, including DPS of Rs. 29.61 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2012-13. The deviation is Rs. 52.42 Crore, which is a gain.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Non-Tariff income at Rs. 139.17 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 4.45: Approved Gains/(Losses) due to Non-Tariff Income in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Particulars	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	(Rs. Crore)	
			Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Non-Tariff Income	86.75	139.17	0	52.42

4.6 Revenue from sale of power

The MGCVCL has furnished the total revenue at Rs. 3728 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13, as against Rs. 3616 Crore considered in the MYT order for FY 2012-13, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4.46: Revenue Submitted in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	(Rs. Crore)	
		Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	3478	3585
2	Other Income (Consumer Related)	59	72
3	Total Revenue Excluding Subsidy (1+2)	3537	3657
4	Agriculture Subsidy	79	71
5	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (3+4+5)	3616	3728

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has verified the total revenue for FY 2012-13 from the audited accounts. The actual revenue from sales, as per audited accounts, is Rs. 3584.68 Crore. The revenue shown by the licensee from sales of power to GUVNL is Rs. 484.50 Crore and UI charges receivable is Rs. 78.77 Crore for FY 2012-13 and the same has been adjusted by the Commission against the power purchase cost for the FY 2012-13, as shown in Table 4.8.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the total revenue of Rs. 3727.62 Crore, including other income, at Rs. 71.89 Crore and agriculture subsidy at Rs. 71.05 Crore in the truing up for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.47: Revenue Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	(Rs. Crore)	
		Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	3585	3584.68
2	Other income (Consumer Related)	72	71.89
3	Total Revenue Excluding Subsidy (1+2)	3657	3656.57



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved for FY 2012-13 in truing up
4	Agriculture Subsidy	71	71.05
5	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (3+4+5)	3728	3727.62

4.7 ARR Approved in the truing up

The Commission reviewed the performance of MGCVCL under Regulation 22 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, with reference to the audited accounts for FY 2012-13. The Commission computed the gains/(losses) for FY 2012-13, based on the truing up for each of the components discussed in the above paragraphs.

The Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) approved in the Tariff Order dated 6th September, 2012, actual submitted in truing up and approved for truing up and gains/(losses) computed, in accordance with GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011, are as given in the Table below:

Table 4.48: ARR Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13

(Rs. Crore)							
Sl. No	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/-	Gains/ (Losses) due to Controllable Factors	Gains/ (Losses) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	2	3	4	5	6=(3-5)	7	8
1	Cost of Power Purchase	3499.63	3341.58	3341.58	158.05	(13.32)	171.37
2	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	269.68	306.00	306.14	(36.46)		
2.1	Employee Cost	234.38	274.00	274.16	-39.78	-39.78	
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance	42.48	40.00	40.34	2.14	2.14	
2.3	Administrative and General expenses	40.75	47.00	56.11	-15.36	-15.36	
2.4	Other Debits	9.07	9.00	0	9.07		9.07
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0	0.00	0	0		0
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ Income	0.00	-	-0.1	0.1		0.1
2.7	other Expenses Capitalised	(57.00)	(64.00)	-64.37	7.37		7.37
3	Depreciation	130.99	135.00	134.75	(3.76)		(3.76)
4	Interest and Finance Charges	45.42	75.00	74.11	(28.69)		(28.69)
5	Interest On Working Capital	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
6	Provision for Bad Debts	3.67	0.00	0.00	3.67	3.67	
7	Return on Equity	73.87	78.00	77.57	(3.70)		(3.70)
8	Provision for Tax /	5.84	2.00	2.03	3.81		3.81



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved for FY 2012-13 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2012-13	Approved in truing up for FY 2012-13	Deviation +/-	Gains/ (Losses) due to Controllable Factors	Gains/ (Losses) due to Uncontrollable Factors
	Tax Paid						
9	Total Expenditure	4029.10	3937.58	3936.18	92.92	(62.65)	155.57
10	Less: Non-Tariff Income	86.75	110.00	139.17	52.42		52.42
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	3942.35	3827.58	3797.01	145.34	(62.65)	207.99

4.8 Sharing of Gains / (losses) for FY 2012-13

The Commission has analysed the gains / (losses), on account of controllable and Uncontrollable factors.

The relevant Regulations are extracted below

Regulation 24. Mechanism for pass-through of gains or losses on account of uncontrollable factors

24.1 The approved aggregate gain or loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee, on account of uncontrollable factors, shall be passed through as an adjustment in the Tariff of the Generating Company, or Transmission Licensee, or Distribution Licensee over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission passed under these Regulations.

24.2 The Generating Company, or Transmission Licensee, or Distribution Licensee shall submit such details of the variation between expenses incurred and revenue earned and figures approved by the Commission, in the prescribed format to the Commission, along with detailed computations and supporting documents, as may be required, for verification by the Commission.

24.3 Nothing contained in this Regulation 24 shall apply in respect of any gain or loss arising out of variations in the price of fuel and power purchase, which shall be dealt with as specified by the Commission from time to time.

Regulation 25. Mechanism for sharing of gains or losses on account of controllable factors



25.1 The approved aggregate gain to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee, on account of controllable factors, shall be dealt with in the following manner:

One-third of the amount of such gain shall be passed on as a rebate in Tariffs over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 22.6;

The balance amount, which will amount to two-thirds of such gain, may be utilised at the discretion of the Generating Company, or Transmission Licensee, or Distribution Licensee.

25.2 The approved aggregate loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or Distribution Licensee on account of controllable factors shall be dealt with in the following manner:

a. One-third of the amount of such loss may be passed on as an additional charge in Tariffs over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 22.6; and

b. The balance amount, which will amount to two-thirds of such loss, shall be absorbed by the Generating Company, or Transmission Licensee, or Distribution Licensee.”

4.8 Revenue gap / surplus for FY 2012-13

As shown in the Table below, the MGVCCL has submitted a revenue gap of Rs. 40.28 Crore in the truing up after treatment of gains / (losses) due to controllable / uncontrollable factors, after comparing the performance with the Tariff Order for FY 2012-13.

Table 4.49: Projected Revenue gap / (surplus) FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement Originally Approved for FY 2012-13	3,942
2	Gain / (Loss) on Account of Uncontrollable Factors to be passed on to the Consumers	110
3	Gain / (Loss) on Account of Controllable Factors to be passed on to the Consumers (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	1
4	Revised ARR for FY 2012-13 (1 - 2 - 3)	3,831
5	Revenue from Sale of Power	3,585



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13
6	Other Income (Consumer Related)	72
7	Total Revenue Excluding Subsidy (5+6)	3,657
8	Agriculture Subsidy	71
9	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (7 + 8)	3,728
10	Revised Gap After Treating Gains/(Losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable Factors (4 - 9)	103

The Commission compared the actual performance of MGVCL with the values approved in the MYT Order dated 6th September, 2011.

The Commission arrived at the revised ARR and revenue gap, based on the expenses and the gains / loss approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13. The revenue gap / surplus approved by the Commission for FY 2012-13 is summarised in the Table below:

Table 4.50: Revenue gap / (Surplus) Approved in the truing up for FY 2012-13
(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2012-13
1	ARR Approved in Tariff Order dated 6 th September 2011 for FY 2010-11	3942.35
2	Gains / (Losses) on Account of Uncontrollable Factors to be Passed on to the Consumer	207.99
3	Gain/ (Loss) on Account Of Controllable Factors to be Passed on to the Consumers (1/3 rd of Total Gain/Loss)	(20.88)
4	Revised ARR for FY 2012-13(1-2-3)	3755.25
5	Total Revenue From Sales	3584.68
6	Other Income (Consumer Related)	71.89
7	Total Revenue Excluding Subsidy(5+6)	3656.57
8	Agriculture Subsidy	71.05
9	Total Revenue Including Subsidy(7+8)	3727.62
10	Revised Surplus/(Gap) after Treating Gains/Losses due to Controllable/Uncontrollable factors(9-4)	(27.63)

4.10 Consolidated revenue Surplus of the Discoms for FY 2012-13

The consolidated revenue surplus of the four Discoms viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL, after truing up of FY 2012-13 is summarised below.

