

# GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



## **Tariff Order**

Truing up for FY 2016-17  
and Determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19

For

**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited  
(MGVCL)**

**Case No. 1701/2018**

**31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018**

6th Floor, GIFT ONE, Road 5C, GIFT CITY  
Gandhinagar-382 335 (Gujarat), INDIA  
Phone: +91-79-23602000 Fax: +91-79-23602054/55  
E-mail: gerc@gercin.org : Website www.gercin.org





सत्यमेव जयते

**GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
(GERC)  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Tariff Order**

Truing up for FY 2016-17,  
And Determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19

For

**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited  
(MGVCL)**

**Case No. 1701/2018  
31<sup>st</sup> March, 2018**



## Abbreviations

A&G	Administration and General Expenses
AB Cable	Aerial Bunched Cable
APR	Annual Performance Review
ARR	Aggregate Revenue Requirement
CAGR	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure
CERC	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission
Control Period	FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21
DGVCL	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited
DISCOM	Distribution Company
EHV	Extra High Voltage
FPPPA	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment
FY	Financial Year
GEB	Gujarat Electricity Board
GERC	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GFA	Gross Fixed Assets
GoG	Government of Gujarat
GSECL	Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited
GUVNL	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited
HT	High Tension
JGY	Jyoti Gram Yojna
kV	Kilo Volt
kVA	Kilo Volt Ampere
kVAh	Kilo Volt Ampere Hour
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
LT	Low Tension Power
MCLR	Marginal Cost of Funds based Lending Rate
MGVCL	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
MYT	Mid Term Review
MUs	Million Units (Million kWh)
MW	Mega Watt
MYT	Multi Year Tariff
O&M	Operation & Maintenance
PF	Power Factor
PFC	Power Finance Corporation
PGCIL	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PPPA	Power Purchase Price Adjustment
R&M	Repair and Maintenance



RE	Revised Estimate
RLDC	Regional Load Despatch Centre
SBAR	State Bank Advance Rate
SBI	State Bank of India
SLDC	State Load Despatch Centre
UGVCL	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited
WRLDC	Western Regional Load Despatch Centre
YoY	Year on Year



## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background and Brief History .....</b>	<b>14</b>
1.1	Background .....	14
1.2	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL) .....	14
1.3	Commission's Order for tariff of FY 2016-17 .....	15
1.4	Commission's final Order For FY 2016-17 and Multi-Year for FY 2016-17 to 2021-22.....	16
1.5	Background of the present petition .....	16
1.6	Registration of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process .....	16
1.7	Approach of this Order .....	18
1.8	Contents of this Order .....	18
<b>2</b>	<b>Summary of Truing up for FY 2016-17 and Tariff for FY 2018-19.....</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1	Actuals for FY 2016-17 submitted by MGVCL .....	20
2.2	Revenue gap for FY 2016-17.....	21
2.3	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2018-19 .....	23
2.4	Revenue gap for FY 2018-19.....	23
2.5	MGVCL's request to the Commission .....	24
<b>3</b>	<b>Brief outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and the Commission's View .....</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1	Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observation 26	
3.2	Suggestions/ Objections common to all DISCOMs .....	26
3.3	Issues pertaining to MGVCL .....	63
<b>4</b>	<b>Truing up of FY 2016-17 .....</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Energy Sales .....	73
4.2	Distribution Losses .....	74
4.3	Energy Requirement .....	75
4.4	Power Purchase Cost .....	76
4.5	Gain / (loss) due to distribution losses .....	79
4.6	Fixed Charges .....	80
4.7	Revenue from Sale of Power .....	102
4.8	ARR Approved in the Truing Up .....	103
4.9	Sharing of Gains / Losses for FY 2016-17 .....	104



4.10	Revenue Gap / Surplus for FY 2016-17.....	106
<b>5</b>	<b>ARR and Gap for FY 2018-19 .....</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1	Revenue from Existing Tariff FY 2018-19.....	109
5.2	Revenue from FPPPA Charges .....	110
5.3	Other (Consumer related) Income .....	111
5.4	Agriculture Subsidy .....	112
5.5	Total Expected Revenue for FY 2018-19.....	113
5.6	ARR for the FY 2018-19.....	113
5.7	Estimated Revenue Gap / Surplus for FY 2018-19 .....	114
<b>6</b>	<b>Compliance of directives .....</b>	<b>115</b>
6.1	Compliance to earlier Directives .....	115
6.2	Fresh Directive .....	119
<b>7</b>	<b>Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment .....</b>	<b>121</b>
7.1	Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment .....	121
7.2	Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB) .....	121
<b>8</b>	<b>Wheeling charges and Cross Subsidy Surcharge.....</b>	<b>123</b>
8.1	Allocation matrix.....	123
8.2	Wheeling Charges.....	124
8.3	Cross Subsidy Surcharge .....	126
<b>9</b>	<b>Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals.....</b>	<b>128</b>
9.1	Introduction.....	128
9.2	DISCOMs Tariff Proposal and Changes in Tariff Structure .....	128
9.3	Commission's Analysis .....	129
	COMMISSION'S ORDER .....	132
	ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE.....	133





## List of Tables

Table 2.1: ARR proposed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 true up .....	20
Table 2.2: Revised ARR proposed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 true up .....	21
Table 2.3: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) as claimed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 .....	21
Table 2.4: Revised Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) for FY 2016-17 .....	22
Table 2.5: ARR proposed by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19.....	23
Table 2.6: Estimated revenue (Surplus)/Gap by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19.....	23
Table 2.7: Revised Estimated revenue (Surplus)/ Gap of MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 .....	24
Table 4.1: Category-wise actual sales for FY 2016-17 .....	73
Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	74
Table 4.3: Distribution Losses.....	74
Table 4.4: Distribution losses approved for truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	75
Table 4.5: Energy requirement and Energy balance as submitted by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 .....	75
Table 4.6: Energy requirement approved by the Commission for truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	76
Table 4.7: Power purchase cost claimed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 .....	77
Table 4.8: Power purchase cost submitted by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 .....	77
Table 4.9: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2016-17 .....	78
Table 4.10: Power Purchase Cost approved by the Commission for truing up for FY 2016-17.....	78
Table 4.11: Gains/ (Losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2016-17 as submitted by MGVCCL .....	79
Table 4.12: Gains/(losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2016-17 .....	79
Table 4.13: Approved gain / (loss) – power purchase expenses for truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	80
Table 4.14: O&M expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	80
Table 4.15: O&M expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	81
Table 4.16: O&M expenses and gains / losses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	81
Table 4.17: Employee cost claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	82
Table 4.18: Approved Employee cost for FY 2016-17 .....	83
Table 4.19: R&M expenses claimed by MGVCCL for the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	83
Table 4.20: Approved R&M expenses for FY 2016-17 .....	84
Table 4.21: A&G expenses claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	84
Table 4.22: Approved A&G expenses for FY 2016-17 .....	85
Table 4.23: Other Expenses capitalized as claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	86



Table 4.24: Approved other expenses capitalized for FY 2016-17.....	86
Table 4.25: Approved O&M expenses and gains / loss in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	86
Table 4.26: Capital expenditure claimed by MGCVCL for FY 2016-17.....	87
Table 4.27: Proposed Capitalisation and sources of funding by MGCVCL for FY 2016-17 .....	89
Table 4.28: Approved Capitalisation and sources of funding in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	90
Table 4.29: Fixed assets & depreciation computed by MGCVCL for FY 2016-17 .....	91
Table 4.30: Gain / loss due to depreciation claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	91
Table 4.31: Approved fixed assets & depreciation for FY 2016-17 .....	92
Table 4.32: Gain / loss due to depreciation approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	92
Table 4.33: Interest and Finance charges claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	93
Table 4.34: Interest and Finance charges claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	93
Table 4.35: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to interest & finance charges for FY 2016-17 .....	94
Table 4.36: Interest and Finance charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	94
Table 4.37: Gain / loss approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	95
Table 4.38: Interest on working capital claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	95
Table 4.39: Interest on working capital claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	96
Table 4.40: Revised Interest on working capital claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	96
Table 4.41: Interest on working capital approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	97
Table 4.42: Bad debts claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	97
Table 4.43: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debts approved in the Truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	98
Table 4.44: Return on equity claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	98
Table 4.45: Return on equity claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	98
Table 4.46: Return on equity approved for FY 2016-17 .....	99
Table 4.47: Approved gains / losses due to return on equity in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	99
Table 4.48: Income Tax claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	100
Table 4.49: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to provision for Income Tax for FY 2016-17 .....	100
Table 4.50: Approved gains / losses due to tax in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	101
Table 4.51: Non-tariff income claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	101
Table 4.52: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to non-tariff income for FY 2016-17 .....	101
Table 4.53: Approved gains / losses due to non-tariff income in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	102
Table 4.54: Revenue submitted in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	102
Table 4.55: Revenue approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17.....	103



Table 4.56: ARR approved in truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	104
Table 4.57: Projected Revenue surplus/(gap) FY 2016-17 .....	106
Table 4.58: Revised Revenue surplus/(gap) FY 2016-17.....	107
Table 4.59: Revenue (Surplus)/Gap approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 .....	108
Table 5.1: Sales and Revenue from existing tariff projected for FY 2018-19.....	109
Table 5.2: Approved Sales and Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2018-19 .....	110
Table 5.3: Projected Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19 .....	110
Table 5.4: FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19.....	111
Table 5.5: Approved Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19 .....	111
Table 5.6: Other Consumer related Income for FY 2018-19 .....	111
Table 5.7: Approved Other Consumer related income for FY 2018-19 .....	112
Table 5.8: Agriculture Subsidy projected for FY 2018-19 .....	112
Table 5.9: Approved agricultural subsidy for FY 2018-19.....	113
Table 5.10: Total Revenue projected for FY 2018-19.....	113
Table 5.11: Approved Total Revenue for FY 2018-19 .....	113
Table 5.12: Approved Multi-Year ARR for FY 2018-19 .....	114
Table 5.13: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2018-19 at Existing tariff .....	114
Table 7.1: Base price of Power Purchase.....	121
Table 8.1: Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for the FY 2018-19.....	123
Table 8.2: Allocation of ARR between wheeling and retail supply business for FY 2018-19 .....	123
Table 8.3: Wheeling charges for FY 2018-19 .....	124
Table 8.4: Cross Subsidy Surcharge for FY 2018-19 .....	126





**GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**GANDHINAGAR**

**Case No. 1701/2018**

**Date of the Order 31.03.2018**

**CORAM**

Shri Anand Kumar, Chairman

Shri K. M. Shringarpure, Member

Shri P. J. Thakkar, Member

**ORDER**



# 1 Background and Brief History

## 1.1 Background

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as MGVCL or the Petitioner) filed the present petition on 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 under Section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003, read with the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 for the Truing up of FY 2016-17 and for determination of tariff for FY 2018-19.

Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission notified the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 on 29<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 which shall be applicable for determination of tariff in all cases covered under the Regulations from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2016 onwards. Regulation 17.2 (b) of the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 provides for submission of detailed application comprising of truing up for FY 2016-17 to be carried out under the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016, revenue from the sale of power at existing tariffs and charges for the ensuing year (FY 2018-19) and revenue gap or revenue surplus for the third year of the Control Period calculated based on the Aggregate Revenue Requirement approved in the MYT Order and truing up for the previous year and determination of tariff for FY 2018-19.

After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 as Case No. 1701/2018 and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with the tariff order.

## 1.2 Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)

Government of Gujarat unbundled and restructured the Gujarat Electricity Board with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2005. The Generation, Transmission and Distribution businesses of the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board were transferred to seven successor companies. The seven successor companies are listed below:

**Generation** Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited (GSECL)

**Transmission** Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited (GETCO)

**Distribution Companies:**



**Sr. No. Name of Company**

- 1 Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited (DGVCL)
- 2 Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)
- 3 Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited (UGVCL)
- 4 Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (GUVNL), a holding company of the above named 6 subsidiary companies is responsible for bulk purchase of electricity from various sources and supply to Distribution Companies and also, other activities including trading of electricity.

Government of Gujarat, vide notification dated 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2006, notified the final opening balance sheets of the transferee companies as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2005. The value of assets and liabilities, which stand transferred from the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board to the transferee companies, include Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL). Assets and liabilities (gross block, loans and equity), as on the date mentioned in the notification, have been considered by the Commission in line with the Financial Restructuring Plan (FRP), as approved by Government of Gujarat.

### **1.3 Commission's Order for tariff of FY 2016-17**

The Commission in its Order dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2015, in the Suo-Motu Petition No. 1534/2015 decided that the approved ARR of FY 2015-16 of the licensees / generating companies concerned be considered as provisional ARR of the licensees / generating companies for FY 2016-17.

The Commission also decided that the licensees / generating companies shall file the ARR for FY 2016-17 based on the new the MYT Regulations for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and the true-up for the same shall also be governed as per the new MYT Regulations. It was also decided that the licensees / generating companies shall file the petition for determination of ARR and tariff for FY 2016-17 and true-up for FY 2014-15 within 3 weeks from the date of issuance of the Order for Commission's consideration and decision.

Accordingly, the Petitioner filed its petition (Case No. 1549/2015) for Truing-up of FY 2014-15 and determination of tariff for FY 2016-17 on 8th December 2015. The Commission approved the provisional ARR and the tariff for FY 2016-17 was determined accordingly vide Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2016.



#### **1.4 Commission's final Order For FY 2016-17 and Multi-Year for FY 2016-17 to 2021-22**

The Petitioner filed its petition for Truing up for 2015-16, Approval of Final ARR for 2016-17, Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and Determination of tariff for 2017-18 on 30<sup>th</sup> November 2016. The petition was registered on 03<sup>rd</sup> December 2016 (under Case No. 1624 of 2016). The Commission vide Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 approved the Truing up for 2015-16, Final ARR for 2016-17, Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and determined the tariff for FY 2017-18.

#### **1.5 Background of the present petition**

The Commission has notified the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for the control period of FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. Regulation 16.2 (iii) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for the truing up of previous year's expenses and revenue based on audited accounts vis-à-vis the approved forecast and categorization of variation in performance as those caused by factors within the control of the applicant (controllable factors) and those caused by factors beyond the control of the applicant (uncontrollable factors).

Regulation 16.2 (vi) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for annual determination of tariff for the Generating Company, Transmission Licensee, SLDC, Distribution Wire Business and Retail Supply Business, for each financial year, within the Control Period, based on the approved forecast and results of the truing up exercise.

#### **1.6 Registration of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process**

The Petitioner has filed the current Petition for Truing-up of FY 2016-17 and determination of tariff for FY 2018-19 on 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2018. After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2018 (Case No. 1701/2018) and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with this tariff order.

In accordance with Section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, DGVCL was directed to publish its application in the newspaper to ensure public participation. The Public Notice, inviting objections / suggestions from the stakeholders on the petition, was published in the following newspapers on 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2018:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of Newspaper</b>	<b>Language</b>	<b>Date of Publication</b>
1	The Indian Express	English	20/01/2018
2	Divya Bhaskar	Gujarati	20/01/2018





The Petitioner also placed the public notice and the petition on its website ([www.dgvcl.com](http://www.dgvcl.com)), for inviting objections and suggestions. The interested parties/stakeholders were asked to file their objections / suggestions on the petition on or before 19<sup>th</sup> February, 2018.

The Commission also placed the petition and additional details received from the Petitioner on its website ([www.gercin.org](http://www.gercin.org)) for information and study for all the stakeholders.

The Commission received objections / suggestions from the consumers / consumer organizations as shown in Table below. The Commission examined the objections / suggestions received and scheduled a public hearing on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 at the Commission's Office at Gandhinagar and subsequently a communication was sent to the objectors to take part in the public hearing process for presenting their views in person before the Commission. The public hearing was conducted in the Commission's Office at Gandhinagar on the above date.

The status of stakeholders who submitted their written suggestion / objections, those who remained present in the public hearing, those who could not attend the public hearing and those who made oral submissions is given in the Table below:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of Stakeholders</b>	<b>Written Submission</b>	<b>Oral Submission</b>	<b>Present on 27.02.2018</b>
1.	Yash Complex Co-Operative Housing Service Society Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Federation of Gujarat Industries (FGI)	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Khedut Heet Rakshak Samiti	Yes	No	No
4.	Laghu Udyog Bharati – Gujarat	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	WaterHealth India Private Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Shri K.K. Bajaj	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Shri Sikandarbhailmailbhai Vahora	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Utility Users' Welfare Association (UUWA)	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Gujarat Chamber of Commerce & Industry	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	GIFT PCL	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Shri R.G. Tillan	No	Yes	Yes
12.	Kutchh Chamber of Commerce & Industry	Yes	No	No



Sr. No.	Name of Stakeholders	Written Submission	Oral Submission	Present on 27.02.2018
13.	OPGS Power	Yes	No	No

The issues raised by the objectors, along with the response of MGVCL and the Commission's views on the response, are dealt with in Chapter 3 of this Order

### **1.7 Approach of this Order**

The GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, provide for "Truing up" of the previous year and determination of tariff for the ensuing year.

MGVCL has approached the Commission with the present Petition for "Truing up" of FY 2016-17 and determination of tariff for FY 2018-19.

In this Order, the Commission has considered the "Truing up" for FY 2016-17, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Commission has undertaken "Truing up" for FY 2016-17, based on the submissions of the Petitioner. The Commission has undertaken the computation of gains and losses for FY 2016-17, based on the audited Annual Accounts.

While truing up of FY 2016-17, the Commission has been primarily guided by the following principles:

- Controllable parameters have been considered at the level approved as per the MYT Order, unless the Commission considers that there are valid reasons for revision of the same.
- Uncontrollable parameters have been revised, based on the actual performance observed.
- The Truing up for FY 2016-17 has been considered, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19 have been considered as per the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016.

### **1.8 Contents of this Order**

The Order is divided into nine chapters as detailed under-



1. The **first chapter** provides a brief background regarding the Petitioner, the petition on hand and details of the public hearing process and approach adopted in this Order.
2. The **second chapter** outlines the summary of MGVCL's Petition.
3. The **third chapter** deals with objections raised by various stakeholders, MGVCL's response and Commission's views thereon.
4. The **fourth chapter** focuses on the details of truing up for FY 2016-17.
5. The **fifth chapter** deals with the determination of ARR for FY 2018-19.
6. The **sixth chapter** deals with compliance of directives and issue of fresh directives.
7. The **seventh chapter** deals with fuel and power purchase adjustments
8. The **eighth chapter** deals with wheeling and cross subsidy surcharges
9. The **ninth chapter** deals with tariffs for FY 2018-19.



## 2 Summary of Truing up for FY 2016-17 and Tariff for FY 2018-19

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL) submitted the details of true-up of FY 2016-17, and requested for determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2018-19.

### 2.1 Actuals for FY 2016-17 submitted by MGVCL

The details of expenses under various components of ARR for FY 2016-17 are given in the Table below:

**Table 2.1: ARR proposed by MGVCL for FY 2016-17 true up**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Cost of Power Purchase	4,664.10	4,183.43	23.42	457.26
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	382.77	528.48	(5.05)	(140.66)
2.1	<i>Employee Cost</i>	354.54	456.62	-	(102.07)
2.2	<i>Repairs &amp; Maintenance Expenses</i>	53.53	47.92	5.61	-
2.3	<i>Administration &amp; General Expenses</i>	66.41	77.08	(10.67)	-
2.4	<i>Other Debits</i>	-	-	-	-
2.5	<i>Extraordinary Items</i>	-	-	-	-
2.6	<i>Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)</i>	-	-	-	-
2.7	<i>Other Expenses Capitalised</i>	(91.72)	(53.13)	-	(38.59)
3	Depreciation	245.34	242.79	-	2.55
4	Interest & Finance Charges	92.48	76.88	-	15.60
5	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-	-
6	Provision for Bad Debts	-	-	-	-
7	Return on Equity	128.67	125.23	-	3.44
8	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	17.59	25.04	-	(7.45)
<b>9</b>	<b>ARR (1 to 8)</b>	<b>5,530.96</b>	<b>5,181.85</b>	<b>18.37</b>	<b>330.73</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Non - Tariff Income</b>	<b>137.11</b>	<b>118.41</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(18.70)</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Total ARR (9-10)</b>	<b>5,393.85</b>	<b>5,063.43</b>	<b>18.37</b>	<b>312.04</b>



Through its subsequent submission MGVCCL has revised the above details as shown in the Table below:

**Table 2.2: Revised ARR proposed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17 true up**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for 2016-17	Gains(Loss) due to controllable factors	Gains/ (Loss) due to uncontrollable factors
1	Cost of Power Purchase	4,664.10	4,183.43	23.01	457.67
2	O&M Expenses	382.77	545.85	(5.05)	(158.03)
2.1	Employee cost	354.54	473.99		(119.45)
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	5.61	
2.3	Administration and General Expenses	66.41	77.08	(10.67)	-
2.4	Bad Debts written Off	-	-	-	-
2.5	Extraordinary items	-	-	-	-
2.6	Net Prior period expenses(Income)	-	-	-	-
2.5	Other expenses capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)	-	(38.59)
3	Bad Debts written Off	-	-	-	-
4	Depreciation	245.34	242.79	-	2.55
5	Interest and Finance Charges	92.48	76.88	-	15.60
6	Interest on working capital	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-
7	<b>Sub Total (1 To 6)</b>	<b>5,384.69</b>	<b>5,048.95</b>	<b>17.95</b>	<b>317.78</b>
8	Return on Equity	128.67	125.23	-	3.44
9	Provision for Tax / Tax paid	17.59	25.04	-	(7.45)
10	<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>5,530.96</b>	<b>5,199.22</b>	<b>17.95</b>	<b>313.78</b>
11	Less: Non -Tariff income	137.11	118.41	-	18.70
12	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>5,393.85</b>	<b>5,080.81</b>	<b>17.95</b>	<b>295.08</b>

## 2.2 Revenue gap for FY 2016-17

As shown in the Table below MGVCCL has claimed a revenue gap of Rs 63.30 Crore in the truing up after considering the gain/(loss) due to controllable and uncontrollable factors as shown in the Table below:

**Table 2.3: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) as claimed by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement approved for FY 2016-17	5,393.85



Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
2	Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor to be passed on to Consumer	312.04
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	6.12
4	Revenue (Gap)/Surplus from True-up of FY 2014-15	62.50
5	Recovery of past year True-Up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2009-10	(46.56)
6	Recovery of past year True-Up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2010-11	(32.06)
7	Allocation of GUVNL Profit of FY 2016-17	16.22
8	<b>Revised ARR for FY 2016-17 (1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7)</b>	5,075.58
9	Revenue from Sale of Power	4,697.34
10	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
11	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
12	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy (9 + 10 + 11)</b>	5,012.28
13	<b>Revised Gap after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (8 - 12)</b>	63.30

With subsequent submission MGCVCL has revised the revenue gap to Rs. 80.40 Crore after considering the gain / (loss) due to controllable and uncontrollable factors as shown in the Table below:

**Table 2.4: Revised Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) for FY 2016-17**

Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for 2016-17	5393.85
2	Gain /(Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor to be passed on to Consumer	295.08
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	5.98
4	Surplus/ (gap) of FY 2014-15	62.50
5	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2009-10	(46.56)
6	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2010-11	(32.06)
7	Allocation of GUVNL profit of FY 2016-17	16.22
8	<b>Total Revenue Requirement ((1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 )</b>	<b>5092.68</b>
9	Revenue from Sale of Power	4697.34
10	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
11	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
12	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy (9 +10 +11)</b>	<b>5012.28</b>
13	<b>Revised Gap after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (8-12)</b>	<b>80.40</b>



### 2.3 Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2018-19

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL) submitted Aggregate Revenue Requirement for the FY 2018-19 as per Commission the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. MGVCL has submitted the revenue requirement as under:

**Table 2.5: ARR proposed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Power Purchase Cost	5328.11
2	O&M Expenses	427.81
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26
2.2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	59.83
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	74.23
2.4	Other Debits	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses/ (Income)	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)
3	Depreciation	304.84
4	Interest and Finance charges	114.17
5	Interest on Working Capital	-
6	Provision for Bad Debts	-
7	<b>Sub Total (1 to 6)</b>	<b>6174.93</b>
8	Return on Equity	168.36
9	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	17.59
10	<b>Total Expenditure (7 to 9)</b>	<b>6360.89</b>
11	Less : Non Tariff Income	137.11
12	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement (10 - 11)</b>	<b>6223.77</b>

### 2.4 Revenue gap for FY 2018-19

Based on the ARR for FY 2018-19 as given in Table above, the estimated revenue gap for FY 2018-19 at existing tariff after taking into account the revenue gap of Rs. 63.30 Crores according to the truing up exercise of FY 2016-17 is shown in the following Table.

**Table 2.6: Estimated revenue (Surplus)/Gap by MGVCL for FY 2018-19**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6,223.77
2	Revenue Gap from True up of FY 2016-17	63.30
3	<b>Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement (1 to 2)</b>	<b>6,287.08</b>



Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4,549.00
5	FPPPA Charges @ Rs.1.49/kWh	1,475.10
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	102.45
7	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25
<b>8</b>	<b>Total Revenue including subsidy (4 to 7)</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Gap / (Surplus) (3 - 8)</b>	<b>86.27</b>

With subsequent submission MGCVCL has revised the revenue gap to Rs.103.36 Crore as shown in the Table below:

**Table 2.7: Revised Estimated revenue (Surplus)/ Gap of MGCVCL for FY 2018-19**

(Rs .Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
<b>1</b>	<b>Aggregate revenue requirement</b>	6,223.77
2	Revenue Gap/(Surplus) from True up of FY 2016-17	80.40
<b>3</b>	<b>Total aggregate revenue requirement (1-2)</b>	<b>6,304.17</b>
4	Revenue with existing tariff	4,549.00
5	PPPA charges @ Rs.1.49/kWh	1,475.10
6	Other income (Consumer related)	102.45
7	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25
<b>8</b>	<b>Total revenue including subsidy (4+5+6+7)</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Gap/(Surplus) (3-8)</b>	<b>103.36</b>

## 2.5 MGCVCL's request to the Commission

1. To admit this Petition seeking True up of FY 2016-17 and Determination of Tariff for FY2018-19.
2. To approve the True up for FY 2016-17 and allow sharing of gains/losses with the Consumers as per sharing mechanism prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.
3. To consider approved True up parameters & ARR of GSECL, GETCO and SLDC while finalizing Tariff of the Petitioner.
4. To approve the terms and conditions of Tariff for FY 2018-19 and various other matters as proposed in this petition and proposed changes therein.
5. To approve the base FPPPA based on power purchase cost of FY 2018-19 as approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.





6. Pass suitable Orders for implementation of Tariff Proposal for FY 2018-19 for making it applicable from 1<sup>st</sup>April, 2018 onwards.
7. To grant any other relief as the Commission may consider appropriate.
8. Allow further submissions, addition and alteration to this Petition as may be necessary from time to time.
9. Pass any other Order as the Commission may deem fit and appropriate under the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.



### **3 Brief outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and the Commission's View**

#### **3.1 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observation**

In response to the public notice, inviting objections/ suggestions of the stakeholders on the petitions filed by DISCOMs for truing up of FY 2016-17 and determination of tariff for FY 2018-19, a number of consumers/ consumer organizations filed their objections/ suggestions within the prescribed timeline. Some of these objectors participated in the public hearing also. Further, some of the objections are general in nature and some are specific to the proposals submitted by the petitioner. It is also noted that many of the objections/ suggestions are common to all the four DISCOMs and some are specific to concerned DISCOM. The objections/ suggestions are segregated into two groups viz. common to all DISCOMs and specific to concerned DISCOM. The Commission, has, therefore, addressed the objections/ suggestions issue-wise rather than objector-wise.

#### **3.2 Suggestions/ Objections common to all DISCOMs**

##### **1. Recovery of fixed charge from consumers**

The objector stated that fixed charges are being recovered from consumers even there is no usage of electricity and such fixed charges should be abolished.

##### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that principally, expenditure of the petitioners should be met from the fixed income. However, presently the recovery of the petitioners from fixed charges is 29.03% of total fixed cost of petitioners and hence, it is not desirable to give relaxation in fixed charges.

##### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners and agrees that any further relaxation in recovery of fixed charges would cause financial burden on the petitioners due to under recovery of fixed charges out of total fixed costs.

##### **2. Non- recovery of meter charges/ capacitor charges**



The objector stated that meter charges/ capacitor charges should not be levied from energy bills of the consumers after completion of the full recovery of meters/ capacitors charges

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that they recover meter rent from the consumers for the cost of meters borne by them. Further, based on the powers conferred under the Electricity Act, 2003 to determine meter rent charges, the Commission has determined such meter rent charges in Notification No. 9/2005 and accordingly, such meter rent charges are being levied from the consumers. Such charges are equivalent to interest of total cost of the meters. It is further mentioned that Petitioners are buying high quality meters which are installed at consumers' premises after testing the said meters in the laboratories. Such meters are maintained by the petitioners. Thus, petitioners are not recovering cost of meters but only recovering meter rent in accordance with the regulations. Petitioners have proposed to merge meter rent with fixed charges.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has taken a note of objection raised by the objector and after deliberations on proposal of the petitioner, it has been decided to abolish the meter rent being levied by the distribution utilities from the consumers requiring electric supply.

### **3. Adoption of monthly billing system in place of bi-monthly billing system**

The objector objected bi-monthly billing cycle system with reasoning of such bi-monthly reading causing higher average and thus higher energy bills and therefore suggested to adopt monthly billing system.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that the Commission specifies the tariff of various consumer categories on monthly basis, but due to administrative reasons, consumers are being billed on bi-monthly cycle. In case of the consumers being billed on monthly basis, tariff rates as decided by the Commission are applied and in case of the consumers being billed on bi-monthly basis, tariff rates specified on monthly basis are adjusted accordingly. For instance, energy charge determined for the consumption slab up to 50 units of consumption, in case of consumers being billed on bi-monthly basis the same energy charge as determined for consumption up to 50 units is applied for 100 units of consumption.

### **Commission's view**



Although the slabs for energy rates are prescribed in the Tariff Order for monthly consumption, in case of bi-monthly billing, appropriate adjustment is made and bill for such type of consumers is calculated by extending slab benefits appropriately. Stakeholders are requested to bring specific instances of loss to consumer due to bi-monthly billing. In view of the cost related to meter reading, billing and cash collection, Commission is of the view that the bi-monthly billing system for small consumers is cost-effective. Argument by the objector that bi monthly reading causes higher average and hence higher energy bills is not correct.

#### **4. Non-submission of data related to consumers category-wise consumption and realization**

The objector stated that category-wise consumption data and realization data are not submitted by the petitioners in accordance with Form 10 A.

##### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that the petition is filed in accordance with the principles laid down by the Commission in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Form no. 10 provides the relevant information as sought by the Respondent.

##### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners. However, it is to mention here that category wise consumption data and realization data are not submitted as per the Form 10 A for the truing up year i.e. FY 2016-17 which have been taken note of and accordingly, the Commission directs the petitioners to submit the said data for the truing up year from next year onwards.

#### **5. Role of GUVNL**

The objector requested to make GUVNL as a co-petitioner considering its role as bulk purchaser of power on behalf of Discoms.

##### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that GUVNL is co-petitioner of the petition filed.

##### **Commission's view**



The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. It is to mention that GUVNL representatives, as a Co-petitioner or otherwise, have always remained present during the hearing to satisfy the queries of the stakeholders.

## 6. Subsidies not accounted in the Annual Accounts

The objector stated that all the subsidies provided and to be provided by the State Government are not accounted in the Annual Accounts of the petitioners.