Table 4.51: Consolidated revenue surplus of four Discoms for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Discoms	Amount
1	DGVCL	10.14
2	MGVCL	(27.63)
3	PGVCL	(2.53)
4	UGVCL	25.70
	Total	5.68



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

While determining the ARR for FY 2014-15 in the MYT Order dated 6th September, 2011, the Commission has considered GUVNL cost of four paise per unit to be added to power purchase cost of each Discoms. GUVNL is entrusted for purchase of power on behalf of Discoms and sale of surplus power, if any, thereby adjusting power purchase cost of the Discoms. The 4 paise/unit is allowed by the Commission to GUVNL for meeting their expenses to carry out the business entrusted to it. It is very clear that any profit earned by GUVNL out of its statutory activities should be distributed amongst Discoms as the entire cost of GUVNL is being borne by Discoms. In view of the above, the Commission decides to adjust the amount of Rs. 13.81 Crore which is Profit After Tax in P&L Statement of the Annual Accounts of GUVNL for FY 2012-13, in proportion to the energy procured, as shown in Table below:

Table 4.52: Net revenue (Gap) / Surplus approved for FY 2012-13

Sl. No.	Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Total
1	Energy procured by four State Owned Discoms (in MUs)	13471	8533	25938	18321	66263
2	% share in procurement of energy	20.33%	12.88%	39.14%	27.65%	100.00%
3	Distribution of excess cost recovery by GUVNL as per % shown in (2) (in Rs. Crore)	2.81	1.78	5.41	3.82	13.82
4	Revenue (gap) / surplus after truing up of FY 2012-13 (in Rs. Crore)	10.14	(27.63)	(2.53)	25.70	10.74
5	Net revenue (gap) / surplus of FY 2012-13 to be considered (4+3) (in Rs. Crore)	12.95	(25.85)	2.88	29.52	19.50



5. Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

5.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with the determination of revenue gap/surplus, as well as consumer tariff for the FY 2014-15 for MGCVCL. The Commission has considered the ARR approved in the Mid-term Review for FY 2014-15 and the adjustment on account of True-up for FY 2012-13, while determining the revenue gap/surplus for FY 2014-15.

5.2 Approved ARR for FY 2014-15

Based on the above approach, the Table below summarises the Annual Revenue Requirement, as approved by the Commission in the Mid-term Review for the FY 2014-15. Detailed analysis of each expense head has already been provided in the Mid-term Review.

Table 5.1: Approved ARR for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	MYT Order Approved	Projected in Mid-term Review	Approved in Mid-term Review
				(Rs. Crore)
1	Cost of Power Purchase	4,333.13	3911.00	3,796.61
2	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	354.99	376.00	341.22
2.1	Employee Cost	261.97	332.00	306.42
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance	47.48	49.00	45.09
2.3	Administrative and General Expenses	45.55	68.00	62.71
2.4	Other Debits	9.07	-	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0.00	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / Income	0.00	-	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(64.00)	(73.00)	(73.00)
3	Depreciation	156.24	169.00	165.82
4	Interest And Finance Charges	26.74	87.00	80.54
5	Interest on Working Capital	0.88	-	0
6	Provision for Bad Debts	3.67	9.00	0.00
7	Return on Equity	80.55	90.00	87.55
8	Provision For Tax / Tax Paid	5.84	18.00	2.11
9	Total Expenditure (1 to 8)	4,907.11	4660.00	4,473.84
10	Less: Non-Tariff Income	86.75	114.00	144.79
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4,820.36	4546.00	4,329.05

5.3 Projected Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2014-15

The MGCVCL has projected the Revenue from sale of power at Rs. 4354 Crore in the Mid-term Review for FY 2014-15 with existing Tariff, including FPPPA of Rs. 1.20 per



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

kWh, other consumer related income and agriculture subsidy, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 5.2: Projected Revenue for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Parameter	Projected in Mid-term Review
1	Revenue From Sale of Power @ existing tariff	3285
2	Revenue from FPPPA at Rs. 1.20 per kWh	905
3	Other Income (Consumer Related)	82.00
4	Agriculture Subsidy (Expected from Government)	82.00
5	Total Revenue	4354.00

The Category-wise estimated sales, number of consumers, connected load and sales revenue are as given in the Table below:

Table 5.3: Projected Sales, No of Consumers, Connected Load and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Particulars	Projected for FY 2014-15			
		Sales (MU)	No. of Consumers	Connected Load (kW)	Revenue (Rs. Crore)
A	LT Consumers				
1	Residential	2,033	2,468,266	2,048	759
2	Commercial	49	19,364	55	20
3	Industrial LT	1,194	262,273	1,204	665
4	Public Water Works	186	14,671	96	61
5	Agriculture	1,299	107,989	810	137
6	Public Lighting	62	6,794	40	25
	Total (A)	4,822	2,879,357	4,253	1,667
B	HT Consumers				
1	Industrial HT	2,346	1,993	1,277	1,415
2	Railway Traction	372	6	94	202
	HT Total (B)	2,718	1,999	1,371	1,618
	Grand Total	7,540	2,881,356	5,624	3,285

MGVCL has projected a revenue gap of Rs. 295 Crore for FY 2014-15 with existing tariff, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 5.4: Projected Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2014-15 With Existing Tariff
(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No	Parameter	FY 2014-15 (Projected)
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4546.00
2	Revenue Gap from True-up of FY 2012-13	103.00
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4649.00
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	3285.00
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	905.00
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	82.00
7	Agriculture Subsidy	82.00



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Parameter	FY 2014-15 (Projected)
8	Total Revenue including Subsidy (4 to 7)	4354.00
9	Gap / (Surplus) (3 - 8)	295.00

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has reviewed the sales projected in the Mid-term Review and approved the sales at MU in the Mid-term Review. The Commission has recomputed the sales revenue, based on the sales approved in the Mid-term Review and applying FPPPA @ Rs. 1.20 per kWh, as detailed in the Table below:

The Revenue, as approved for FY 2014-15 in the MYT order, and approved by the Commission in the Mid-term Review are given in the Table below:

Table 5.5: Approved Sales and Category Wise Revenue for FY 2014-15

Sl. No	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order		Approved in Mid-term Review	
		MU	(Rs. Crore)	MU	(Rs. Crore)
A	LT Consumers				
1	RGP	2451	764.71	2137	798
2	GLP	960	473.28	52	21
3	Industrial-LT & Non RGP	677	323.61	1267	706
4	Public Lighting	77	25.87	63	25
5	Agriculture	1092	93.91	1104	124
6	Water works	227	61.06	197	65
	LT Total (A)	5484	1742.44	4820	1739
B	HT consumers				
7	Industrial - HT	3285	1603.08	2467	1488
8	Railways	485	246.38	372	202
	HT Total (B)	3770	1849.46	2839	1690
9	Sub Total	9254	3591.91	7659	3429
10	FPPPA		564.49		919
11	Add: Other Income (Consumer Related)		59.00		82
12	Total		4215.40		4430
13	Add: Agriculture subsidy		84		82
14	Total Revenue Including Agriculture Subsidy		4299.40		4512

5.4 Estimated Revenue and Revenue (Gap) /Surplus for FY 2014-15

The Commission has considered the total category-wise sales, as approved in the Mid-term Review Order and has applied the existing tariff on the approved sales for each category of consumers. The total revenue from sale of power, computed by the Commission at existing tariff, is Rs. 4512 Crore including FPPPA. The FPPPA rate has been considered at Rs. 1.20 per unit. The estimated surplus for FY 2014-15 is given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 5.6: Approved Revenue (Gap) /Surplus for FY 2014-15 with Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)

Sl. No	Parameter	Approved in Mid-term Review
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4329.05
2	Add: Revenue Gap from True-up of FY 2012-13	25.85
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4354.90
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	3429
5	FPPPA Charges @ 120 paisa/kWh	919
6	Other Income (Consumer Related)	82
7	Agriculture Subsidy	82
8	Total Revenue Including Subsidy (4 to 7)	4512
9	(Gap) /Surplus (8-3)	157.10

Since the uniform tariff for State owned DISCOMs has been envisaged in the MYT Order dated 6th September, 2011, it is necessary to consider the consolidated surplus of FY 2014-15 for all the State-owned DISCOMs, while determining the tariff for FY 2014-15. The consolidated gap computed for FY 2014-15 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5.7: Consolidated surplus computed for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Total
1	Total revenue surplus for FY 2014-15 including truing up	319.20	157.10	521.61	415.23	1413.14

The consolidated surplus of the four state owned distribution licensees for FY 2014-15 is Rs. 1413.14 Crore. Due to a change in the Tariff Schedule for FY 2014-15 of the Discoms, there will be reduction in the surplus amount by Rs 53.44 Crore. The net consolidated surplus of the four distribution licensees for FY 2014-15 is Rs. 1359.70 Crore.