### Response of DISCOMs

Petitioners submitted that as per the tariff order of The Commission, FPPPA charge is a part of tariff. In case of Agricultural consumers, the FPPPA charges payable by Agriculture consumers is not recovered from the consumers but it is being compensated by State Government. Revenue received from Agriculture consumers is mentioned in the Annual Accounts of the petitioners for FY 2016 – 17 which is inclusive of FPPPA subsidy and the subsidy for tariff compensation from Government for FY 2016-17, which is tabulated below;

DISCOM	Note No.	FPPPA subsidy (Rs. Crore)	Subsidy for tariff compensation (Rs. Crore)
DGVCL	27	74.24	42.35
PGVCL	28	669.79	434.18
MGVCL	29	112.48	48.00
UGVCL	26	843.48	599.99

Similarly, Subsidy received from the State Government towards the Water Works connections is also mentioned in the Annual Accounts as shown below:

DISCOM	Note No.	Subsidy towards Water Works Connections (Rs. Crore)
DGVCL	28	39.04
PGVCL	28	207.79
MGVCL	29	53.41
UGVCL	26	199.10

It may be noted that FPPPA subsidy received from State Government and subsidy for the Water Works connections have been duly considered in the Revenue from Sale of Power for



respective category of consumers both in Annual Accounts of the Company and also in the True up proposal.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. It is to mention here that based on claim of the petitioner regarding outstanding agriculture subsidy from the Government of Gujarat, the said subsidy amount of Rs. 1196 Crore is considered as part of revenue for FY 2016-17 to work out Gap/Surplus after truing up of FY 2016-17.

## **7. Recovery of PGCIL, POSOCO and GETCO Charges**

The objector stated that data furnished as part of power purchase cost are incomplete as basis for recovery of PGCIL, POSOCO and GETCO charges is not shown.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that PGCIL and POSOCO charges are recovered as approved by the Hon'ble CERC. PGCIL and GECTO charges and losses both are different and therefore appropriate treatment is given for losses and charges.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. GETCO losses are considered to work out total energy requirement and thus, per unit power purchase cost to determine gain/ loss on account of distribution loss. However, PGCIL and GETCO Charges are part of power purchase cost, considering distribution utilities as beneficiaries of State and National transmission system, and thus part of ARR to determine gap/ surplus of the truing up year.

## **8. Creation of consumer advocacy cell**

The Objector has recommended that consumer advocacy cell should be formed in GERC, as detailed in model regulations framed by the Forum of Regulators, for giving guidance and legal aid to consumers.

The electricity supply companies with array of senior lawyers, that too at stakeholders' expense, are getting the favourable decisions in the absence of effective participations by consumers in the GERC hearing procedures. Most of consumer organizations are voluntary in nature, represented by their members and not having financial resources like electricity companies.



While Supply companies are engaging senior lawyers at hefty fees, the normal stakeholder's voice is suffocated at hearing.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

-

### **Commission's view**

As such at present, Staff of the Commission processing consumer complaints and providing guidance to individual consumer /Consumer Associations. However, considering representation from the various stakeholders, Commission will explore the option of constituting 'Consumer Advocacy Cell'.

## **9. Audit of Distribution Utilities**

The objector requested for audit of distribution utilities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

-

### **Commission's view**

The accounts of the Petitioners, being Government owned undertakings, are audited by the Statutory Auditors (who are the members of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India) appointed by the C & AG. Further, the accounts of the petitioners are subject to supplementary audit by the C & AG. Moreover, the C & AG also conduct issue based performance/ propriety audit of the petitioners. The Commission, therefore, does not find any merit in the objector's submission.

## **10. Non issuance of any circulars containing financial implications without prior approval of the Commission**

The Objector has requested the Commission to direct the licensees not to issue any circular (which involves financial burden or financial benefit to any consumer) without getting the approval of Commission. Approval granted by the Commission or the power to issue the circular quoting the provisions of Act, Rules and Regulations should be disclosed in the circular. If any



circular is issued which does not involve financial implications, DISCOM should give certificate on that circular confirming that no financial part is involved in this circular thus no permission is required from the Commission for this particular circular.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

-

### **Commission's view**

Normally, activities of licensees having financial impact on its consumers are governed through various Regulations notified by the Commission. Stakeholders may bring specific instances in this regard to the notice of the Commission.

## **11. Disclosure of Total Income**

The objector has sought for disclosure of total income by the petitioners recovered from the consumers in accordance with various Regulations notified by the Commission and revenue earned from FPPPA Charges.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that Revenue in annual accounts includes revenue from sale of power to GUVNL and DSM charges, besides revenue from sale to different consumer categories as per accounting practice whereas in the ARR net power purchase cost is shown after reducing revenue from sale of surplus power to GUVNL, as per requirement of ARR mechanism. Netting off of the same heads i.e. revenue from sale of power to GUVNL and DSM Charges have been done and they have been reduced from total power purchase cost and therefore the amounts of revenue in the annual accounts and in the true-up petition cannot be same being requirement of different accounting treatment in the ARR and Annual Accounts. Revenue from sale of Power includes revenue through FPPPA charges (revenue from temporary connections also. Further, the head "Other Income" is classified under the head of non-tariff income in the petition and the same has been reduced from total ARR rather than including it in the revenue. So the total income is disclosed in the petition but presentation is different as per Accounting Practice and requirement as per MYT Regulations.

### **Commission's view**





During prudence check of the submission from licensee, whenever required the Commission asks licensee to provide additional details and clarification. This time the Commission has placed all the additional information received from licensee in tariff determination exercise on Commissions' website and made it available to all the stakeholders.

## **12. Higher rates of temporary connection**

The objector stated that tariff rates for temporary connections are higher than tariff rates for normal supply of electricity and income from such temporary connections is not disclosed by the petitioners.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that being a temporary by nature, the tariff for "Temporary Connection" should always be higher than the tariff for permanent category consumers.

### **Commission's view**

Request for electricity supply for more than 2 years qualifies for permanent/ regular electricity connection and it is not treated as 'Temporary' in accordance with the present Regulations.

## **13. Introduction of LTMD tariff above 6 kW**

The objector sought applicability of demand based tariff for consumers having load of 6 kW and above.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that this is suggestion to the Commission, however, any modification to be made by The Commission should be revenue neutral to the Company.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has dealt with this issue appropriately in the tariff Schedule attached to this order.

## **14. Improper and misuse of Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003**



The objector stated that petitioners have been misusing Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and there has not been any concrete actions carried out by the petitioners to curb the theft of electricity and to reduce the losses of high loss making feeders.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners have submitted they are electricity distribution companies supplying electricity to large base of consumers. Through strenuous efforts petitioners have been able to reduce distribution losses to target level with adoption of new available technology and equipments, continuous monitoring of installation checking activity, etc. Further, emphasis has been made on reduction of JGY feeders having high losses. Feeder wise feeder managers for the selected feeders are nominated and responsibility is assigned for carrying out loss reduction activities. Comprehensive planning for the work to be carried out on such feeders is done on the basis of actual field report. Moreover, fortnightly & monthly meeting of Feeder Managers is held at various levels. It is further submitted that petitioners have achieved a significant reduction in distribution losses, during recent years. These efforts shall continue and will be enhanced. However, loss reduction is a slow process and becomes increasingly difficult as the loss levels go down. Distribution Loss of Agriculture category is highly influenced by the amount and spells of rainfall etc. particularly during monsoon season. However, with the continuous efforts and expeditious release of new connections, the loss of Agriculture category has also reduced.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners. While appreciating efforts made by the petitioners in achieving loss reduction up to target level, the Commission is of the view that sustained and concerted efforts should be made to reduce losses than the losses approved in the MYT Order dated 31.03.2017.

## **15. Revenue Billed and Revenue Collected**

The objector asked the petitioners to submit Revenue Billed and Revenue Collected for FY 2016-17.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted their collection efficiency which is tabulated below;



<b>DISCOM</b>	<b>Collection efficiency (%)</b>
<b>DGVCL</b>	98.20
<b>MGVCL</b>	100.82
<b>PGVCL</b>	100.00
<b>UGVCL</b>	100.00

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner.

### **16. Non-submission of revenue collected from FPPA Charges**

The objector stated that segment wise approved power purchase cost by the Commission is not submitted by the petitioners. Further, revenue realized from FPPA Charges is not submitted category-wise.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that all the generating stations from which Company/GUVNL is purchasing power, the cost for the same is determined / approved by the appropriate Commissions like cost of power purchase from GSECL stations is determined by the GERC, IPPs are governed by the provisions of PPAs, for central generating stations the tariff is either determined by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission or Department of Atomic Energy in case of Nuclear Power Plant and in case of Competitive Bidding, the tariff is adopted by the GERC. The tariff for renewable sources is also determined by the GERC or through competitive bidding. Therefore, the tariff for entire power purchased by Company/GUVNL is determined /approved by the appropriate Commission.

As per the order of the Commission, incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPA charges over and above the base FPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17). Therefore, during FY 2016-17 incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost was recovered over and above the base FPPA of Rs. 1.20/unit during the quarter. Thus, there is no excess recovery of FPPA charges from the consumers during FY 2016-17.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory. Further, as explained by the petitioners, incremental power purchase cost over the base power



purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPPA charges over and above the base FPPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17).

### **17. Quantum of UI/DSM sales not submitted**

The objector stated that details regarding quantum of UI/DSM sales to GUVNL along with UI charges borne by the petitioners are not submitted.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that Deviation Settlement Mechanism, which was previously known as “Unscheduled Interchange”, charged for the deviation from the schedule. Rate of DSM/UI units varies time to time as it is linked with the System Frequency.

#### **Commission’s view**

The Commission noted the objection of the objector and response of the petitioners. Further, details of DSM charges are submitted by the petitioners in their respective petitions while DSM sales in MUs have been sought as an additional detail and such details were also made available on the Commission’s website. Power Purchase cost approved for FY 2016-17 is detailed in the Chapter 4 of this order.

### **18. Difference in FPPPA Charges**

The objector stated that petitioner have collected FPPPA Charges higher than the approved by the Commission i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit during FY 2016-17.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that as per the order of The Commission, incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPPA charges over and above the base FPPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17). Therefore, during FY 2016-17 incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost was recovered over and above the base FPPPA of Rs. 1.20/unit during the quarter. Thus, there is no excess recovery of FPPPA charges from the consumers during FY 2016-17. Further, as per ARR petition mechanism, approved power purchase cost is compared with actual power purchase cost and actual sales revenue is deducted from ARR. Hence, the FPPPA charges difference is not liable to be considered as surplus available for next year.



### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory. Further, as explained by the petitioners, incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPPA charges over and above the base FPPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17). While carrying out truing up exercise, approved power purchase cost is compared with actual power purchase cost and revenue from sale of power, which also includes revenue from FPPPA charges, is deducted from the approved ARR to determine gap/surplus of the truing up year which is considered for determination of tariff for the ensuing year. Thus, difference in the FPPPA charges cannot be considered as surplus lying with the distribution utilities and also cannot be considered for determination of tariff for the ensuing year.

### **19. Applicability of WWSP Type III Tariff category for Water Health Centres**

The objector sought for applicability of WWSP Type III tariff category for Water Health Centres established by various Local Bodies for providing safe and potable drinking water to underserved communities in the rural, peri-urban and urban areas.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that the present Water Works tariff category is applicable to Water and Sewerage Pumping purposes and Type – III is as applicable to Water Works and Sewerage pumps operated by Municipalities/ Nagarpalikas and Gram Panchayats or Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board for its installations located in Gram Panchayats. Water Works category is subsidized tariff category. Sheer objective of giving subsidized tariff would be killed if any associated activity is included in this category. It would be difficult also to monitor supply of purified water for the public use. Therefore, no change in the present provisions of Water Works category is required.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners and is in agreement with the response.

### **20. Separate tariff for each Distribution Company**



The objector sought for determination of separate tariff for each of the State owned Distribution Utility considering different distribution loss of four State Owned Distribution Utilities.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Uniform retail supply tariff for all four DISCOMs (Unbundled entities of erstwhile GEB) has been envisaged so that consumer in the similar categories in the State could have similar tariff and there may not be any discrimination in the consumers, which is also the objective of EA 2003.

The four Distribution Companies are incorporated on the basis of zonal configuration. It is submitted that since the 80% - 90% of the total cost incurred by DISCOMs is for Power Purchase, the same plays a major role in determining the Annual Revenue Requirement as well as Gap / (Surplus) for the DISCOM for a particular year. Since, the consumer profile and consumption profiles are different in the four Distribution Companies; the revenue earning capabilities of each of the DISCOMs differs resulting in different Annual Revenue Requirement. Therefore, it is necessary to build a mechanism in the projections to bring them to a level playing field. This is proposed to be achieved by differential Bulk Supply Tariff (BST) to each of the DISCOMs which is approved by the Commission. In this way, it becomes possible to ensure uniform retail consumer tariffs in the four DISCOMs.

Moreover, performance of all the Distribution Companies is monitored by the Commission and accordingly Distributions Loss is approved by the Commission.

### **Commission's view**

Response of the petitioners explains the circumstances under which the uniform tariffs are adopted for all four DISCOMs.

## **21. Rationalisation of tariff slabs for residential category**

The objector sought for rationalization of tariff slabs for residential category.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that they have not proposed any tariff revision or change in existing Tariff structure for FY 2018-19. Tariff structure of the different states are designed keeping in mind the different social, economical, technical, demographic and other relevant parameters of the state. In our state, the Commission has time to time reviewed the tariff structure and rationalized the tariff. However, any change in the tariff structure may be revenue neutral.



## **Commission's view**

Rationalisation of Tariff Structure calls for reduction in number of categories and slabs. At present five energy slabs are prescribed for the residential consumers of four State Owned Discoms' areas. The Commission would like to continue existing slabs till the Commission takes decision for other licensees in the State in this regard. The Commission will decide on the slabs proposed by the Objector at appropriate time after conducting detailed study on the impact of such slabs on the consumers as well as utilities.

## **22. Merging of meter rent with fixed charges**

The objector, based on the proposal of the petitioner about merging of meter rent with fixed charges, objected the proposal stating that collection of meter charges is debatable.

## **Response of DISCOMs**

Merging of meter rent is proposed by the petitioner to avoid the issue of applicability of multiple taxes such as electricity duty on energy charge and fixed charge and GST on meter charge. Moreover, many a times it has been represented by various consumers Groups at different level. It would be apt to mention that to neutralize the revenue it has been appropriately proposed to recover through Fixed or Demand Charges.

## **Commission's view**

The Commission has taken a note of objection raised by the objector and after deliberations on proposal of the petitioner, it has been decided to abolish the meter rent being levied by the distribution utilities from the consumers requiring electric supply.

## **23. Performance of the petitioners during FY 2016-17**

The objector stated that distribution losses of PGVCL and MGVCL are higher which are passed on to the consumers of DGVCL and UGVCL and thus, consumers of DGVCL and UGVCL have to suffer for the poor performance of PGVCL and MGVCL. It is further stated that bad debts written off in case of PGVCL is high. Further, amnesty scheme notified by the Government of Gujarat should not be approved as this will burden other honest consumers.



## **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that the True up gap shown in the petition is worked out based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The gap does not necessarily reflect the poor or better performance of a utility. The gap worked out is basically the difference between projected expenses/revenue at the time of MYT and the actual expenses/revenue at the time of truing up. The petitioner has endeavoured to curb the losses. The achievement in Distribution loss reduction is shared amongst the consumer and licensee as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

As regards to implication of the Amnesty Scheme, it is to submit that Company has filed a separate Petition in this regard.

Further, petitioners have taken various steps for reduction of distribution loss and endeavours to achieve the loss reduction trajectory as approved by the Commission. Petitioners have achieved a significant reduction in distribution losses, during recent years. These efforts shall continue and will be enhanced. However, loss reduction is a slow process and becomes increasingly difficult as the loss level goes down. Distribution Loss of Agriculture category is highly influenced by the amount and spells of rainfall etc. particularly during monsoon season. However, with the continuous efforts and expeditious release of new connections, the loss of Agriculture category has also reduced. Distribution loss is a controllable factor and treatment for the deviation is given accordingly while computing the revenue gap for FY 2016-17.

## **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. While appreciating the efforts made by the petitioners in achieving loss reduction up to target level, the Commission is of the view that sustained and concerted efforts should be continued to reduce losses than the approved in the MYT Order dated 31.03.2017.

Further, as stated by the petitioner, amnesty scheme is being dealt separately by the Commission in a separate petition. It is also to mention that such amnesty scheme is for FY 2017-18 which has no effect in truing up of FY 2016-17 and tariff determination for FY 2018-19. For the issue of high amount of bad debts written off in case of PGVCL, it is to state that the Commission has approved bad debts written off in accordance with Regulation 94.9 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as detailed in Chapter 4 of this order.

## **24. Increase number of electrical accidents**





The objector stated that number of electrical accidents are increasing.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that maximum efforts have been made in all directions to reduce electrical accidents and resultantly nos. of accidents have reduced year on year. Besides maintenance related activities, petitioners have taken various steps to create safety awareness among the employees and also among the general public.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the objection raised by the objector and response of the petitioners. The Commission has always given utmost priority to electrical safety and has been giving directives to the petitioners to curb electrical accidents by various means. The Commission monitors the electrical accidents on quarterly basis through Standard of Performance Reports and provides inputs on the matter.

## **25. Replacement of Faulty Meters**

The objector stated that still large number of faulty meters are pending for replacement.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that they endeavour to adopt upgraded technologies for metering and accordingly Company started procuring electronic meters and now only static/digital meters are procured and provided. Further automatic meter reading (AMR) system has also been provided on high valued consumer installations. Petitioners plan to adopt RF and other advanced communication technologies for meter reading and billing. Company has large base of old consumers. Petitioners prioritize the replacement of non-working, defective, inaccurate meters and very old meters. Petitioners have meter replacement plans and accordingly meters are replaced every year.

Details of Meters replaced during last three years are tabulated as under;

Year	Meters Replaced (Old+ Non Working)			
	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL
2015-16	94196	308932	282789	150773
2016-17	65652	352658	410232	191851



2017-18	87062 (up to January 2018)	312619 (up to December 2017)	362653 (up to December 2017)	243554 (up to December 2017)
---------	----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner, however, it is observed that large number of meters are still pending for replacement which is affecting revenue of the petitioner and also resulting into grievances of consumers. The Commission, therefore, has directed the petitioner to expedite process of meter replacement and quarterly submit progress of the same.

### **26. Installation of Smart Meters**

The objector stated that the petitioners have not provided any details about electromechanical and electronic meters within their jurisdiction. Further, details of non-working meters and smart meters installed are also not submitted.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that they have proposed to provide smart meters under Integrated Power Development Scheme (IPDS).

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. Further, the Commission monitors progress of replacement of electromechanical meters with electronic meters and installation of smart meters on quarterly basis and provide its inputs, if any.

### **27. Ceiling of FPPPA Charges at Rs. 1.50/unit**

The objector sought ceiling of FPPPA charges at maximum of Rs. 1.50/unit and not to allow any amount above the ceiling amount to carry forward. The objector has further objected the proposal of the petitioners to increase base price of FPPPA from Rs. 1.43/unit to Rs. 1.49/unit.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Basic nature of FPPPA/PPPA is 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost i.e. passing on the increase or decrease, as the case may be. The PPPA charge is being levied on the consumer categories on account of the change in the cost of power purchase, which comprises almost 80% to 95% of the Distribution Licensee's Aggregate Revenue Requirement. Any expense



pertaining to the regulated business of the Distribution Licensee has to be recovered from all consumers in some manner. Therefore, the FPPPA charges are recovered in the form of an incremental energy charge (Rs/kwh) as per formulae approved by the Commission.

In the MYT order dated 31.03.2017 for Control Period FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21, The Commission has considered the base power purchase cost at Rs. 4.17/unit and base FPPPA at Rs. 1.43/unit. As per approved FPPPA formula, any increase in power purchase cost during the year over and above base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.17/unit is to be recovered through FPPPA over and above base FPPPA of Rs. 1.43/unit on quarterly basis. As per approved ARR for FY 2018-19, the weighted average power purchase cost is worked out to Rs. 4.22/unit as against base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.17/unit. Thus, the incremental power purchase cost of Rs. 0.05/unit for FY 2018-19 (i.e. Rs. 4.22 - 4.17) will be recovered through FPPPA over and above base FPPPA of Rs. 1.43/unit. Therefore, estimated revenue from FPPPA for FY 2018-19 is considered at Rs. 1.49/unit (i.e. grossing up of Rs. 0.05 by approved losses).

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioners. As explained by the petitioners, incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPPA charges over and above the base FPPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17) and FPPPA formula is designed that way. The Commission, after considering the Power Purchase Cost for FY 2018-19 approved in the MYT Order dated 31.03.2017 has worked out the average power purchase cost of Rs. 4.22/ unit and thus the base price has increased by Rs. 0.05/ unit than the approved base price of Rs. 4.17/unit in the MYT Order dated 31.03.2017, which is required to be adjusted by way of FPPPA. Thus the base price of FPPPA is increased from Rs. 1.43/unit to Rs. 1.49/unit.

## **28. Reduction in Electricity Duty**

The objector sought for rationalization of Electricity Duty.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that Electricity Duty is being levied as per Provision of Electricity Duty Act.

### **Commission's view**



Stakeholders are required to represent before the State Government regarding exemption from Tax and Electricity Duty.

## **29. Delay in filing tariff petitions**

The objector objected of delay in filing tariff petitions by the petitioners.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Petitioner has submitted that it had sought the extension of time considering prevalent scenario in the State at that point of time and in turn, the Commission had granted time period till 31.12.2017 to file the Petition and the petition was filed on 30.12.2017. The Commission is entitled under the Statutory Regulations to abridge or extend time.

### **Commission's view**

The Tariff Policy notified by the Ministry of Power provides that Commission should initiate tariff determination on a suo motu basis in case the licensee does not initiate filing in time. It is also provided that it is desirable that requisite tariff changes come into effect from the date of the commencement of each financial year.

Accordingly, in accordance with the MYT Regulations 2016, the Commission accepted delayed filing by the licensee and 30 days' time period was provided to the stakeholders to file their suggestions /objections from the date of publication of advertisement in daily newspapers. Subsequently, on request from some of the stakeholders, further time period (beyond 30 days) was also provided to them for filing suggestions and objections.

## **30. Procurement of power at competitive bidding**

The objector sought procurement of power through competitive bidding only.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

GUVNL has tied up power on long term basis to fulfil the requirement of its four subsidiary Discoms. Further, Intra-Stat ABT has been implemented in the State w.e.f. 5.4.2010. In accordance with the provision of Intra-State ABT Order of the Commission, power is procured in real time basis following the principle of Merit Order irrespective of ownership of generators whereby cheaper power is scheduled first till the demand of Discoms is met.



### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners and agrees with the response. Further in large interest of the consumers, ABT mechanism has been developed where power is procured in real time basis following the principle of Merit Order irrespective of ownership of generators whereby cheaper power is scheduled first till the demand of Discoms is met.

### **31. Procurement of power by GUVNL from generating stations without approval of PPAs**

The objector stated that GUVNL procures power at a higher rate from generating stations whose PPAs are not approved by the Commission.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

For all the sources with whom GUVNL has signed PPA for procurement of power on long term basis, tariff for the same is either (i) approved by the Commission, (ii) approved by the CERC for Central Generating Stations, or (iii) discovered through competitive bidding process and adopted by the Commission.

As regards signing of PPA with wind power projects at Rs. 3.46/Unit, it is to state that these PPAs are subject to the approval of the Commission and petitions for approval of tariff are pending before the Commission.

Further, GUVNL trades the eventual surplus power and proceeds through trading of surplus power are being passed on to the Consumers of its subsidiary Distribution Companies.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. No such power source has been approved by the Commission whose PPA has not yet been approved.

### **32. Inclusion of UI charges in power purchase cost**

The objector objected inclusion of UI charges in power purchase cost.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Deviation Settlement Mechanism, which was previously known as "Unscheduled Interchange", is disciplined Item and charged for the deviation from the schedule. Rate of DSM/UI units varies time to time as it is linked with the Frequency. It is further to state that DSM /UI Charges are applicable for any deviation compared to schedule in terms of applicable CERC Regulations.



Therefore, it is not correct to state that DSM/UI Charges is applicable only when deviation is beyond +/- 12%. The Over Drawl deviation is very small (0.4%) in comparison to total quantum of power purchase of 85625 MUs.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the objection of the objector and response of the petitioners. Details on DSM charges are submitted by the petitioners in their respective petition while DSM sales in MUs have been sought as an additional detail and such details were also made available on the Commission's website. Power Purchase cost approved for FY 2016-17 is detailed in the Chapter 4 of this order.

### **33. Non-submission of details regarding energy sold to GUVNL**

The objector submitted that petitioners have not submitted any details regarding energy sold to GUVNL.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Besides retail sale to consumers by the Discom, GUVNL trades power on behalf of the Distribution Company. Therefore, energy traded on behalf of the Distribution Company is shown as sale to GUVNL in DISCOM's account. For FY 2016-17, on behalf of Distribution Companies, GUVNL has sold surplus power of 319 MUs and Rs.114 Cr was earned on this account.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory. Further, revenue earned by GUVNL from trading of surplus power is negated from the power purchase cost and thus from ARR to pass on the benefits to the consumers.

### **34. Different T & D losses**

The objector stated that transmission losses submitted by Discoms and GETCO transmission are different.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Intra State transmission loss is being applied as per Postage Stamp Method and same is considered @ 3.85% in the DISCOM's petition.

Distribution Licensees are also mandated to procure surplus renewable power locally (after giving set off at recipient unit for RE projects set up for captive/ Third party use) for which



Transmission losses are not applicable. Therefore, it is not correct to state that Discoms have applied different GETCO transmission losses. The issue of GETCO transmission losses is more clarified in the additional information uploaded on the Commission's web-site.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory.

### **35. Recovery of FPPPA Charges**

The objector stated that petitioners are recovering more amount of power purchase cost on account of FPPPA charges.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

All the generating stations from which Company/GUVNL is purchasing power, the cost for the same is determined / approved by the appropriate Commissions like cost of power purchase from GSECL stations is determined by the GERC, IPPs are governed by the provisions of PPAs, for central generating stations the tariff is either determined by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission or Department of Atomic Energy in case of Nuclear Power Plant and in case of Competitive Bidding, the tariff is adopted by the GERC. The tariff for renewable sources is also determined by the GERC or through competitive bidding. Therefore, the tariff for entire power purchased by Company/GUVNL is determined /approved by the appropriate authority.

As per the order of the Commission, incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost is to be recovered through FPPPA charges over and above the base FPPPA charges (i.e. Rs. 1.20/unit for FY 2016-17). Therefore, during FY 2016-17 incremental power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost of Rs. 3.76/Unit was recovered over and above the base FPPPA of Rs. 1.20/unit.

During FY 2016-17, average FPPPA was worked out to Rs. 1.61/Unit over base FPPPA of Rs. 1.20/Unit i.e. increase of 0.41/Unit. Considering incremental power purchase cost of Rs. 0.41/Unit, the power purchase cost for FY 2016-17 is worked out to Rs. 4.17/Unit (i.e. base power purchase of Rs. 3.76/Unit + 0.41/Unit) which is tallied with per unit power purchase cost as per annual account as well as power purchase cost disclosed at tariff filing format Form-2. Thus, there is no excess recovery of FPPPA charges from the consumers during FY 2016-17.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission carry out detailed analysis of variation in fuel price on quarterly basis under FPPPA mechanism. The submission of the licensee in this regard is available on its website.



The variation in quarterly FPPPA charges is reflection of fuel price as well as fixed charges and transmission charges related to procurement of power by the Distribution Licensee.

### **36. Deduction of cross subsidy charges and PoC charges outstanding from the consumers**

The objector stated that outstanding cross subsidy charges and Parallel Operation Charges from consumers should be deducted from ARR and not to burden consumers.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Amount accrued on account of cross subsidy surcharge and Parallel operation charge from the respective consumers is duly accounted under 'Other Income / Non-Tariff Income' and treatment of the same is given accordingly.

#### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. The Commission has approved non-tariff income in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulation, 2016. Further, non-tariff income is negated from total ARR and thus is not considered to determine tariff for the consumers.

### **37. To link RoE with performance of the petitioners**

The objector suggested to link Return on Equity with the performance of the petitioners.

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

The petitioners have not furnished any comments in this regard.

#### **Commission's view**

The Commission approves normative equity addition at 30% of approved capitalization and allows Return on Equity at the rate of 14%. Further, as per Regulation 33 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, where actual equity employed is more than 30% of capital cost approved by the Commission, the amount of equity for the purpose of tariff is limited to 30% and the balance is considered as loan, thereby capping the equity at 30% of the capital cost approved by the Commission. Further, petitioners' under/over performance with respect to reduction in T & D loss is taken care while sharing gains/ losses with consumers as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

### **38. Capital Investment to be verified with sale of energy**





The objector sought verification of capital investment of the petitioners in context of projected and actual sale of energy.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Most of the capital investment schemes by the Discoms are of continuous and ongoing nature. These are based on yearly targets set for meeting the supply obligation, providing quality and reliable power to consumers, reduction in losses, release of agriculture connections, etc

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. The Commission has approved capital expenditure as detailed in Chapter 4 of this order after verification of documents and prudence check.

### **39. Redetermination of ARR of FY 2016-17 and truing up of FY 2016-17 as per provisionally approved ARR and GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2011**

The Objector has submitted that there is no provision in either E.A. 2003 or MYT or other Regulations to determine the ARR for the same financial year (FY 2016-17) because the sharing of gain/loss of controllable and uncontrollable factors of tariff is the comparison of approved value with the actual received in the truing up. The question is which ARR is to be considered valid which is approved in the tariff petitions No. 1547/1548/1549/1550 of 2015 which is based on the MYT Regulations, 2011 or approved in the tariff petitions No. 1622/1623/1624/1625 of 2016 which is based on the MYT Regulations, 2016. Both the tariff orders for approval of ARR of FY 2016-17 are valid and legal.

ARR is approved in Petitions No. 1547,1548,1549 and 1550 of 2015 vide order dated 31.03.2016 is based on the MYT Regulations, 2011 and petitioners have recovered the charges on the strength of the said order, how the truing up of the same ARR can be carried out under MYT Regulations, 2016 and with the ARR approved in the Petitions No.1622,1623,1624 and 1625 of 2016 Order dated 31.03.2017. The ARR for FY 2016-17 under the MYT Order is after completion of FY 2016-17 and no tariff increase or decrease was decided in the said order dated 31.03.2017. The Objector submitted that the truing up of FY 2016-17 should be compared with ARR determined vide Orders dated 31.03.2016 and not at all with ARR approved in Orders dated 31.03.2017.

### **Response of DISCOMs**



ARR and Tariff Petition is filed following the Multi Year Tariff Regulations, 2016 and directions issued by the Commission from time to time.

As regards determination of final ARR for FY 2016-17, the GERC has by order dated 02nd December, 2015 in the Petition No. 1534/2015 decided that approved ARR of FY 2015-16 of the licensees / generating companies concerned be considered as provisional ARR of the licensees / generating companies for FY 2016-17 and that the licensees / generating companies shall file the ARR for FY 2016-17 based on the new MYT Regulations and the true up for the same shall also be governed as per the new MYT Regulations.