It is observed that there is a surplus of Rs. 1359.70 Crore for the year FY 2014-15. This is on account of the Mid-term Review sought by the Petitioners and the approval of the Commission based on the various parameters and Regulations of the second MYT period. The Commission feels that it may not be appropriate to reduce the tariff based on the projected surplus in the current year as there are certain changes likely in the energy sales of high value consumers due to Open Access, uncertainty in the sale of surplus power in the market etc. In addition, CERC in its order dt. 21-02-2014 has approved some increase in Generation Tariff of Mundra UMPP and Adani Power Projects which has been appealed in APTEL, the result of which may have an impact on the DISCOMs.



6. Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

6.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

The Commission had approved the formula for Fuel Price and Power Purchase Cost Adjustment (FPPPA) vide order in Case No. 2 of 2003 dated 25th June, 2004.

The Commission, vide its order dated 29.10.2013, has revised the formula as mentioned below:

6.2 Formula

$$\text{FPPPA} = [(\text{PPCA}-\text{PPCB})] / [100 - \text{Loss in \%}]$$

Where,

PPCA	is the average power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost), computed based on the operational parameters approved by the Commission or principles laid down in the Power Purchase Agreements in Rs./kWh for all the generation sources as approved by the Commission while determining ARR and who have supplied power in the given quarter and transmission charges as approved by the Commission for transmission network calculated as total power purchase cost billed in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units made during the quarter.
PPCB	is the approved average base power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost) for all the generating stations considered by the Commission for supplying power to the company in Rs./kWh and transmission charges as approved by the Commission calculated as the total power purchase cost approved by the Commission in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units considered by the Commission.
Loss in %	is the weighted average of the approved level of Transmission and Distribution losses(%) for the four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

	applicable for a particular quarter or actual weighted average in Transmission and Distribution losses(%) for four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL of the previous year for which true up have been done by the Commission, whichever is lower.
--	---

6.3 Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)

The Commission has approved the total energy requirement and the total Power Purchase Cost for all the DISCOMs including fixed cost, variable cost, GETCO cost, PGCIL charges, SLDC charges for the FY 2014-15 from the various sources in the order of Mid-Term Review of Business Plan as given in the Table below:

Year	Total Energy Requirement (MU)	Fixed cost (Rs crore)	Variable costs (Rs crore)	GETCO costs (Rs crore)	GUVNL charges (Rs crore)	PGCIL charges (Rs crore)	SLDC charges (Rs crore)	Total Power Purchase cost (Rs crore)	Power Purchase cost per unit (Rs/kWh)
FY 2014-15	78714	10111	15045	2473	315	751	19	28114	3.65

As mentioned above the base Power Purchase cost for the DISCOMS is Rs 3.65/kWh and the base FPPPA charge is Rs. 1.20/kWh.

GUVNL/DISCOMs may claim difference between actual power purchase cost and base power purchase cost approved in the table above as per the approved FPPPA formula mentioned in para 6.2 above.

Information regarding FPPPA recovery and the FPPPA calculations shall be kept on website of the Licensee/GUVNL.

For any increase in FPPPA, worked out on the basis of above formula, beyond ten(10) paise per kWh in a quarter, prior approval of the Commission shall be necessary and only on approval of such additional increase by the Commission, the FPPPA can be billed to consumers.

FPPPA calculations shall be submitted to the Commission within one month from end of the relevant quarter.



7. Wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge

7.1 Allocation matrix

Regulations 88.1 of MYT Regulations, 2011 of GERC stipulates that the Commission shall specify the wheeling charges of distribution wires business of the distribution licensees in its ARR and Tariff Order.

The allocation matrix for allocation of costs between wire business and retail supply business as adopted by the Commission in MYT order is shown in the Table below:

Table 7.1 Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for MGVCL

Sl. No.	Allocation Matrix	Wire Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0%	100%
2.1	Employee expenses	60%	40%
2.2	Repair & Maintenance expenses	90%	10%
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	50%	50%
2.4	Other Debits	50%	50%
2.5	Extraordinary Items	50%	50%
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	25%	75%
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	55%	45%
3	Depreciation	90%	10%
4	Interest & Finance charges	90%	10%
5	Interest on Working Capital & Security Deposit	10%	90%
6	Bad debts written off	0%	100%
7	Income tax	90%	10%
8	Return on Equity	90%	10%
9	Non-tariff income	10%	90%

The Commission has adopted the same allocation matrix and estimated segregated approved ARR for wires business and retail supply business for MGVCL for FY 2014-15 as given in Table 7.2.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Table 7.2: Allocation of ARR between wire and retail supply business for MGVCL for FY 2014-15

(Rs. crore)

Sl. No.	Allocation Matrix	App ARR for FY 2014-15	Wire Business	Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	3796.61	0.00	3796.61
2	Employee expenses	306.42	183.85	122.57
3	Repair & Maintenance expenses	45.09	40.58	4.51
4	Administration & General Expenses	62.71	31.36	31.36
5	Other Debits	-	0.00	0.00
6	Extraordinary Items	-	0.00	0.00
7	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	-	0.00	0.00
8	Less: Other Expenses Capitalised	73.00	40.15	32.85
9	Depreciation	165.82	149.24	16.58
10	Interest & Finance charges	80.54	72.48	8.05
11	Interest on Working Capital & Security Deposit	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Bad debts written off	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Sub Total (1 to 13)	4530.18	437.36	3946.83
14	Return on Equity	87.55	78.80	8.76
15	Income Tax	2.11	1.90	0.21
16	Total Expenditure (13 to 15)	4619.84	518.05	3955.79
17	Less: Non-Tariff Income	144.79	14.48	130.31
18	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (16-17)	4475.05	503.57	3825.48

7.2 Wheeling charges

The wheeling charges for the four Distribution Companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL for the FY 2014-15 as given below are applicable for use of the distribution system of a licensee by other licenses or generating companies or



captive power plants or consumers / users who are permitted open access under section 42 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sl.No.	Particulars	Units	Amount
1	Distribution costs of the four DISCOMs	Rs. crore	2879.51
2	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at 11 kV level (30% of total distribution cost)	Rs. crore	863.85
3	Energy input at 11 kV	MU	66656
4	Wheeling charges at 11 kV	Ps./kWh	13
5	Wheeling charges at 400 V (LT)	Ps./kWh	48

Detailed computation of wheeling charges is shown in the Annexure 7.1.

Distribution losses

The distribution loss at 11 kV and 400 V during FY 2014-15 are given below:

Particulars	Point of energy delivered	
	11 kV	400 Volts
11 kV, 22 kV and 33 kV	10%	10.31%
400 Volts		16.45%

The losses in HT and LT network are 10% and 10.31% respectively, with respect to energy input to the segment of the system. In case injection at 11 kV levels and drawal at LT level involved use of both the networks i.e. 11 kV and LT, the combined loss works out to 16.45% of the energy injection at 11 kV network.

The above wheeling charges payable shall be uniform for all the four distribution companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.

7.3 Cross subsidy charge

The cross subsidy surcharge is based on the formula given in the Tariff Policy as below:

$$S = T - [C(1+L/100)+D]$$

Where,

S is the surcharge

T is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers;

C is the weighted average cost of power purchase of top 5% at the margin excluding liquid fuel based generation and renewable power.