Accordingly, in terms of above order, True-up for FY 2016-17 is to be carried out based on the final ARR approved by the Commission vide Order dated 31.3.2017.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. Tariff Policy provides that it is desirable that requisite tariff changes come into effect from the date of the commencement of each financial year. Accordingly, the Commission adopted ARR approved for FY 2015-16 as provisional ARR for determination of tariff for FY 2016-17. In order to carry out truing up exercise for FY 2016-17, it is required to approve final ARR for FY 2016-17 with targeted/benchmarked controllable parameters as specified in new MYT Regulations. Accordingly, approval of ARR for FY 2016-17 considered by the Commission in MYT Order. Further the deviation between provisional ARR and final ARR is required to be considered as uncontrollable.

### **40. Problems of low voltage and violation of Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003**

The objector pointed out low voltage problems being faced by consumers connected with agriculture feeders and not to penalize consumers under Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 under such low voltage conditions.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Agriculture Feeders have been separated out from the Rural Category feeders by the Company while implementing the JGY Scheme. Now Agriculture Category Consumers are fed through exclusive feeders i.e. Ag. Dominant feeders. With the increase in generation in the State, overall voltage profile has improved substantially. Moreover, since Pump set of the agriculture consumer is submerged, it is not possible to read the name plate details of the Pump Set for verifying the connected load. Hence for verifying the connected load of agriculture consumer,



actual technical parameters are measured with the help of Accucheck meter. Accucheck meter takes care of all technical parameters including supply voltage level available at the consumer premises and accordingly connected load is being measured. If the measured load is more than the contracted load, actions are taken for utilization of additional load than sanctioned load. Further, on input quantity so measured, 15% efficiency benefit is also to be considered in terms of directive of the Commission to arrive at connected load.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory. Such instances of low voltage may be brought to the notice of the respective Consumer Grievances Redressal Forums as specified in the GERC (Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations, 2011 for immediate and effective redressal.

### **41. FPPPA and Excess Demand Charges from CPP**

The objector stated that three times demand charges are being recovered by the petitioners from CPP consumers for the excess demand due to costly power purchased by the petitioners to meet such excess demand. Petitioners have FPPPA mechanism where they can recover incremental cost of power purchase and thus recovery of 3 times demand charges for excess demand is not permissible.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Demand charges from the CPP is recovered as per the provisions of Tariff and relevant orders issued by the Commission.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the objection raised by the objector and response of the petitioners. Excess demand hampers the operation of the grid and thus should be penalized accordingly. To link demand charges in case of excess demand with recovery of FPPPA charges is not correct as one is meant for penalizing the consumers to stay within the contract demand and another is recovery mechanism for incremental power purchase cost.

### **42. Over compensation in application of formula for assessment under Section 126 and 135**

The objector stated that under cases of Section 126 and Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003, FPPPA rate is doubled which results in over compensation.



### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that in terms of tariff order of the Commission, FPPPA is a part of tariff and, therefore, while assessing bill under section 126 or 135, applicable FPPPA charges are taken into account.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the objection raised by the objector. Since, the said objection is not a part of the current tariff petition. It has to be dealt with by the Electricity Supply Code Review Panel.

### **43. Voltage neutral FPPPA charge**

The objector sought for voltage neutral FPPPA charge stating the reason that consumer connected at 220 kV will be at a loss level of 2% but pays for 33% loss and current FPPPA formula does not make any distinction between voltage levels.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Commission has allowed Discoms / GUVNL to claim the increase in the Fuel Price and Power Purchase Adjustment according to the formula approved by the Commission for increase in Fuel Price and Power Purchase Adjustment (FPPPA) cost from its customers. The incremental cost paid by GUVNL/Discoms compared to base year for purchase of power from various sources is to be recovered by Discoms as Power Purchase Price Adjustment mechanism from consumers.

Petitioners have also submitted that the basic nature of FPPPA is 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost i.e. passing on the increase or decrease, as the case may be. The FPPPA charge is being levied on the consumer categories on account of change in the cost of power generation and power procured due to change in fuel cost, which comprises almost 80% to 90% of the Distribution Licensee's Aggregate Revenue Requirement, and any expense pertaining to the regulated business of the Distribution Licensee has to be recovered from all consumers in some manner. Therefore, the FPPPA charges are recovered in the form of an incremental energy charge (Rs/kWh) recovered on uniform basis and forms part of the energy bill to be served on monthly/bimonthly or any other periodical basis.

Also, the Commission has approved tariff rate on the basis of overall cost of power and is not decided based on the different voltage level. Hence, in order to implement the suggestion, the first target should be to replicate Cost to Serve as the tariff for that voltage category which is



neither possible nor in terms of principle of Tariff Policy which contemplate for cross subsidization within +/- 20% of average Cost to Serve.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory.

#### **44. Power Factor Penalty on recorded demand and not on billing demand**

The objector sought applicability of power factor penalty on recorded demand and not on billing demand.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Power Factor penalty/ rebate are levied on "Energy Charges" only.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory. Power Factor Penalty is not levied on demand charges and thus question of penalty on billing demand does not arise.

#### **45. Details of all the cases filed in High Court/Supreme Court by or against the Consumers**

The objector sought the details of cases filed in High Court/Supreme Court by or against the Consumers to deliberate on the issues leading to filing of large number of cases.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Suits are filed against consumers or appeal with Hon'ble High Court or Hon'ble Supreme Court based on merits of the case to safe guard the interest of the Distribution Company and consumers at large.

Further, the objector has also raised following additional issues:

### **Reconnection Charges Without Disconnection:**

Reconnection charges are recovered without Disconnection.

### **Recovery of PoC:**

Parallel Operation Charges are levied as per Order of The GERC.



“Consumer Charter” is placed at a prominent place in all Subdivision offices providing vital information to the consumers. It is also placed on the web-site of consumer. The consumer can also approach to local office for any guidance.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners and is of view that such matters shall be dealt separately and not as part of current tariff petitions.

## **46. Inspection of consumers’ premises and authority to enter the premises**

The objector sought clarification on authorities who can enter and inspect consumers premises.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Government of Gujarat has vide notification dated 5th June, 2004 designated Officers of the Company (rank of Junior Engineer and above) for exercising powers of Assessing Officer under Section 126 within the area specified and exercising the Power under sub-section 135 within the area specified.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission agrees with the response of the petitioners.

## **47. Performance of electronic meters**

The objector objected the use of electronic meters stating poor performance of the electronic meters under overload or near full load conditions.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Meter Supplier supplies meter as per A/T Conditions, issued following provisions of relevant IS. No oral specification is conveyed to any of the supplier.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission agrees with the response of the petitioners.

## **48. Abuse of Section 126 and 127 of the Electricity Act, 2003**

The objector stated that consumers are being harassed by the petitioners with abuse of Section 126 and 127 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and by expression of ‘Proportionate Units’.

### **Response of DISCOMs**



Under the “Connected Load Based Tariff” consumer can connect load as per his contract demand. However, in case where units consumed are duly metered, no additional units are worked out either as per LHF formula or on Proportionate Basis for additional connected load.

Assessment on the basis of proportionate units is carried out only when the units consumed are duly metered and connection is found to be utilized for other than the authorized purpose or place.

Company follows the provisions of Regulations and Act while inspecting any premises under Section 135 and assessing any consumer under Section 126.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory.

## **49. Mischief in HTP III tariff category**

The objector stated that deemed applicability of HTP III tariff category to consumers not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement results to applicability of rates of HTP III category in case of penalizing of HTP I or HTP II category consumers, and therefore, needs clarity that penalty would be on the applicable tariff and expression ‘not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement’ will not be revoked.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Response is not clear and no Annexure is found with the response. However, it is to state that provisions of “Unauthorized Use of energy” are applied only in case of breach of provisions under Section 126 and dealt with in accordance with the provisions of Act and Regulations.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission noted the objection raised by the objector and response of the petitioners. Assessment of energy in cases of theft/ unauthorized use of electricity is carried out in accordance with the formula specified in Annexure IV of the GERC (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2016 and applicable tariff is used to assess the energy for the said cases.

## **50. Security Deposit and Reconnection Charges without Disconnections**

The objector stated that load factor to calculate security deposit is high and petitioners are collecting reconnection charges without disconnection of electricity supply.



### **Response of DISCOMs**

Security Deposit is recovered from the consumer as per the provision of Security Deposit Regulations notified by the Commission and Reconnection charges are recovered only if the connection is physically disconnected.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the objections raised by the objector and response of the petitioners and is of view that such matters should be raised before respective Consumer Grievances Redressal Forums.

## **51. Night time concessional tariff**

The objector stated that night time tariff category should not have any demand charges.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

The fixed charges are levied from the consumer to recover company's fixed cost which company is incurred irrespective of consumption and time of consumption by the consumer. Fixed charges mainly cover fixed cost of generating stations / Transmission Licensee and fixed components of Discoms like cost of infrastructure, employee cost, R&M cost, A&G cost etc. Therefore, any kind of discrimination among the tariff categories would lead to passing on the burden on the other tariff categories. Thus, it is not appropriate to have different fixed charges for the consumer of the same class category.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners which is self-explanatory.

## **52. Determination of tariff for SEZ distribution licensee procuring electricity from the petitioners**

The objector requested for separate tariff category for supply of electricity by the petitioners to those licensees which are operating in the State of Gujarat either as SEZ Developers or have obtained license from the Commission by way of application considering (i) Periphery tariff of distribution licensee or (ii) BST rate determined by the Commission plus premium on it.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

The Commission determines the Tariff in accordance with the provisions of Electricity Act, 2003. Accordingly, the Commission determines the tariff for retail sale to consumers besides transmission tariff, approval of the tariff discovered through competitive bidding for respective





generating unit(s)/ station, renewable energy sources etc. Tariff for supplying power by one Distribution Licensee to other Distribution licensee or to SEZ developers is always on mutually agreement basis and cannot be determined by the Commission.

### **Commission' view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners and is in agreement with the same.

### **53. Non-recovery of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge**

The objector stated that the petitioners should not charge cross subsidy surcharge and additional surcharge for the power purchased by the objector or such distribution licensees simultaneously through open access from any other source and from petitioners as a consumer.

### **Response of DISCOMs**

Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge are recovered as per the relevant provisions of Open Access Regulations and order of the Commission in this regard.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioners. During the course of hearing, it was confirmed by the petitioners that distribution licensee is exempted from payment of Cross subsidy Surcharge or Additional Surcharge.

### **54. Applicability of HTP IV tariff category for all sick industrial units**

The objector sought applicability of HTP IV category tariff for all sick industrial units and also for applicability of said tariff category for 24 hours instead of just night hours.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the suggestion of the objector. Since, the suggestion was sent late by the objector, views on the suggestion could not be obtained from the petitioners. Without giving an opportunity of hearing on the objection to the licensees and going into details about implication on the licensees' revenue, it is not appropriate and valid to implement the suggestion. Therefore, at present the Commission does not take any view on the suggestion.

### **55. Submission of consolidated formats for category-wise sales and revenue**

The objector stated that GUVNL should submit consolidated formats for category-wise sales and revenue.



### **Commission's view**

The suggestion was sent late by the objector, views on the suggestion could not be obtained from the petitioners. However, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, petitioners are not required to submit consolidated data for sales and revenue since, the revenue gap/ surplus for the truing up year of individual Discom is worked out on the basis of their separate ARR and Revenue.

### **56. Sharing of Profit of GUVNL**

The objector stated that profit of GUVNL should be deducted from total power purchase cost.

### **Commission's view**

It is to clarify here that, profit earned by GUVNL from its activities is distributed amongst DISCOMs as the entire cost of GUVNL is being borne by DISCOMs and ARR of the DISCOMs to that extent is reduced.

### **57. Consideration of O & M expenses as controllable**

The objector stated that any variation in actual O & M expenses than approved O & M expenses should be considered as controllable and not to allow pass through of its two third amount to consumers as deviation shows inefficiency of distribution utilities.

### **Commission's view**

The deviation in actual O & M expenses with approved O & M expenses is considered as Gain/ Loss due to controllable factor as per Regulation 22.2 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Further, any gain arising out of such controllable parameter is negated from Approved ARR to work out revenue gap/ surplus and thus it is passed on to the consumers as a rebate in tariff, in accordance with Regulation 24.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Same way, any loss arising out of this controllable parameter is added into approved ARR to work out revenue gap/ surplus and thus it is passed on to the consumers as addition in tariff in accordance with Regulation 24.2 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

### **58. Depreciation on Assets under Transfer Scheme**



The objector stated that petitioners have used straight line method to work out depreciation on assets while depreciation on assets under the transfer scheme should be worked out in accordance with Regulation 39 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

### **Commission's view**

Regulation 39 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for charging depreciation on assets under transfer scheme on straight line method as per the rates specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for a period of 12 years from the date of the transfer scheme and then spread depreciation over the balance useful life of the assets. Distribution utilities have been charging depreciation on the assets under transfer scheme accordingly. However, a period of 12 years from the date of transfer scheme will be over in the year 2016-17 and accordingly, depreciation shall be charged from the 13th year i.e. FY 2017-18 as provided in the Regulations. The Commission has already directed the petitioners in this regards vide Order dated 31.03.2017.

### **59. Justification about other debits**

The objector stated that petitioners have not justified other debits of Rs. 44 Crore.

### **Commission's view**

Other debits have been considered as part of O & M expenses. However, it is to mention that item-wise break up of O & M expenses were sought by the Commission as an additional details and submitted by the petitioners which were made available on the Commission's website. O & M expenses have been approved in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as detailed in Chapter 4 of this order.

### **60. Introduction of rebate for better load factor**

The objector sought for introduction of rebate for better load factor.

### **Commission's view**

Prior to introduction of rebate for better load factor, a detailed analysis of the consumption pattern of petitioners 'consumers, its impact on load curve, load generation balance data of each time block and real time price variation in energy portfolio of the petitioners is required to strike a balance between the groups of consumers proposed to get the benefit and the remaining set of consumers.



### **61. Discrimination between peak hour charges and night rebate concession**

The objector stated that peak hour charges and night rebate concession charges should be same.

#### **Commission's view**

The objective of giving night benefit to the consumer is to shift their demand to off peak hours and thereby help the grid as well as to flatten the demand curve of the utility. But the consumers who are otherwise of continuous demand nature or as a part of their process they consume power during night hours cannot be considered to have made additional efforts to shift the load from peak hrs. Therefore, the night hours concession is given on the energy consumption during night hours in excess of one third of the total energy consumption of particular month. The said issue was also taken up in earlier tariff orders.

### **62. Higher Demand Charges**

The objector stated that demand charges in case of demand in excess of 1000 kVA is higher if converted into per unit demand charges considering utilization factor of HT industries between 30 % to 45%.

#### **Commission's view**

For any business, the fixed costs of the business should be recovered from fixed charges. Also, such recovery of fixed cost from consumers having different contracted demand cannot be identified as the consumer who utilizes the system more should pay more. Accordingly, present structure of levy of demand charge does not require any modification.

### **63. Applicability of normal agriculture tariff to Sinchai Sahakari Piyat Mandli in place of applicability of LTP category tariff.**

#### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners, during the course of hearing, submitted that LTP tariff is applicable to Low Tension Agriculture consumers requiring 24 hours power supply for lifting water from surface water source such as canal, river, dam and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for irrigation while normal agriculture tariff is applicable to individual agriculture consumers being provided 8 hours power supply. Further, it is stated that any change or modification in the tariff category should be revenue neutral to the petitioners.



### **Commission's view**

The Commission agrees with the petitioner that higher energy charge for LTP IV and HTP V category consumer is appropriate looking to availability of 24 hours power supply. However, the Commission is of the view that there is a need to encourage 'Piyat Mandalis' and use of surface water for irrigation purpose on co-operative basis and to reduce demand for separate connection of individual farmer. The Commission has revised the tariff schedule accordingly.

### **64. Prior intimation to consumers before disconnection of the electricity connection**

The objector stated that consumers should be given prior intimation about disconnection of electricity connection in case of Section 135, Section 126, non-payment of dues and such disconnection should not be carried out after 3 PM or on Public Holidays.

### **Commission's view**

Disconnection of supply in case of Section 135 is carried out as per the Electricity Act, 2003 while disconnection of electricity connection in case of non-payment of dues is carried out in accordance with GERC (Electricity Supply Code and Related Matters) Regulations, 2015 where such procedure for disconnection is detailed. As per the said Regulations, consumers shall be provided prior notice for payment of such dues and in case of non-payment of such dues even after completion of the notice period, such disconnection to be carried out.

### **65. Centralised system of appointment**

The objector stated that centralised system of appointment should be established instead of each distribution utility carrying out separate appointments.

### **Commission's view**

Appointment of staff is the administrative matter of the utilities and does not fall within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

### **66. Temporary supply for agriculture irrigation purpose**

The objector stated that temporary supply should be provided to agriculture consumers for irrigation purpose.

### **Commission's view**



Large number of agriculture connection applications are pending with the four State Owned DISCOMs. Considering the current scenario, it would not be appropriate to provide temporary electricity supply to agriculture consumers for irrigation purpose. This issue is not a subject matter of present petition.

#### **67. Compulsory requirement of temporary power supply**

The objector stated that in case of reconstruction of existing property, there is compulsory requirement of temporary supply for construction purpose.

##### **Commission's view**

Regulation 12.1 of the GERC (Standard of Performance of Distribution Licensee) Regulations, 2005 specifies that the consumers may get temporary supply for construction of residential houses, complexes, commercial complexes, industrial premises and for illumination during festivals, etc. However, this issue is not a subject matter of present petition.

#### **68. Quality of Power Supply**

The objector stated that consumers are facing issues of voltage fluctuations, especially in Bopal area.

##### **Response of DISCOMs**

Petitioners submitted that they have not received any such complaints of voltage fluctuations from consumers residing in Bopal area. However, a study in the said area was carried out where voltage variations were found within permissible limits.

##### **Commission's view**

Though the issue is not a subject matter of present petition, the petitioner is directed to look into the matter and ensure voltage supply within permissible limits.

#### **69. Blacklisting of defaulters from taking part in competitive bidding process of GUVNL**

The objector stated that IPPs such as Adani Power Limited, Essar Power Limited are defaulters in providing supply to GUVNL and therefore should be blacklisted from taking part in competitive bidding process of GUVNL for procurement of power.

##### **Commission's view**



Procurement of power through competitive bidding is to be done by GUVNL following the guidelines issued by the Ministry of Power, Government of India, in this regard.

### **3.3 Issues pertaining to MGVCCL**

#### **1. Energy Audit and segregation of HT & LT Losses**

The objector stated that in reference to the directive given by the Commission to MGVCCL about submission of report on energy audit and segregation of HT and LT losses, MGVCCL has furnished that report is submitted by GUVNL on study for working out the exact losses in HT and LT network for all four Distribution Companies and also consolidated report of GUVNL as a whole. In reference to the compliance, the objector stated that in the previous petitions sample theoretical losses calculations were given using different formulae by each Discom but the actual losses were not given to segregate the technical losses and commercial losses and Discoms were not sincere in evaluating correct losses and fund allotted for reduction of losses are not properly utilized. Further, the study report for the study conducted by GUVNL as stated above is not made available.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that earlier, The Commission has directed DISCOMs to segregate technical & commercial losses from the total Distribution losses. To illustrate the method of calculation of theoretical loss, sample calculation of one of the feeders has been enumerated in the earlier petition the calculation reveals load, consumption, connected load diversity etc. Each formula has certain assumptions for the calculations and same method is followed consistently by the respective DISCOMs and therefore it holds good for them. In tariff order dated 31.03.2017, The Commission has directed utilities to conduct a fresh evaluation study to work out exact losses in HT and LT side. For which GUVNL has submitted report for all four DISCOMS and consolidated report of GUVNL as a whole.

#### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner.

#### **2. Revenue projection for other consumer related income**

The objector stated that consumers' contribution of Rs. 75.52 Crore is 3.5 times more than Rs. 20 Crore approved for FY 2016-17. Also, consumers related other income projected in the MYT



petition for FY 2016-17 is Rs. 82 Crore while in the present petition for truing up of FY 2016-17, such income is Rs. 241.66 Crore which is more than 3 times. It is further stated that on account of development of wide network over period of times, average expenditure is constantly going down per electricity connection. Also, estimates being issued are not as per the GERC Supply Code Regulations and the enhancement of demand is considered as new connections and all the charges and Security Deposit are being levied as per the new connection without deduction of existing Security Deposit amount. For conversion from LT system to HT system, infrastructure charges of GETCO are being levied for entire demand by virtue of circular issued by MGVCL. By way of issuance of such estimates, other income might have risen by 3 to 4 times.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that as per the provisions of accounting standards, every year Company writes back certain part of consumers' contribution under the head "other income" and, thus, the appropriate effect to the consumers' contribution is given and therefore company charges depreciation on gross value of asset. The respondent has wrongly construed the above other income as "Other income (Consumer Related) as mentioned in current petition. The other income (Consumer related) in the petition does not include the proportionate deferred income written back from consumer's contribution. Therefore, such deferred income from consumer's contributions cannot be linked with other income (Consumer related) as it is irrelevant. Further, in order to check the rising trend of consumer's contributions through estimates, it will be a prudential approach to compare the actual consumer contributions amount as shown in truing up exercise during last three years. The year on year trend is depicted from The Commission's tariff order as under:

<b>Year</b>	<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Consumers' Reference</b>	<b>Tariff Order</b>
2014-15	Rs.133.84 Cr	Table 4.19	31.03.2016
2015-16	Rs.92.59 Cr	Table 4.30	31.03.2017
2016-17	Rs.75.52 Cr	Table 14	Current Petition

From the above, it reveals that the actual amount of consumers' contributions is consistently decreasing which negates the respondent's contentions of increase in issue of estimates amount.





It is also mentioned that the resultant deferred income written back from consumers' contribution amount is also passed on fully to consumers in the ARR petition every year.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the objection of the objector and the response of the petitioner which is self-explanatory. Consumer Contribution/ Grants is negated from capitalization to work out debt and equity and deferred income written back from Consumers Contribution/ Grants is considered as non-tariff income which is negated from total ARR and thus is not considered to determine tariff for the consumers. To link deferred income written back from Consumers Contribution/ Grants with Other Income (Consumer related) is not correct.

Further, the Commission has determined charges on per kW basis to be recovered from applicants seeking LT power supply and methodology for recovering expenses for providing HT supply has also been specified in the GERC (Licensee's Power to recover expenditure incurred in providing electric supply and Other Miscellaneous Charges) Regulations, 2005. Issues of wrong estimates issued to the applicants are dealt by CGRFs and Ombudsman as specified in the GERC (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations, 2011.

### **3. Power Purchase Cost**

The objector stated that there is a reduction in power purchase cost during FY 2016-17 than approved in the MYT order dated 31.03.2017 and same must be passed on to the consumers.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and the truing up exercise, the reduced power purchase rate and reduced power purchase amount has been considered in true up petition of FY 2016-17 (Table No: 30 for ARR working). Accordingly, the gain due to reduced power purchase rate has been worked out to Rs. 23.42 Crs. (Table No: 11) and same gain due to above reduction has been passed on for calculating the net gain (Table No: 31) for net surplus/gap of FY 2016-17. Thus, the above gain of Rs. 23.42 Crs in power purchase cost has already been passed on to consumers for ultimate working of ARR of FY 2018-19.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner which is self-explanatory. The Commission has, in detail, worked out Gain/(Loss) on account of variation in Power Purchase cost in Chapter 4 of this order.



#### **4. Report on Cost of supply**

The objector stated that in the present petition there is no reference of the report of cost of supply and the said report is not being submitted with the petition.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that Cost of Supply report is submitted to the Commission vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/CoS/2016-17/219 dated 15.02.2018.

#### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner. However, the Commission is of the view that Cost of Supply report has to be submitted with the petition for further deliberation. However, the Commission shall examine the Cost of Supply Report received from the petitioner and take appropriate action subsequently.

#### **5. Compensation in cases of under performance by MGVCCL**

The objector stated that distribution licensees are not paying compensation to the affected consumers due to underperformance of the distribution utilities.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that compensation in case of under performance forms the part of SoP reports and same is submitted from time to time to the Commission.

#### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner. GERC (Standard of Performance of Distribution Licensee) Regulations, 2005 mandate distribution licensees to submit report containing compensation provided to the affected consumers due to underperformance of distribution licensees on quarterly basis. The said reports are also made available on the Commission's website.

#### **6. Clarification in case of applicability of LTMD tariff to NRG category**

The objector stated that option of availing NRG tariff for LTMD category of consumers who opt to be charged in place of NRG tariff is not made publicly available, and on the contrary such consumers are booked under Section 126 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and thus penalized. Further, category wise revenue earned is not submitted. Further during the course of hearing, it was submitted that MGVCCL should issue circulars after approval from Electricity Supply Code



Review Panel and the Commission and also standard cost data should be made available on the website of the distribution licensees.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that the tariff schedule approved by the Commission is published in the local newspapers prior to implementation. The petitioners have given notices to the eligible consumers who are entitled for switching in LTMD categories.

In respect of Tariff filing formats, it may be mention here that for the current petition of ARR of FY 2018-19, petitioner has submitted tariff filing formats as prescribed by the Commission and accordingly, form No1 to 13 have been filed with the Petition. Further, the details of amount recovered from consumers on account of theft of energy/mal practices have been provided in Schedule No: 29 of audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17 attached with the petition. It is also added here that recovery for theft of power/mal practices has been included in “Other Income Consumer related” in the petition and the same has been reduced from ARR.

### **Commission’s view**

The Commission has noted the objection of the objector and the response of the petitioner.

For issue of issuance of circulars after approval of the Electricity Supply Code Review Panel and the Commission, MG VCL issues circular as guidelines for their field offices in accordance with the Rules/ Regulations/ Directives/ Orders notified by the Commission. However, any instance of circular not in consonance with Rules/ Regulations/ Directives/ Orders notified by the Commission may be brought to the notice of the Commission separately. Further, the Commission is of the view that standard cost data should be made available to the stakeholders by making it available on the distribution utilities’ websites.

## **7. Agriculture Subsidy**

The objector stated that delay in receipt of the agriculture subsidy should also carry normative interest and should be recovered from the Government. Further, Agriculture Subsidy should be linked with Aadhaar card and thus should be directly transferred into the account of the consumers.

### **Response of DISCOM**

The point in respect of Agriculture subsidy to be linked with Aadhaar is a suggestion given by the respondent and that same may be thought of by the Commission.

### **Commission’s view**



The Commission has noted the objection of the objector. However, it is to state that the methodology of disbursement of subsidy by the Government is not a subject matter of tariff petition and does not come under the purview of the Commission. Accordingly, the said suggestion may be provided to the appropriate forum.

## **8. Cross subsidization**

The objector stated that HT, NRGP and LTMD category of consumers are over burdened by Cross Subsidization of agriculture category.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that the National Tariff Policy mentions the need to have a rationalization of tariff to various consumer categories such that it is more aligned to the cost of supply and in a band of  $\pm 20\%$  to the average cost of supply. However, while implementing the above, ground realities of the sector have to be kept in view. One of the prime objectives of the company, as a state run utility is to supply uninterrupted good quality power to each category of the consumers at affordable rates according to their needs. In view of this the company endeavors to supply good quality, uninterrupted power to the Industries and commercial entities in the state side by side affordable power to agriculture category is being supplied around 8 hours during off peak hours and/or during night time, when the average cost of power purchase from various generating stations is much lower due to the merit order stacking mechanism for power off take. Resultantly the cost of supply to agriculture category would be much lower as compared to other categories who are drawing uninterrupted good quality power during peak hours also. Thus, it is natural that the tariff rates for agriculture are significantly lower than other consumer categories. While, in the long run it would be desirable to have some rationalization of tariff across consumer categories, the socio-economic situation of power consumers cannot be neglected as supplying power at affordable rates to all classes of consumers is the primary responsibility of a power utility. In the era, when most of the public serving utilities are working with the principle of subsidizing some part of the consumers, it is not possible for the utility to bill a particular category on the basis of cost to serve without changing the tariff of the other categories of consumer. Further, to ensure uniform tariff rates for all four state owned Distribution Companies, differential bulk supply tariff mechanism is in place. Accordingly, Avg. realization from all categories of consumers for FY 2016- 17 vis-à-vis Avg. cost of supply for all the Companies is as under.



Particulars	Total cost (Rs./kWh)	Average Realisation (Rs./kWh)	(+20%) of COS (Rs./kWh)	(-20%) of COS (Rs./kWh)
<b>Low Tension</b>				
RGP	6.55	5.47	7.86	5.24
GLP	6.12	6.02	7.34	4.89
Non-RGP & LTMD	5.66	7.07	6.79	4.53
Street Light (Public Lighting)	5.80	5.95	6.96	4.64
Irrigation Agriculture	6.30	3.13	7.56	5.04
Public Water Works & Sewerage Pumps (PWW)	4.86	4.58	5.84	3.89
<b>High Tension</b>				
Industrial High Voltage (Ind. HT)	5.40	7.23	6.47	4.32
Industrial High Voltage (Ind. EHT)				
Railway Traction	5.40	7.81	6.48	4.32
Licensees				
<b>Total</b>	<b>5.86</b>	<b>5.75</b>	<b>7.03</b>	<b>4.68</b>

From above it can be seen that average realization from almost all categories for FY 2016-17 is within the  $\pm 20\%$  of the average cost of supply. Many of the High Tension Consumers don't draw energy from the DISCOMs corresponding to their contract demand and prefer to draw from other sources.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner. The Commission has always endeavored to reduce the cross subsidy as provided under the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Tariff Policy as far as possible.

### **9. Tariff Proposal not in accordance with law**

The objector stated that tariff proposals submitted by the petitioner is not in accordance with the Electricity Act, 2003, National Electricity Policy and other notifications.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that it has filed the petition for True Up of FY 2016-17 the under GERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 and Tariff Determination for FY 2018-19 under the GERC



(Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 along with other guidelines and directions issued by the GERC from time to time and under Part VII (Section 61 to Section 64) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the relevant Guidelines. Accordingly, the company has filed the tariff Petition as per prevailing applicable rules and regulations for the purpose.

### **Commission's view**

As provided in the MYT Regulations 2016, Secretary of the Commission is authorised for registration of tariff petition and intimating petitioner for publication of notice in this regard. Further as provided in Tariff Policy, the Commission has to initiate tariff determination exercise suo-motu in the absence of filing by the licensee or incomplete filing.

## **10. Passing of burden of Agriculture Subsidy on Industrial Consumers**

The objector stated that there is a huge amount of under recovery in case of agriculture category as against substantially higher recovery from industrial consumers. No attempts have been proposed under the proposal to adjust the tariffs to reduce the gap as mandated under the Electricity Act, 2003 and the Tariff Policy.

Further, there is an under recovery of huge amount of agriculture subsidy from the Government which is recovered from industrial consumers. Also, in the summary table of the petitioner, recovery under agriculture category shown as of Rs. 376 Crore inclusive of Subsidy, does not match with recovery figures with only Rs. 74.25 Crore of the Subsidy amount.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that the subsidy claim of Distribution Company is booked on accrual basis in the books of Distribution Company and shown as a part of receipt from GUVNL. Therefore, it is not correct that outstanding subsidy in books of account of GUVNL has any impact on the tariff of other category of consumers.

True up petition is prepared based on audited annual accounts which are prepared on accrual basis and hence subsidy payable by the Government automatically gets considered. There is no undue and indirect passing on extra burden to the other consumers under the Tariff.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the response of the petitioner. It is apt to mention here that based on claim of the petitioner regarding receipt of outstanding agriculture subsidy from the



Government of Gujarat, the said subsidy amount of Rs. 1196 Crore is considered as part of revenue for FY 2016-17 to work out Gap/Surplus after truing up of FY 2016-17.