D is the Wheeling charges.

L is the system losses for the applicable voltage level, expressed as percentage.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

The cross subsidy surcharge based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:

Table 7.3: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2014-15

Sl. No.	Particular	HT industry
1	T	Rs.7.02 / kWh
2	C	Rs.5.91 /kWh
3	D	13 Ps/kWh
4	L	10%
5	S = cross subsidy surcharge	39 Ps/kWh

Computation of Cross subsidy surcharge

- DISCOM weighted Average HT tariff including base FPPPA charge @ Rs. 1.20 per unit**

Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Average HT Tariff (Rs./kWh)
HT Industry	7.08	7.15	6.99	6.87	7.02

- Wt. average power purchase cost of top 5% at the margin excluding liquid fuel base generation and renewable power.**

The Commission has considered 50% availability of energy from costlier gas bas power stations looking to the limited supply of gas. The commission has also added costs of GETCO, PGCIL, GUVNL and SLDC in the average power purchase cost as shown below;

Stations	Energy procured (MU)	Avg. Rate (Rs./kWh)	Total cost of power (Rs. crore)
GPEC	1164	6.5424	761.54
Utran extension	1002	5.4667	547.76
Sikka TPS	1329	4.8405	643.30
GIPC II (165)	279.5	4.4350	123.96
GIPCL SLPP	162	4.3473	70.43
Total	3936		2146.98

Average power purchase cost = $\{[(2146.98/3936)*10] + [\text{GETCO, PGCIL, GUVNL and SLDC cost @ Rs } 0.45/\text{kWh}]\} = \text{Rs.}5.91/\text{kWh}$.

Cross subsidy surcharge

For H.T. : $S=7.02-[5.91(1+10/100)+0.13] = \text{Rs.}0.39/\text{kWh}$



Annexure 7.1

Computation of Wheeling Charges

Sl. No	Particulars	Unit	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	TOTAL
1	Distribution		458.35	503.57	1255.98	661.60	2879.51
a	11 KV level (at 30%)	Rs. Crore	137.51	151.07	376.79	198.48	863.85
b	LT level (at 70%)	Rs. Crore	320.85	352.50	879.19	463.12	2015.65
2	Energy input at DISCOM periphery	MU	14678	8703	24925	18350	66656
3	Wheeling charges at 11 kV (a/2)*1000	Ps. / kWh	9.37	17.36	15.12	10.82	12.96
4	11 kV losses (@10%)	MU	1467.8	870.3	2492.5	1835	6665.60
5	Sales at 11kV	MU	5674	2839	5580	4239	18332.00
6	Energy input at LT (2 - (4+5))	MU	7536.2	4993.7	16852.5	12276	41658.4
7	Wheeling charges at LT (1(b)/6)*1000	Ps. / kWh	42.57	70.59	52.17	37.73	48.39
8	Sales at LT level	MU	7316	4820	13363	11863	37362.00
9	LT loss (6-8)	%	220.2	173.7	3489.5	413	4296.4
10	Total losses (4+9)	MU	1688	1044	5982	2248	10962
		%	11.50%	12.00%	24.00%	12.25%	16.45%



8. Compliance of directives

8.1 Compliance of Directives

The Commission, in its Tariff Orders dated 2nd June, 2012 and 16th April 2013, had issued various directives to MGCVCL, which has submitted compliance report on the directives issued in the Tariff Order for truing up FY 2011-12 and determination of tariff for FY 2013-14 and the petition for truing up for FY 2012-13 and determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15.

The Commission's comments on the status of compliance of the directives by MGCVCL are given below. The Commission has also issued fresh directives to the licensee, wherever required.

Earlier Directives

Directives 1: Poor Quality of Supply and Poor Voltages

MGVCL is directed to analyse the voltage at various nodes in its LT network, identify the locations facing low voltage and submit its plan to improve the voltage profile in these areas. A report in this regard shall be submitted to the Commission by September 2013.

Compliance

In this regard, it is submitted that a report on the same is under preparation and shall be submitted to the Commission at the earliest.

Commission's comments

Report may be submitted by April 2014.

Directive 2: Segregation of Technical and Commercial Losses:

MGVCL is directed to carry out a similar exercise for FY 2012-13, as carried out for FY 2011-12.

Compliance:

Technical Losses of the Distribution System largely depend upon "Load" on the line and configuration of the line, besides other technical parameters like type and size of



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

conductor used, length of line, etc. Since, loading on the line is highly dynamic throughout the year; it is very difficult to ascertain technical losses by conducting Energy Audit for a part of year. Further, it varies year on year. Therefore, such an exercise has to be carried out every year for assessing the technical losses. Moreover, any such exercise has always some kind of assumptions.

REC has given a theoretical formula for calculation of theoretical losses (technical losses). Since, any kind of methodology has some kind of assumption; calculating theoretical loss with the formula given by REC provides reasonable approximation of technical loss. The Company calculates theoretical loss every year for all feeders.

Sample calculation of theoretical loss with the help of REC formula is given under:

Feeder Parameters:

Sub Station	66KV V.V. Nagar SS	
Feeder Name	11KV Elecon Feeder	
<i>Feeder Category</i>	<i>Ind</i>	
<i>Max Amp</i>	<i>162</i>	
<i>Unit Sent Out</i>	<i>8101650</i>	
<i>Total KVA</i>	<i>3264</i>	
<i>Diversity Factor</i>	<i>1.058</i>	
<i>LDF</i>	<i>1.5</i>	
<i>HT line Cond Type</i>	<i>ACSR Rabbit</i>	<i>ACSR Dog</i>
<i>Cond Constant</i>	<i>1772</i>	<i>2789</i>
<i>Resist. @ 40^o Cel</i>	<i>0.59659</i>	<i>0.30150</i>
<i>Load Factor</i>	<i>0.353</i>	
<i>Loss Load Factor</i>	<i>0.170</i>	
<i>Peak Power Loss</i>	<i>7.49</i>	
<i>HT Line Loss</i>	<i>11153</i>	
<i>% HT Line Loss</i>	<i>0.14%</i>	
<i>Annual Iron Loss</i>	<i>2427</i>	
<i>Annual Copper Loss</i>	<i>4766</i>	
<i>Annual Trans Loss</i>	<i>7193</i>	
<i>% Transformer Loss</i>	<i>0.09%</i>	
<i>LT Line Cond Type</i>	<i>AAAC Weasel</i>	
<i>Resist. @ 40^o Cel</i>	<i>1.0692</i>	
<i>PPL in LT Line</i>	<i>53.23</i>	
<i>Annual LT Line Loss</i>	<i>79270</i>	
<i>% LT Line Loss</i>	<i>0.98%</i>	
Total Technical Los	97616	
% Technical Loss	1.20%	

(A) Diversity Factor (DF):- Connected Load (In KVA)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Peak Load (i.e. 1.732 x 11 KV x Max Amp.)

$$= \frac{3264}{1.732 \times 11 \times 162}$$

$$= \mathbf{1.058}$$

(B) Load Factor (LF):-

$$= \frac{\text{Unit Sent-Out (In kWh)}}{1.732 \times 11 \text{ KV} \times \text{Max Amp.} \times \text{P.F.} \times 8760}$$

$$= \frac{8101650}{1.732 \times 11 \times 162 \times 0.85 \times 8760}$$

$$= \mathbf{0.353}$$

(C) Loss Load Factor (LLF) :-

$$= (0.8) \times (\text{L.F.})^2 + (0.2) \times (\text{L.F.})$$

$$= 0.8 \times (0.3530)^2 + (0.2 \times 0.3530)$$

$$= \mathbf{0.170}$$

(D): Calculation of Distribution Transformer loss and LT Line loss:

Normative values of Iron Losses, Copper Losses Transformer capacity Wise are as under:

Sl. No.	X'mer Capacity	Iron Loss in Watts	Copper Loss in Watts
1	63	49	790
2	100	65	998

Calculation of Iron losses:

Sl. No.	X'mer Capacity	Iron Loss in Watts (I)	No's of Transformers on the feeder (II)	Total Annual Iron loss in kWh = $\frac{(I) \times (II) \times 8760}{1000}$
1	63	49	3	1288
2	100	65	2	1139
Total Iron Loss:				2427



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

Calculation of Copper Losses:

Sl. No.	X'mer Capacity	Copper Loss in Watts (I)	No's of Transformers on the feeder (II)	Annual Copper loss in kWh = $\frac{(I) \times (II) \times 8760 \times (LF)^2}{1000}$
1	63	790	3	2587
2	100	998	2	2179
Total Copper Loss:				4766

Total Transformation loss: = Total Iron Loss + Total Copper Loss

$$= 2427$$

$$+ 4766$$

$$= 7193 \text{ kWh}$$

(E) Calculation of HT Line Losses:

Section	Length	KVA	KVA / Km	% VR	Node. Volt	Current	$I^2 R$ Loss
HI	0.1	475	47.5	0.025	10.996	23.58	331.85
GH	0.03	1200	36.0	0.019	11.000	59.56	1069.40
FH	0.015	63	0.	0.001	11.001	3.13	2.95
CE'	0.2	1200	240.0	0.128	11.015	59.48	1066.66
CE	0.365	100	36.5	0.019	11.017	4.96	7.40
CD	0.12	126	15.1	0.008	11.018	6.24	11.75
BC	0.75	100	75.0	0.040	11.022	4.95	7.40
BA	0.259	0	0.	0.000	11.022	0.00	0.00
Total	1.8	3264.0	451.1	0.040	10.996	162.06	2497.41

$$\text{Peak Power Losses:-} = (3 \times I^2 R \text{ Losses}) / 1000$$

$$= (3 \times 2497.41) / 1000$$

$$= 7.49$$

$$\text{H.T. Line Loss} = \text{Peak power Loss} \times 8760 \times \text{Loss Load factor}$$

$$= 7.49 \times 8760 \times 0.170$$

$$= 11153 \text{ kWh}$$

(F) Annual L.T. Line Loss:

DTR Cap	Nos. of DTR	Avg Amp on HT Side	Avg Amp on LT side	I ² R Loss per phase per Km	Total Length of LT line per DTR in Km	PPL in KW per DTR	Total PPL in KW
25	0	1.24	31	1029	0.30	0.31	0.00
50	0	2.48	62	4115	0.50	2.06	0.00
63	3	3.13	78	6534	0.70	4.57	13.72
100	2	4.96	124	16461	1.20	19.75	39.51
200	0	9.93	248	65846	2.00	131.69	0.00
300	0	14.89	372	148153	2.80	414.83	0.00



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

500	0	24.82	620	411537	4.00	1646.15	0.00
Total	5						53.23

Note: L.T Line Losses are worked out for balanced load condition and average length of LT line per DTR is assumed.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Annual L.T. Line Loss} &= \text{PPL in LT Line} \times \text{Loss Load factor} \times 8760 \\ &= 53.23 \times 0.170 \times 8760 \\ &= 79270 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

(G) Total Technical Loss (kWh):

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{HT Line Loss} + \text{LT Line Loss} + \text{Transformation Loss} \\ &= 11153 + 79270 + 7193 \\ &= 97616 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

(H) % Technical Loss (kWh) :

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\text{Total Technical Loss} / \text{Unit Sent out}) \times 100 \\ &= (97616 / 8101650) * 100 \\ &= 1.20 \% \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, theoretical loss (technical Loss) of all categories of feeders is calculated by the Company. Feeder category-wise technical losses of the all the feeders are as under:

SR No.	FEEDER CATEGORY	% THEORITICAL LOSS
1	AG DOM	9.37
3	HT EXP	1.60
4	INDUSTRIAL	4.71
5	URBAN	7.36
6	JGY	9.39
7	GIDC	5.41
9	OVERALL	5.96

Thus, theoretical loss of all feeders, taken together gives us the total technical loss of the Company. Accordingly, the theoretical loss for FY 2012-13 is 5.96 %.

Commission's Comments

Noted. MGVCCL is directed to carry out a similar exercise for FY 2013-14.



Directive 3: Category wise cost-to- serve (Cost of supply)

The Commission has received the report for FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12. MGVCCL is directed to submit cost of supply report for FY 2012-13 by December 2013.

Compliance

It is submitted that cost of supply report for FY 2012-13 is under preparation and shall be submitted to the Commission at the earliest.

Commission's Comments

The report is received.

New directives issued with Tariff Order dated 16th April 2013.

Directive 1: Meters on Distribution Transformers

MGVCCL is directed to provide meters on all distribution transformers to arrive at the losses and record the energy consumption as part of energy audit to compute the losses under each distribution transformer. The present status of metering on distribution transformer and recording of energy consumption shall be reported by December 2013.

Compliance:

The present Status of metering on Distribution Transformers is as under:

Sl. No.	Particulars	Nos. as on 30.09.2013	Meters installed as on 30.09.2013	%
1	Other Than Agriculture	36226	28539	78.78
2	Agriculture	42786	34036	79.55
3	Total	79012	62575	79.20

As far as Energy Audit is concerned, it is stated that, in the first phase, it is required in the urban areas, where the comparative distribution loss level is low and precision in identifying theft prone areas/ consumers is required. Therefore, all 17 towns of MGVCCL area, having population of more than 30,000, have been selected for energy audit under the R-APRDRP Programme.

More than 7000 Nos. of Distribution Transformers supplying power supply to consumers of selected 17 towns have been provided with the communicable type of meters. The Company is in the process of setting up a mechanism for remote collecting data from such meters at the Central Server. It is expected that the



Company shall complete the process by June, 2014. Once all the arrangements are in place, the Company would be able to carry out energy audit of all consumers in the above 17 towns.

Commission's Comments

The energy audit reports of all feeders should be submitted to the Commission quarterly from August 2014 onwards. The installation of meters at the remaining distribution transformers feeding agricultural loads shall be expedited and quarterly progress reports submitted from September 2014 onwards. Energy audit should be conducted in the areas/divisions, where meters are installed at distribution transformers. Quarterly reports on the energy audit shall be submitted for each of areas from September 2014 onwards.

Directive 2: Losses on Jyoti Gram Yojna feeders

The losses on Jyoti Gram Yojna feeders are still high at over 20%. Special efforts shall be made to bring to the losses to acceptable levels, as in the case of other feeders, on top priority. Action taken shall be reported to the Commission by December, 2013.

Compliance:

Distribution loss of JGY Feeders:

Sl. No.	Year	Unit sent out [MUs]	Unit sold out [MUs]	% Loss
1	2009-10	1320.82	825.65	37.49
2	2010-11	1498.96	959.49	35.99
3	2011-12	1665.53	1064.40	36.09
4	2012-13	1830.29	1138.92	37.77
5	Aug-12	788.43	513.73	34.84
6	Aug-13	851.51	562.76	33.91

MGVCL distributes electricity in rural areas, which include scattered tribal areas too; through JGY feeders and the contribution of JGY category loss in overall loss is also significant. Therefore, the Company has chalked out long term, as well as short term, strategies to reduce losses on JGY feeders. Activities carried out by the Company are furnished hereunder:



(A) Long term Strategies:

- System Improvement
- Feeder Bifurcation
- Conductor up gradation
- Distribution Transformer Centre Review
 - Augmentation/De-augmentation
 - Load Balancing
 - Distribution Transformer near the Load Centre.
 - Implementation of H.V.D.S.
 - Installation of 5 kVA single phase Transformers in small Clusters.
- Distribution Transformer Metering with complete Energy Accounting

(B) Short Term Strategies:

- Special task force to monitor Distribution Loss Activities.
- Monitoring of consumption of consumers with the help of PRT 176
- Verifying consumers having “0” consumption, “F” Meters and “Locked” Consumers.
- Replacement of Defective Meters.
- Removal of Crossings of 11 kV JGY and 11kV Agr. Dom Feeders.
- Timely submission of H3 forms and master creations.

Focused actions in the direction of reducing the losses in JGY category are being implemented and MGVCCL is committed to bring the Losses to the minimum Level.