## **11. Impact of Tariff Proposals**

The objector stated that every year maximum burden is passed on to the industrial consumers only and hence some rationalization should be done to bring industrial tariffs nearer to the cost of supply by readjusting tariffs of specific categories whose tariffs are lower than cost of supply.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that the basic commercial principle for any organization is to recover its fixed costs through recovery of fixed charges. However, with the present tariff structure, there is short recovery of fixed charges and part of fixed cost is recovered through energy charges. Further, HTP consumers who are not procuring power from Distribution Licensee as per its contract demand, the unrecovered fixed cost otherwise payable by such consumers is burden to all consumers.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission noted the response of the petitioner and is in agreement with the response. However, the Commission has always endeavored to bring tariff within limit of +/- 20% of cost of supply as mandated in the Electricity Act, 2003.

## **12. Applicability of GLP tariff**

The objector requested for applicability of GLP tariff to the institutes established for charity purpose but are not allowed to be registered with the Charity Commissioner.

### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner submitted that present GLP tariff category is applicable to the educational institutes and other institutes registered with the Charity Commissioner and research and development laboratories. GLP category is subsidized tariff category. The Commission may take a suitable decision in this regard.

### **Commission's view**

The Commission has noted the objection of the objector and the response of the petitioner. The Commission has accordingly made the GLP tariff category applicable to the educational institutes and other institutes registered with the Charity Commissioner and similarly placed



authority designated by the Government of India for such intended purpose and research and development laboratories.

### **13. Higher O & M cost**

The objector stated that O & M cost of the petitioner is high.

#### **Response of DISCOM**

Petitioner has not furnished any comments in this regard.

#### **Commission's view**

O & M cost of the petitioner is inclusive of provision for 7th Pay Commission. However, the Commission has not considered the provision for 7th Pay Commission while considering employee expenses as it is just a provision and actual payout has not occurred. Further, the Commission has approved A & G expenses and R & M expenses in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 after prudence check.





## 4 Truing up of FY 2016-17

This Chapter deals with the truing up of FY 2016-17.

MGVCL, in its submission for True-up of FY 2016-17, has furnished details of the actual energy sales, expenditure and revenue for FY 2016-17, based on the audited annual accounts. The Petitioner has stated that the truing up for FY 2016-17 is based on the comparison of the actual performance of FY 2016-17 with the approved aggregate revenue requirement for FY 2016-17 in the Multi Year Tariff Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 to arrive at the Gains/(Losses), as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations.

The Commission has analysed the components of the actual energy sales, expenses, revenue and computed Gains/(Losses) in the process of truing up for FY 2016-17.

### 4.1 Energy Sales

#### Petitioner's submission

The Petitioner has submitted the category-wise actual energy sales for FY 2016-17 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.1: Category-wise actual sales for FY 2016-17**

(MUs)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
A	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2455	2331
2	GLP	61	58
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1403	1390
4	Public Water Works	240	235
5	Agriculture - (Metered)	830	695
6	Agriculture - (Un metered)	472	471
7	Street Light	63	66
	<b>LT Total (A)</b>	<b>5525</b>	<b>5245</b>
B	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	3342	3046
9	Railway Traction	-	39
	<b>HT Total (B)</b>	<b>3342</b>	<b>3085</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>	<b>8867</b>	<b>8330</b>

#### Commission's Analysis



The Commission, in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, had approved the energy sales of 8867 MUs for FY 2016-17 against which MGCVCL has submitted the actual sales of 8330 MUs.

It can be seen from the Table above, the actual energy sales of all the categories except street lighting and railway traction are lower than those approved by the Commission for FY 2016-17 in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. Overall, the actual energy sale of MGCVCL is lower by 537 MUs, against what is approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. As energy sales depend upon factors, which are related to income level and overall growth of the economy and remains largely uncontrollable in nature.

**The Commission approves the energy sales of 8330 MUs as detailed in the Table below:**

**Table 4.2: Energy sales approved in truing up for FY 2016-17**

(MUs)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
<b>A</b>	<b>LT Consumers</b>			
	RGP	2455	2331	2331
	GLP	61	58	58
	Non-RGP & LTMD	1403	1390	1390
	Public Water Works	240	235	235
	Agriculture -(Metered)	830	695	695
	Agriculture Un metered	472	471	471
	Street Light	63	66	66
	<b>LT Total (A)</b>	<b>5525</b>	<b>5245</b>	<b>5245</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>HT Consumers</b>			
	Industrial HT	3342	3046	3046
	Railway Traction	-	39	39
	<b>HT Total (B)</b>	<b>3342</b>	<b>3085</b>	<b>3085</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>	<b>8867</b>	<b>8330</b>	<b>8330</b>

## 4.2 Distribution Losses

### Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner has submitted that the actual distribution losses for FY 2016-17 are 11.22%, as against the approved losses of 11.75% in the MYT Order as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.3: Distribution Losses**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
---------	-------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------



1	Distribution Losses	11.75%	11.22%
---	---------------------	--------	--------

The Petitioner submitted that as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 the distribution losses need to be treated as controllable and any gain or loss has to be dealt with, accordingly, as per the provisions of the MYT Regulations.

The Petitioner vide letter dated 23.02.2018 has furnished the revised distribution losses of 11.23% in place of 11.22% and adjusted the transmission losses to the extent of difference in aforesaid losses of 11.23% and 11.22%.

### Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has contended that the actual distribution losses are 11.23% for FY 2016-17, as against 11.75% approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

The Commission considers distribution loss as controllable as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Accordingly, the Commission considers the distribution loss of 11.75% as approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for the truing up of FY 2016-17, as shown in the Table below for computation of gains/(losses) due to variance in distribution losses.

**Table 4.4: Distribution losses approved for truing up for FY 2016-17**

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	(Considered in True-up) for 2016-17
Distribution Losses	11.75%	11.23%	11.75%

## 4.3 Energy Requirement

### Petitioner's Submission

Based on the energy sales and the actual distribution losses, the Petitioner has submitted the energy requirement for FY 2016-17, as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.5: Energy requirement and Energy balance as submitted by MGVCL for FY 2016-17**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Energy Sales	MUs	8867	8330
2	Distribution Losses	MUs	1181	1054
		%	11.75%	11.23%
3	Energy Requirement	MUs	10047	9384
4	Transmission Losses	MUs	402	377
5	<b>Total Energy to be input to Transmission System</b>	<b>MUs</b>	10450	9762



6	Pooled Losses in PGCIL System	MUs	213	240
7	<b>Total Energy Requirement</b>	<b>MUs</b>	<b>10663</b>	<b>10002</b>

### Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has computed the energy requirement based on the actual distribution losses of 11.23% and actual energy sales of 8330 MUs and transmission loss of 3.87%.

The Commission had approved the distribution losses of 11.75% and the transmission loss of 3.85%, as arrived by SLDC for FY 2016-17 in the MYT Order, dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

Accordingly, the Commission has computed the energy requirement of MGVCL for FY 2016-17, as shown in the Table below.

**Table 4.6: Energy requirement approved by the Commission for truing up for FY 2016-17**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	FY 2016-17 (considered for truing up for the purpose of energy requirement)
1	Energy Sales	MUs	8867	8330	8330
2	Distribution losses	MUs	1181	1054	1054
		%	11.75%	11.23%	11.23%
3	Energy requirement	MUs	10047	9384	9384
4	Transmission losses	MUs	402	377	377
		%	3.85%	3.87%	3.87%
5	<b>Total Energy to be input to transmission system</b>	<b>MUs</b>	<b>10450</b>	<b>9762</b>	<b>9762</b>
6	Pooled losses in PGCIL	MUs	213	240	240
7	<b>Total Energy Requirement</b>	<b>MUs</b>	<b>10663</b>	<b>10002</b>	<b>10002</b>

## 4.4 Power Purchase Cost

### Petitioner's submission

The Petitioner has submitted that the company has been allocated share of generation capacities as per the scheme worked out by GUVNL.

MGVCL has submitted the actual power purchase cost incurred during FY 2016-17, as shown in the Table below:



**Table 4.7: Power purchase cost claimed by MGVCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
Total Power Purchase Cost	4,664.10	4,183.43

Power Purchase Cost given above is the net power purchase cost after considering the net UI/DSM Charges Payable/Receivable and the revenue from sale of power to GUVNL. MGVCL has submitted the break-up of actual power purchase cost during FY 2016-17, as shown in the Table below:

**Table 4.8: Power purchase cost submitted by MGVCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
A	<b>Cost</b>		
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL and SLDC charges		4238.11
2	Power Purchase from Wind Farms		18.91
3	UI/DSM Charges		16.89
B	<b>Income</b>		
1	Sale of Power to GUVNL		2.32
2	UI/DSM Charges		88.17
	<b>Net Power Purchase Cost</b>	<b>4664.10</b>	<b>4183.43</b>

MGVCL submitted that the total power purchase cost for FY 2016-17 consists of the basic power purchase cost, transmission charges payable to GETCO, PGCIL, SLDC charges and the Discom's share of GUVNL cost.

MGVCL further submitted that the variation in the power purchase cost approved by the Commission and the actual power purchase cost incurred is due to various reasons. These include change in the power purchase cost, change in quantum of power purchased.

The quantum of power purchase depends upon sales during the year as well as the losses in the system. The actual distribution losses in MGVCL distribution network have been lower than the approved level and the sales are also lower than that approved by the Commission and hence, the overall quantum of power purchased was lower than the approved quantum of power required.



The increase or reduction in quantum of power purchase and power purchase expense due to variation in distribution loss is a controllable factor, which would result in gains or losses under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and is dealt with accordingly.

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 the Commission has categorised the variation in the price of fuel and/or price of power purchase according to the FPPPA formula approved by the Commission as an uncontrollable factor. Further, the Commission has also identified the variation in the number or mix of consumers or quantity of electricity sold to consumers as an uncontrollable factor. Thus, the variation in the above factors affects the power purchase expenses and results into either a loss or gain. Accordingly, any gain or loss on this account is to be entirely passed on to the consumers as per the methodology approved by the Commission.

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the actual quantum of power purchased and the power purchase cost during the FY 2016-17, based on the actual energy sales and the distribution losses submitted by MGVL. The sales and the quantum of power purchase and the power purchase cost are as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17. The power purchase cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17, is Rs. 4183.43 Crore.

**Table 4.9: Power purchase cost as per the audited accounts for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Power Purchase from GUVNL	4236.86
2	Power Purchase from Wind Farms	18.91
3	SLDC Charges	1.25
4	UI/DSM Charges	16.89
5	<b>Total Power Purchase Cost</b>	<b>4273.91</b>
6	Sale of Power to GUVNL	2.32
7	UI/DSM Charges	88.17
8	<b>Net Power Purchase Cost</b>	<b>4183.43</b>

The Commission approves the power purchase cost of Rs. 4183.43 Crore for FY 2016-17 as per the audited annual accounts.

**Table 4.10: Power Purchase Cost approved by the Commission for truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Approved for truing up



Total Power Purchase Cost	4,664.10	4,183.43	4,183.43
---------------------------	----------	----------	----------

#### 4.5 Gain / (loss) due to distribution losses

##### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that there is a gain of Rs. 23.01 Crore in the power purchase cost due to lower distribution loss as compared to approved distribution loss in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. The gain is considered as controllable variation. The calculation of gain on account of lower distribution loss as submitted by MGVCL is shown in the Table below:

**Table 4.11: Gains/ (Losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2016-17 as submitted by MGVCL**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2016-17 (with Approved Distribution Losses)	FY 2016-17 (with Actual Distribution Losses)
1	Energy Sales	MUs	8330.16	8330.16
2	Distribution Losses	MUs	1109.00	1054.00
		%	11.75%	11.23%
3	Energy Requirement	MUs	9439.16	9384.16
4	Saving due to Distribution Losses	MUs		55.00
5	Average Cost of Power Purchase	Rs./kWh		4.18
<b>6</b>	<b>Gains/(Losses) Due to Distribution Losses</b>	<b>Rs. Crore</b>		<b>23.01</b>

##### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has approved distribution loss at 11.75% in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2016-17 against which MGVCL achieved distribution loss of 11.23%. The total gains / (losses) on account of lower distribution loss are computed in the Table below:

**Table 4.12: Gains/(losses) on account of distribution losses for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	FY 2016-17 (with Actual Distribution losses)	FY 2016-17 (Approved for truing up)
1	Energy Sales	MUs	8330.16	8330.16
2	Distribution Losses	MUs	1054.34	1109.11
		%	11.23%	11.75%
3	Energy Requirement	MUs	9384.50	9439.27
4	Saving due to Distribution Losses	MUs		54.78
5	Average Cost of Power Purchase	Rs./Unit		4.18
<b>6</b>	<b>Gains/(Losses) Due to Distribution Losses</b>			<b>22.89</b>



The total gain on account of lower distribution losses, as submitted by MGVCL, is Rs. 23.01 Crore and as computed by the Commission, it is Rs. 22.89 Crore.

While computing the Gains/(Losses) due to change in distribution losses, the Commission has considered the distribution losses at 11.75% of actual energy sales to arrive at change in energy requirement at the distribution periphery and did not consider the transmission losses to factor the efficiency of distribution activities only.

The Commission considered change in power purchase cost as uncontrollable and attributable to the variation in cost and quantum of power due to variations in sales and transmission losses, while variations in quantum of power due to distribution losses are considered as controllable. Accordingly, gains/losses computed on account of power purchase are shown in the Table below:

**Table 4.13: Approved gain / (loss) – power purchase expenses for truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	FY 2016-17 (Approved in MYT Order)	FY 2016-17 (Approved in True-up)	Deviation +(-)	Gains/(losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/(losses) due to uncontrollable factors
Total Power Purchase Cost	4,664.10	4,183.43	480.68	22.89	457.79

## 4.6 Fixed Charges

### 4.6.1. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses for FY 2016-17

MGVCL has claimed O&M expenses of Rs. 528.48 Crore, which is inclusive of employee cost of Rs.456.62 Crore, repairs & maintenance expenses of Rs. 47.92 Crore and administration & general expenses of Rs. 77.08 Crore and other expenses capitalized of Rs. 53.13 Crore against the approved O&M expense of Rs 382.77 Crore as per the details given in the Table below:

**Table 4.14: O&M expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Employee Cost	354.54	456.62
2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92
3	Administration & General Expenses	66.41	77.08





4	Other Expenses Capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)
5	<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance Expenses</b>	<b>382.77</b>	<b>528.48</b>

MGVCL vide letter dated 23.02.2018 submitted expenditure of Rs. 17.37 Crore as shown in the P&L A/c towards Remeasurement of Defined Benefit Plans though being part of Employee Cost has inadvertently been not considered and requested the Commission to consider the same as part of the employee cost. Accordingly, the Petitioner has revised the employee expenses claim from Rs. 456.62 Crore to Rs. 473.99 Crore as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.15: O&M expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Employee Cost	354.54	473.99
2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92
3	Administration & General Expenses	66.41	77.08
4	Other Expenses Capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)
5	<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance Expenses</b>	<b>382.77</b>	<b>545.85</b>

### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has compared the O&M expenses actually incurred during FY 2016-17 with the expenses approved by the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and arrived at gain/(loss), as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.16: O&M expenses and gains / losses claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to controllable factor	Gain/(Loss) due to uncontrollable factor
1	Employee Expenses	354.54	473.99	-	(119.44)
2	Repair & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	5.61	-
3	Administration & General Expenses	66.41	77.08	(10.67)	-
4	Other Debits	-	-	-	-
5	Extraordinary items	-	-	-	-
6	Net Prior Period Expenses /(Income)	-	-	-	-
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)	-	(38.59)



Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to controllable factor	Gain/(Loss) due to uncontrollable factor
8	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	382.77	545.85	(5.05)	(158.04)

The component-wise O&M expenses are discussed in the following paragraphs.