Taking into account the area constraints, available resources and past trends, the Company has planned to reduce further 2% during the current financial year (i.e. 2013-14).

Commission’s Comments:

The losses of 33.91% are still very high. More serious efforts have to be made to curb pilferage of energy to reduce the losses, apart from replacing the defective meters on priority and also replacing electromagnetic meters with static meters, if any, still in service.



Directive 3: Billing based on simultaneous maximum demand for Railway Traction Load.

The Railways have stated that railway traction load, which is a moving load, registers demand at all substations, through which the train passes. Nature of railway traction load being a moving load, keeps shifting from one substation to another. While one substation may have excess MD, the other may be proportionately underloaded. Railways have, therefore, requested that demand charges should be based on simultaneous maximum demand for various traction sub-stations.

DGVCL, MGVCL and UGVCL are directed to study the request of Railways and submit a feasibility report on billing, based on simultaneous maximum demand for each company.

Compliance:

The possibility of introducing simultaneous maximum demand was explored and discussed in detail in the meeting held on 27th May 2013, and later on, MGVCL has conveyed its views vide letters dated 28th June 2013 and 06th September 2013.

It may be noted that, after allowing bunching of trains, there is no difference between load / demand of Railways at various locations and load / demand of other industries having multiple locations / factories in the DISCOM, who may also claim simultaneous maximum demand. Further, if simultaneous maximum demand is allowed, it would mean sum of the demand of various locations can be drawn at a single location, which may have catastrophic consequences, since electrical infrastructure on DISCOM side is not designed / provided to meet the entire load of all locations at a particular location.

Therefore, it is not possible to accept simultaneous maximum demand.

Commission's Comments

The DISCOM is directed to examine the technical feasibility of recording and billing, based on simultaneous maximum demand in its area and submit a report to the Commission by 30th April 2014.



9. Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals

9.1 Introduction

The Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the National Electricity Policy (NEP), the Tariff Policy, the Regulations on Terms and Conditions of Tariff issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) and MYT Regulations notified by the Commission.

Section 61 of the Act lays down the broad principles, and guidelines for determination of retail supply tariff. The basic principle is to ensure that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduce the cross subsidies amongst categories within a period to be specified by the Commission.

9.2 Proposal of MGVCL for tariff structure and changes in tariff structure

Tariff Proposal

MGVCL has not proposed any change to the tariff structure, but proposed rationalization in HTP-I by increase in fixed charge and reducing energy charge. This has been done by MGVCL to ensure recovery of fixed charges incurred by them, which is not being recovered fully.

9.3 Commission's Analysis

It is observed by the Commission that there is a consolidated surplus of Rs.1413.14 Crores for the four Discoms. The Commission has decided not to revise the tariff rates for FY 2014-15 for the reasons narrated in previous chapter. However, a small change is made in the fixed charges of HTP III and HTP IV category following the representation of consumers. It is represented by various CPPs / generating stations that they need to pay demand charges of HTP III category, which is in terms of Rs./kW/month, in the event of requirement of start-up power. Thus, against the



requirement of start-up power for a part period of a day, they are required to pay demand charge for the full month. Looking to the genuine difficulty of such generating stations, the Commission decides to rationalize the demand charge of HTP III category in Rs/kW/day terms.

Further, the consumers of HTP IV category had represented before the Commission that demand charge for this category, which is meant for usage of power during night hours only, is very high. It was represented that demand charge for HTP IV category should be 1/3 of that of HTP-I category and they have to close down for want of competitive tariff. The Commission decided to make the demand charge of HTP IV category at 1/2 of that of HTP I category so that such consumers can maintain their competitiveness. This shall increase the night-time consumption and shall help the licensees to utilize generation sources, which otherwise requires backing down during off-peak hours. This will also help licensees to optimize their power purchase portfolio, as energy price during night hours is lower. With this change in demand charge of HTP IV category, there will be a marginal reduction in revenue of DISCOMs to the extent of Rs. 53.44 Crore.



COMMISSION'S ORDER

The Commission approves the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for MGVCCL for FY 2014-15 as shown in the Table below:

Approved ARR for MGVCCL for FY 2014-15

(Rs. Crore)

Sl. No.	Particulars	FY 2014-15
1	Cost of power purchase	3,796.61
2	Operations & Maintenance expenses	341.22
2.1	Employee cost	306.42
2.2	Repairs and maintenance	45.09
2.3	Administrative and general expenses	62.71
2.4	Other debits	-
2.5	Extraordinary items	-
2.6	Net prior period expenses / income	-
2.7	Other expenses capitalised	(73.00)
3	Depreciation	165.82
4	Interest and finance charges	80.54
5	Interest on working capital	0
6	Provision for bad debts	0.00
7	Return on equity	87.55
8	Provision for tax / tax paid	2.11
9	Total expenditure (1 to 8)	4,473.84
10	Less: Non-Tariff income	144.79
11	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	4,329.05

The retail supply tariffs for MGVCCL distribution area for FY 2014-15 determined by the Commission are annexed to this order.

This order shall come into force with effect from the 1st May, 2014. The revised rate shall be applicable for the electricity consumption from the 1st May, 2014 onwards.

Sd/-

Sd/-

DR. M.K. IYER
Member

SHRI PRAVINBHAI PATEL
Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar
Date: 29/04/2014



ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE FOR FY 2014-15

TARIFF SCHEDULE

EFFECTIVE FROM 1st MAY, 2014

TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT LOW TENSION, HIGH TENSION, AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION

GENERAL

1. The tariff figures indicated in this tariff schedule are the tariff rates payable by the consumers of unbundled Distribution Licensees of the erstwhile GEB viz. UGVCL, DGVCL, MGVCL and PGVCL.
2. These tariffs are exclusive of Electricity Duty, tax on sale of electricity, taxes and other charges levied by the Government or other competent authorities from time to time which are payable by the consumers, in addition to the charges levied as per the tariff.
3. All these tariffs for power supply are applicable to only one point of supply.
4. The charges specified are on monthly basis. Distribution Licensee may decide the period of billing and adjust the tariff rate accordingly.
5. Except in cases where the supply is used for purposes for which a lower tariff is provided in the tariff schedule, the power supplied to any consumer shall be utilized only for the purpose for which supply is taken and as provided for in the tariff.
6. Meter charges shall be applicable as prescribed under 'GERC (Licensee's Power to Recover Expenditure incurred in providing supply and other Miscellaneous Charges) Regulations, 2005 as in force from time to time.
7. The various provisions of the GERC (licensee's power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and other miscellaneous charges) Regulations will continue to apply.
8. Conversion of Ratings of electrical appliances and equipments from kilowatt to B.H.P. or vice versa will be done, when necessary, at the rate of 0.746 kilowatt equal to 1 B.H.P.
9. The billing of fixed charges based on contracted load or maximum demand shall be done in multiples of 0.5 (one half) Horse Power or kilo watt (HP or kW) as the case may be. The fraction of less than 0.5 shall be rounded to next 0.5.



The billing of energy charges will be done on complete one kilo-watt-hour (kWh).

10. The Connected Load for the purpose of billing will be taken as the maximum load connected during the billing period.
11. The Fixed charges, minimum charges, demand charges, meter rent and the slabs of consumption of energy for energy charges mentioned shall not be subject to any adjustment on account of existence of any broken period within billing period arising from consumer supply being connected or disconnected any time within the duration of billing period for any reason.
12. Contract Demand shall mean the maximum kW / kVA for the supply of which licensee undertakes to provide facilities to the consumer from time to time.
13. Fuel Cost and Power Purchase Adjustment Charges shall be applicable in accordance with the Formula approved by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission from time to time.
14. Payment of penal charges for usage in excess of contract demand / load for any billing period does not entitle the consumer to draw in excess of contract demand / load as a matter of right.
15. The payment of power factor penalty does not exempt the consumer from taking steps to improve the power factor to the levels specified in the Regulations notified under the Electricity Act, 2003 and licensee shall be entitled to take any other action deemed necessary and authorized under the Act.
16. Delayed payment charges for all consumers:

No delayed payment charges shall be levied if the bill is paid within ten days from the date of billing (excluding date of billing).

Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 15% per annum in case of all consumers except Agricultural category for the period from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date. Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 12% per annum for the consumer governed under Rate AG from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date.

For Government dues, the delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate provided under the relevant Electricity Duty Act.



PART - I

**SCHEDULE OF TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY
AT LOW AND MEDIUM VOLTAGE**

1.0 RATE: RGP

This tariff is applicable to all services in the residential premises which are not covered under 'Rate: RGP (Rural)' Category.

Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6kW

Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6kW

1.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 65/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month
---------------	-------------------

PLUS

**1.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
(OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	315 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 units	360 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 units	425 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 units	520 Paise per Unit

**1.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL)****

(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP

**The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 30 units per month.



1.4 MINIMUM BILL (EXCLUDING METER CHARGES)

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 1.1 above.

2.0 RATE: RGP (RURAL)

This tariff is applicable to all services for residential premises located in areas within Gram Panchayat as defined in the Gujarat Panchayats Act.

However, this is not applicable to villages which are located within the geographical jurisdiction of Urban Development Authority.

Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6kW

Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6kW

2.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 65/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month
---------------	-------------------

PLUS

**2.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
(OOTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	275 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 units	320 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 units	385 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 units	490 Paise per Unit

**2.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL) ****

(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP (Rural)

**The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-



division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 30 units per month.

2.4 MINIMUM BILL (EXCLUDING METER CHARGES):

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 2.1 above.

Note: If the part of the residential premises is used for non-residential (commercial) purposes by the consumers located within 'Gram Panchayat' as defined in Gujarat Panchayat Act, entire consumption will be charged under this tariff.

3.0 RATE: GLP

This tariff is applicable to the educational institutes and other institutions registered with the Charity Commissioner and research and development laboratories.

(a)	Fixed charges	Rs. 60/- per month
(b)	Energy charges	380 Paise per Unit

4.0 RATE: NON-RGP

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load up to and including 40kW.

4.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

(a)	First 10 kW of connected load	Rs. 45/- per kW
(b)	For next 30 kW of connected load	Rs. 75/- per kW

PLUS

4.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

(a)	For installation having contracted load up to and including 10kW: for entire consumption during the month	425 Paise per Unit
(b)	For installation having contracted load exceeding 10kW: for entire consumption during the month	455 Paise per Unit



4.3 MINIMUM BILL PER INSTALLATION FOR SEASONAL CONSUMERS

- (a) “Seasonal Consumer”, shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, huller, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fisheries industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, etc.
- (b) Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing in advance about the off-season period during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- (c) The total minimum amount under the head “Fixed and Energy Charges” payable by the seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause (a) above and complying with the provision stipulated under sub-clause (b) above shall be Rs. 1750 per annum per kW of the contracted load.
- (d) The units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at a flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.
- (e) The electricity bills related to the off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills related to the seasonal period only under the heads “Fixed Charges” and “Energy Charges”, shall be taken into account while determining the amount of short-fall payable towards the annual minimum bill as specified under sub-clause (c) above.

5.0 RATE: LTMD

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load above 40kW and up to 100kW.



This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer covered in category- 'Rate: Non-RGP' so opts to be charged in place of 'Rate: Non-RGP' tariff.

5.1 FIXED CHARGES:

	For billing demand up to the contract demand	
(a)	(i) For first 40 kW of billing demand	Rs. 85/- per kW per month
	(ii) Next 20 kW of billing demand	Rs. 120/- per kW per month
	(iii) Above 60 kW of billing demand	Rs. 185/- per kW per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of the contract demand	Rs. 255/- per kW

PLUS

5.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For the entire consumption during the month	460 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

PLUS

5.3 REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the reactive units (KVARH) drawn during the month	10 paise per KVARH
---	--------------------

5.4 BILLING DEMAND

The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- (a) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (b) Actual maximum demand registered during the month
- (c) 15 kW

5.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of demand charges every month based on the billing demand.

5.6 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING LTMD SUPPLY:

- 5.6.1 The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and



pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.

5.6.2 A consumer, who desires to be billed for minimum charges on annual basis, shall intimate in writing in advance about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.

5.6.3 The total minimum amount under the head “Demand and Energy Charges” payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub clause 5.6.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub clause 5.6.2 above shall be Rs. 2900 per annum per kW of the billing demand.

5.6.4 The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
- (c) 15 kW.

5.6.5.1 Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.

6.0 RATE: NON-RGP NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load up to 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

6.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate Non-RGP above.



PLUS

6.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month	250 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

NOTE:

1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract load can be availed beyond the prescribed hours.
2. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of Non-RGP tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
3. The option can be exercised to switch over from Non-RGP tariff to Non-RGP Night tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
4. In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category Non-RGP.

7.0 RATE: LTMD- NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load above 40kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

7.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate LTMD above.

PLUS

7.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month	250 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

7.3 REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all reactive units (KVARH) drawn during	10 Paise per KVARH
---	--------------------



the month	
-----------	--

NOTE:

1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract load can be availed beyond the prescribed hours.
2. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of LTMD tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
3. The option can be exercised to switch over from LTMD tariff to LTMD-Night tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
4. In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category LTMD.

8.0 RATE: LTP- LIFT IRRIGATION

Applicable for supply of electricity to Low Tension Agricultural consumers contracting load up to 125 HP requiring continuous (twenty-four hours) power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as cannel, river, & dam and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 40/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges For entire consumption during the month	170 Paise per Unit

9.0 RATE: WWSP

This tariff shall be applicable to services used for water works and sewerage pumping purposes.

- 9.1 Type I – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by other than local authority:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2012-13 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2014-15

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	420 Paise per Unit

9.2 Type II – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by local authority such as Municipal Corporation. Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board located outside Gram Panchayat Area will also attract this tariff:

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 15 per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	400 Paise per Unit

9.3.1 Type III – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by Municipalities / Nagarpalikas and Gram Panchayats or Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board for its installations located in Gram Panchayats:

Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	310 Paise/Unit
--	----------------

9.4 TIME OF USE DISCOUNT:

Applicable to all the water works consumers having connected load of 50 HP and above for the Energy consumption during the Off-Peak Load Hours of the Day.

For energy consumption during the off-peak period, viz., 1100 Hrs to 1800 Hrs.	30 Paise per Unit
For energy consumption during night hours, viz., 2200 Hrs to 0600 Hrs. next day	75 Paise per Unit

10.0 RATE: AG

This tariff is applicable to services used for irrigation purposes only excluding installations covered under LTP- Lift Irrigation category.

10.1 The rates for following group are as under:



10.1.1 HP BASED TARIFF:

For entire contracted load	Rs.200 per HP per month
----------------------------	-------------------------

ALTERNATIVELY

10.1.2 METERED TARIFF:

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption	60 Paise per Unit per month

10.1.3 TATKAL SCHEME:

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption	80 Paise per Unit per month

NOTE: The consumers under Tatkal Scheme shall be eligible for normal metered tariff as above, on completion of five years period from the date of commencement of supply.

- 10.2 No machinery other than pump water for irrigation (and a single bulb or CFL up to 40 watts) will be permitted under this tariff. Any other machinery connected in the installation governed under this tariff shall be charged separately at appropriate tariff for which consumers shall have to take separate connection.
- 10.3 Agricultural consumers who desire to supply water to brick manufacturing units shall have to pay Rs. 100/HP per annum subject to minimum of Rs. 2000/- per year for each brick Mfg. Unit to which water is supplied in addition to existing rate of HP based / metered agricultural tariff.
- 10.4 Such Agricultural consumers shall have to pay the above charges for a full financial year irrespective of whether they supply water to the brick manufacturing unit for full or part of the Financial Year.
Agricultural consumers shall have to declare their intension for supply of the water to such brick manufacturing units in advance and pay charges accordingly before commencement of the financial year (i.e. in March every year).



11.0 RATE: SL

11.1 Tariff for Street Light for Local Authorities and Industrial Estates:

This tariff includes the provision of maintenance, operation and control of the street lighting system.

11.1.1 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the units consumed during the month:	395 Paise per Unit
--	--------------------

11.1.2 OPTIONAL KVAH CHARGES:

For all the kVAh units consumed during the month:	295 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

11.1.3 Renewal and Replacements of Lamps:

The consumer shall arrange for renewal and replacement of lamp at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

11.2 Tariff for power supply for street lighting purposes to consumers other than the local authorities and industrial estates:

11.2.1 FIXED CHARGES:

Rs. 25 per kW per month

11.2.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	395 Paise per kWh
---	-------------------

11.2.3 Renewal and Replacement of Lamps:

The consumer shall arrange for renewal and replacement of lamp at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

11.2.4 Maintenance other than Replacement of Lamps:

Maintenance of the street lighting system shall be carried out by Distribution Licensee.



12.0 RATE: TMP

This tariff is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at the low voltage. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

12.1 FIXED CHARGE

Fixed Charge per Installation	Rs.14 per kW per Day
-------------------------------	----------------------

12.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	455 Paise per Unit
----------------	--------------------

Note: Payment of bills is to be made within seven days from the date of issue of the bill. Supply would be disconnected for non-payment of dues on 24 hours' notice.



PART - II

**TARIFFS FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT HIGH TENSION
(3.3 KV AND ABOVE, 3-PHASE 50 HERTZ), AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION**

The following tariffs are available for supply at high tension for large power services for contract demand not less than 100 kVA

13.0 RATE: HTP-I

This tariff will be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers contracted for 100 kVA and above for regular power supply and requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other HT Categories.

13.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

13.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 120/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 230/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 350/- per kVA per month

13.1.2 For Billing Demand in Excess of Contract Demand

For billing demand in excess over the contract demand	Rs. 430 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------

PLUS

13.2 ENERGY CHARGES

For entire consumption during the month		
(a)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	425 Paise per Unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	445 paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit

PLUS

13.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs to 2200 Hrs
--



(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	35 Paise per Unit
(b)	For Billing Demand above 500 kVA	75 Paise per Unit

13.4 BILLING DEMAND:

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (c) One hundred kVA

13.5 MINIMUM BILLS:

Payment of “demand charges” based on kVA of billing demand.

13.6 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:

13.6.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges” for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, will be charged.

13.6.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges” for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

13.7 MAXIMUM DEMAND AND ITS MEASUREMENT:

The maximum demand in kW or kVA, as the case may be, shall mean an average kW / kVA supplied during consecutive 30/15 minutes or if consumer is having parallel operation with the grid and has opted for 3 minutes, period of maximum use where such meter with the features of reading the maximum demand in KW/KVA directly, have been provided.



13.8 CONTRACT DEMAND:

The contract demand shall mean the maximum KW/KVA for the supply, of which the supplier undertakes to provide facilities from time to time.

13.9 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV:

On Energy charges:		Rebate @
(a)	If supply is availed at 33/66 kV	0.5%
(b)	If supply is availed at 132 kV and above	1.0%

13.10 CONCESSION FOR USE OF ELECTRICITY DURING NIGHT HOURS:

For the consumer eligible for using supply at any time during 24 hours, entire consumption shall be billed at the energy charges specified above. However, the energy consumed during night hours of 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next morning as is in excess of one third of the total energy consumed during the month, shall be eligible for concession at the rate of 75 Paise per unit.

13.11 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING HT SUPPLY:

13.11.1 The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.

13.11.2 A consumer, who desires to be billed for minimum charges on annual basis, shall intimate in writing in advance about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.

13.11.3 The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub clause 13.11.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub clauses 13.11.2 above shall be Rs. 4350 per annum per kVA of the billing



demand.

13.11.4 The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
- (c) One hundred kVA.

13.11.5 Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 455 Paise per unit.

13.11.6 Electricity bills paid during off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills for seasonal period only under the heads "Demand Charges" and "Energy Charges" shall be taken into account while determining the amount payable towards the annual minimum bill.

14.0 RATE HTP-II

Applicability: This tariff shall be applicable for supply of energy to HT consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for Water Works and Sewerage pumping stations run by Local Authorities and GW & SB. GIDC Water Works.

14.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

14.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 105/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 215/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 280/- per kVA per month

14.1.2 For billing demand in excess of contract demand

For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 350 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------



PLUS

14.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month		
(b)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	425 Paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	445 Paise per Unit
(d)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit

PLUS

14.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs to 2200 Hrs		
(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500kVA	35 Paise per Unit
(b)	For Billing Demand above 500kVA	75 Paise per Unit

- 14.4 Billing demand
- 14.5 Minimum bill
- 14.6 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 14.7 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 14.8 Contract Demand
- 14.9 Rebate for supply at EHV
- 14.10 Concession for use of electricity during night hours



Same as per
HTP-I Tariff

15.0 RATE: HTP-III

This tariff shall be applicable to a consumer taking supply of electricity at high voltage, contracting for not less than 100 kVA for temporary period. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

15.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 16/- per kVA per day
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 18/- per kVA per day



PLUS

15.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	650 Paise/Unit
---	----------------

PLUS

15.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

Additional charge for energy consumption during two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs to 2200 Hrs	75 Paise per Unit
---	-------------------

- 15.4 Billing demand
- 15.5 Minimum bill
- 15.6 Power Factor Adjustment Charges
- 15.7 Maximum demand and its measurement
- 15.8 Contract Demand
- 15.9 Rebate for supply at EHV

} Same as per
HTP-I Tariff

16.0 RATE: HTP-IV

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers opting to use electricity exclusively during night hours from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day and contracted for regular power supply of 100 kVA and above.

16.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate HTP-I above
--

PLUS

16.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	230 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------



16.3	Billing demand	}	Same as per HTP-I Tariff
16.4	Minimum bill		
16.5	Power Factor Adjustment Charges		
16.6	Maximum demand and its measurement		
16.7	Contract Demand		
16.8	Rebate for supply at EHV		

NOTE:

1. 10% of total units consumed and 15% of the contract demand can be availed beyond the prescribed hours for the purpose of maintenance.
2. For the purpose of office lighting, fans etc. the consumer may apply for a separate connection.
3. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of HTP-I tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
4. The option can be exercised to switch over from HTP-I tariff to HTP-IV tariff and vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.
5. In case the consumer is not fulfilling the conditions of this tariff category, then such consumer for the relevant billing period will be billed under tariff category HTP-I.

17.0 RATE: HTP- V

HT - Agricultural (for HT Lift Irrigation scheme only)

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to High Tension Agricultural consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river and dam, and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.



17.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

Demand Charges Rs. 40 per kVA per month

PLUS

17.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	170 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

17.3	Billing demand	} Same as per HTP-I Tariff
17.4	Minimum bill	
17.5	Power Factor Adjustment Charges	
17.6	Maximum demand and its measurement	
17.7	Contract Demand	
17.8	Rebate for supply at EHV	

18.0 RATE: RAILWAY TRACTION

This tariff is applicable for power supply to Railway Traction at 132 kV/66 kV.

18.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

For billing demand up to the contract demand	Rs. 160 per kVA per month
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 400 per kVA per month

NOTE: In case of the load transfer for traction supply due to non-availability of power supply at preceding or succeeding point of supply or maintenance at Discom's level, excess demand over the contract demand shall be charged at normal rate at appropriate point of supply.

Normal Demand Charges will also apply in case of bunching of trains. However, Discoms shall charge excess demand charges while raising the bills and Railways have to give convincing details and documentary proof of bunching of trains if they want to be charged at the normal demand charges.

If satisfactory proof of bunching of trains is provided, Discom shall consider



that occasion for normal demand charges, otherwise excess demand charges will be applicable specified as above at 18.1 (b).

PLUS

18.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	490 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

18.3	Billing demand	}	Same as per HTP-I Tariff
18.4	Minimum bill		
18.5	Power Factor Adjustment Charges		
18.6	Maximum demand and its measurement		
18.7	Contract Demand		
18.8	Rebate for supply at EHV		