#### 4.6.2. Employee Cost

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 473.99 Crore towards actual employee cost in the truing up for FY 2016-17. The employee cost approved for FY 2016-17 in the MYT Order of 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and claimed by MGVCL in the truing up are given in the Table below:

**Table 4.17: Employee cost claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Employee Cost	354.54	473.99	-	(119.44)

#### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that the employee cost was incurred on the basis of the guidelines issued by the competent authorities like the State Government and that the entire expenditure estimated is a legitimate expenditure and any variation is purely beyond its control. Accordingly, MGVCL has estimated a loss of Rs. (119.44) Crores on account of uncontrollable factors.

#### Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has compared the actual employee cost of Rs. 473.99 Crore incurred during FY 2016-17 with Rs. 354.54 Crore considered in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2016-17. The actual employee cost, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17, is Rs. 456.62 Crore before capitalization of Rs. 46.17 Crore. As stated in Para 4.6.1 MGVCL had inadvertently not considered Rs. 17.37 Crore towards the expenditure on account of Re-measurement of Defined Benefit Plans (a component of employee cost) as appearing in the Statement of P&L for the year ended 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. The Commission has accepted the



request of MGCVCL and has accordingly considered the revised employee cost of Rs. 473.99 Crore. The increase in employee cost could be attributed to inflationary factors, along with the provision made for 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission.

In response to the Commission's query MGCVCL informed that employee expenses include a provision of Rs. 67.86 Crore towards 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission. As payment on this account is yet to be made, the Commission disallows this provision for employee expenses, to the extent of Rs. 67.86 Crore for the purpose of true up of 2016-17. However, as and when the actual expenses are incurred, the Commission would consider such claims during the true up of annual account for the respective year as uncontrollable factor.

Therefore, the Commission considers Rs. 406.13 Crore (Rs. 473.99 Crore – Rs.67.86 Crore) as employee expenses for the purpose of true up of 2016-17. The Commission considers the employee cost as a controllable expense, which is in line with the GERC (MYT) Regulations.

**The Commission, accordingly, approves the employee cost at Rs. 406.13 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.**

**Table 4.18: Approved Employee cost for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Employee Cost	354.54	406.13	(51.58)	-

#### 4.6.3. Repairs & Maintenance (R&M) Expenses

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 47.92 Crore towards R&M expenses in the truing up for FY 2016-17. The R&M expenses approved for FY 2016-17 in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 and claimed by MGCVCL in the truing up are given in the Table below:

**Table 4.19: R&M expenses claimed by MGCVCL for the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	5.61	-

#### Petitioner's Submission



MGVCL has submitted that the assets of MGVCL are old and require regular maintenance to ensure uninterrupted operations. It has been further submitted that MGVCL has been trying its best to ensure uninterrupted operations of the system by undertaking R&M activities which are uncontrollable in nature. MGVCL has worked out a gain of Rs. 5.61Crore due to controllable factors.

### Commission's Analysis

The actual R&M expenses incurred during FY 2016-17 are Rs. 47.92Crore, as per the audited annual accounts. The Commission has observed that R&M expenditure incurred by MGVCL is less than the amount approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. The R&M expense is a controllable item of expenditure under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

**The Commission accepts the contention of MGVCL and accordingly approves the R&M expenses at Rs. 47.92 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.**

**Table 4.20: Approved R&M expenses for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to uncontrollable factor
1	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	5.61	-

### 4.6.4. Administration & General (A&G) Expenses

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 77.08 Crore towards A&G expenses in the truing up for FY 2016-17. The A&G expenses approved for FY 2016-17 in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, and claimed by MGVCL in the truing up are given in the Table below:

**Table 4.21: A&G expenses claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Administration & General Expenses	66.41	77.08	(10.67)	-

### Petitioner's Submission



MGVCL has submitted that the A&G expenses are categorised as controllable expenses in the GERC (MYT) Regulations and the actual A&G expenses, when compared with the approved value, resulted in a loss of Rs. 10.67 Crore for FY 2016-17.

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission verified the audited annual accounts of MGVCL and found that actual A&G expenses is Rs. 77.08 Crore, net of CSR expenses (Rs. 0.37 Crore) and Provision for Bad and Doubtful Debts (Rs. 27.33 Crore).

It is further observed that the aforesaid claim of Petitioner is inclusive of waiver of Delayed Payment Surcharge of Rs. 0.48 Crore. As the Commission does not consider the income towards delayed payment surcharges as non-tariff income, the waiver of the same also cannot be considered as expenses.

Therefore, for the purpose of true up of FY 2016-17, Rs. 76.59 Crore has been considered as actual A&G expense incurred by MGVCL. The Commission observes that the actual A&G expense, Rs. 76.59 Crore, is higher than what has been approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 by Rs. 10.18 Crore.

The parameters impacting A&G expenses are controllable in nature, as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The Commission, accordingly, considers Rs. 10.18 Crore as loss under A&G expenses, on account of controllable factors.

**The Commission, accordingly, approves the A&G expenses at Rs. 76.59 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.**

**Table 4.22: Approved A&G expenses for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)					
Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Administration & General Expenses	66.41	76.59	(10.18)	-

### 4.6.5. Other Expenses Capitalised

MGVCL has claimed the actual expenses capitalised at Rs. 53.13 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as against Rs. 91.72 Crore approved in the MYT Order, dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.



**Table 4.23: Other Expenses capitalized as claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Other Expenses Capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)	-	(38.59)

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that the other expenses capitalised represent the capitalisation of employee cost and A&G expenses, as seen from the annual accounts for FY 2016-17. The actual other expenses capitalized are Rs. 53.13 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts.

**The Commission, accordingly, approves the other expenses capitalised at Rs.53.13 Crore for FY 2016-17.**

**Table 4.24: Approved other expenses capitalized for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Other Expenses Capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)	-	(38.59)

The total O&M expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 and the gains / (losses) considered due to controllable and uncontrollable factors are detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.25: Approved O&M expenses and gains / loss in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2016-17	Deviation +/-	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Employee cost	354.54	406.13	(51.58)	(51.58)	-
Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	5.61	5.61	-
Administration & General Expenses Charges	66.41	76.59	(10.18)	(10.18)	-
Bad Debts written off	-	-	-	-	-
Extraordinary items	-	-	-	-	-



Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up for FY 2016-17	Deviation +/-	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Net prior period expenses/(Income)	-	-	-	-	-
Other expenses capitalized	(91.72)	(53.13)	(38.59)	-	(38.59)
<b>Total O&amp;M expenditure</b>	<b>382.77</b>	<b>477.51</b>	<b>(94.74)</b>	<b>(56.15)</b>	<b>(38.59)</b>

#### 4.6.6. Capital expenditure, Capitalisation and Funding of CAPEX

MGVCL has claimed capital expenditure of Rs. 423.02 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as against Rs. 575.27 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.26: Capital expenditure claimed by MGVCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Deviation
<b>A</b>	<b>Distribution Schemes</b>			
	Normal Development Scheme	20.00	16.22	3.78
	Distribution Infra & Shifting Schemes(DISS)	20.00	7.00	13.00
	Electrification of Hutments	7.70	7.72	(0.02)
	Kutir Jyoti Scheme	21.25	3.40	17.85
	Other Harijan Basti - Petapara	0.70	0.60	0.10
	<b>Total</b>	<b>69.65</b>	<b>34.94</b>	<b>34.71</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Rural Electrification Schemes</b>			
	TASP (Wells & Petapara)	111.57	88.24	23.33
	Special Component plan	3.65	4.87	(1.22)
	RE Normal + Tatkal	0.00	0.95	(0.95)
	RE Wells(OA + SPA)	143.50	141.68	1.82
	Dark Zone	55.35	44.09	11.26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>314.07</b>	<b>279.83</b>	<b>34.24</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Non Plan Schemes</b>			
	IPDS	90.00	49.05	40.95
	Deen Dayal Upadhyay Gram Jyoti Yojana (DDUGJY)	70.00	59.70	10.30
	R-APDRP(Scada)-A	6.50	8.42	(1.92)
	R-APDRP(Scada)-B	3.00	3.79	(0.79)
	RAPDRP (Part A)	3.50	0.00	3.50
	RAPDRP (Part B)	6.00	9.18	(3.18)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>179.00</b>	<b>130.14</b>	<b>48.86</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Other Schemes</b>			
	Sagar Khedu	1.50	1.50	0.00
	Energy Conservation (HVDS)	5.00	5.00	0.00



Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Deviation
	Vehicle	0.75	1.09	(0.34)
	Furniture	0.00	1.30	(1.30)
	Misc Civil + Electrical Works	5.30	4.64	0.66
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12.55</b>	<b>13.53</b>	<b>(0.98)</b>
E	<b>Capital Expenditure</b>	<b>575.27</b>	<b>458.44</b>	<b>116.83</b>
F	<b>Less: Reduction due to change in expenditure capitalization rate</b>	0.00	35.42	(35.42)
G	<b>Net Capital Expenditure</b>	<b>575.27</b>	<b>423.02</b>	<b>152.25</b>

### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that the actual capital expenditure incurred during FY 2016-17 was Rs. 423.02 Crore, which is lower by Rs. 152.25 Crore than what was approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

### Commission's Analysis

The capital expenditure approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2016-17 was Rs. 575.27 Crore. whereas the actual capital expenditure incurred as per audited annual accounts is Rs. 423.02 Crore, which is lower by Rs. 152.25 Crore.

MGVCL has explained the scheme wise deviation in the capital expenditure as under:-

- **DDUGJY AND IPDS**

The clarification regarding modus-operandi for procurement of material was decided only on 29.06.2016 by Govt. of India. Hence, the procurement of material is delayed and there by project is delayed resulted in to reduced capital expenditure as compared to approved in the ARR/ the MYT.

- **RAPDRP – A**

After go-live of RPDRP-A towns, there are some teething problems/issues in the project work, which is to be attended by the vendor. Due to the same, the payment to the vendor is kept under abeyance and hence, no expenditure is booked as compared to approved Capex.

- **RAPDRP - B AND SCADA – B**

The balance work in three towns which are approved afterwards separately (not approved in original DPR) and work was carried out in accelerated manner to complete whole project in time frame of March-17.





- **RAPDRP SCADA – A**

The RAPDRP SCADA- A, the implementing agency has originally A delayed this project due to certain technical issues and delayed supply. Thereafter, such issues are resolved and the project is got the momentum. Hence, there was a higher expenditure in compared to approve Capex.

- **DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE SHIFTING SCHEME**

Under DISS scheme, proposal from Municipal Corporation, Nagar Palika was essential as per the stipulation of the scheme. These organizations have not submitted the proposals and sites to be covered under DISS as envisaged by the company. Consequently, there is a less expenditure incurred as compared to approved expenditure under scheme.

- **TASP Wells & Petaparas, Normal Ag. Wells SPA, Normal Ag. Wells Dark Zone, Tatkal & Other Wells, Kutir Jyoti Scheme [HH] and Harijan Basti [SCSP]**

In all the Schemes of Ag. Wells and Households connections, physical target are achieved more than 100% but due to intensive electrification of Ag. Wells and household connections under MGVCCL, average expenditure is consistently going down due to decrease in length of line per connection on account of development of wide spread network over a period of time.

#### 4.6.7. Funding of Capex

##### Petitioner's Submission

The funding of actual capitalisation is done through various sources categorised under four headings namely: Consumer Contribution, Grants, Equity and Debt. The detailed breakup of funding of capitalised asset during FY 2016-17 is mentioned in the Table below:

**Table 4.27: Proposed Capitalisation and sources of funding by MGVCCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Schemes	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Capitalization	575.27	429.86
2	Less: Consumer Contribution	20.00	75.52
3	Less: Grants	132.15	95.05
<b>4</b>	<b>Balance CAPEX</b>	<b>423.12</b>	<b>259.29</b>
5	Debt @ 70%	296.18	181.50
6	Equity @ 30%	126.94	77.79



### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that most of the capital expenditure schemes by the Discoms are of continuous and ongoing nature. These are based on yearly targets set for meeting the supply obligation, providing quality and reliable power to consumers, reduction in losses, release of agriculture connections, etc. Generally, there are no pre-defined timelines as the schemes are further bifurcated into various works under the scheme. Nevertheless, the licensee shall be more realistic in projecting the capital expenditure.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the capital expenditure at Rs. 423.02 Crore and the capitalisation at Rs. 429.86 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.

The Commission has noted that under government grants and consumer contribution MGCVCL has considered Rs. 95.05 Crore and Rs. 75.52 Crore respectively, which are in conformity with audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17.

The CAPEX, capitalisation and funding claimed by MGCVCL and approved by the Commission are as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.28: Approved Capitalisation and sources of funding in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Schemes	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
1	Capex	575.27	423.02	423.02
2	Capitalization	575.27	429.86	429.86
3	Less: Consumer Contribution	20.00	75.52	75.52
4	Less: Grants	132.15	95.05	95.05
<b>5</b>	<b>Balance CAPEX</b>	<b>423.12</b>	<b>259.29</b>	<b>259.29</b>
6	Debt @ 70%	296.18	181.50	181.50
7	Equity @ 30%	126.94	77.79	77.79

### 4.6.8. Depreciation

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 242.79 Crore towards depreciation in the truing up for FY 2016-17 against the depreciation of Rs. 245.35 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017.

### Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner submitted that the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Ind AS prescribed under the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015 (as



amended). Property, Plant and Equipment comprising of tangible assets are stated after reducing accumulated depreciation until the date of the Balance Sheet. MGVCL has considered opening gross fixed assets same as closing gross fixed assets for FY 2015-16 as approved by the Commission at the time of Truing up of FY 2015-16 under Tariff Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

MGVCL has charged depreciation on fixed assets of the Company, on the useful life of the assets at the rates prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for FY 2016-17.

**Table 4.29: Fixed assets & depreciation computed by MGVCL for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Gross Block at Beginning of the year	4185.77	4185.77
2	Additions during the Year (Net)	575.27	429.86
<b>3</b>	<b>Depreciation for the year</b>	<b>245.34</b>	<b>242.79</b>
4	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.48%	5.52%

MGVCL has further submitted that the actual depreciation for FY 2016-17, as against the value approved by the Commission in the MYT Order, resulted in a net uncontrollable gain of Rs. 2.55 Crore as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.30: Gain / loss due to depreciation claimed in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Depreciation	245.34	242.79	-	2.55

### Commission's Analysis

It is observed that Opening GFA as per Audited Accounts have been readjusted as per Indian AS. However, the Commission has considered the opening balance of GFA as per last true up for FY 2015-16, and accordingly the closing balance of GFA, i.e. 31.03.2016 has been considered as the opening balance of GFA as on 01.04.2016.

The net addition during the year FY 2016-17 of Rs. 429.86 Crore is verified with the audited annual accounts for FY 2016-17. The depreciation as per P&L Account for FY 2016-17 is Rs.



242.79 Crore. The Commission has considered depreciation of Rs. 242.79 Crore as claimed by DGVCL as prescribed under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the depreciation at Rs. 242.79 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17

**Table 4.31: Approved fixed assets & depreciation for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
1	Gross Block at Beginning of the year	4185.77	4185.77
2	Additions during the Year (Net)	575.27	429.86
3	Gross Block at the end of the year	4761.04	4,615.63
4	<b>Depreciation for the year</b>	<b>245.34</b>	<b>242.79</b>
5	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.48%	5.52%

The amount of depreciation is dependent on the quantum of capitalisation, rate of depreciation, etc. The Commission has, therefore, considered the parameters impacting depreciation as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains / losses on account of depreciation in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.32: Gain / loss due to depreciation approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain / loss due to controllable factor	Gain / (loss) due to uncontrollable factor
Depreciation	245.34	242.79	-	2.55

#### 4.6.9. Interest and Finance Charges

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 76.88 Crore towards interest and finance charges in the truing up for FY 2016-17, against Rs. 92.48 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as shown in the Table below.



**Table 4.33: Interest and Finance charges claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
Interest and Finance charges	92.48	76.88

**Petitioners' submission**

MGVCL has submitted that the closing loan balance of FY 2015-16, as approved in the true up Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2015-16, has been considered as the opening loan balance of FY 2016-17. Therefore, the opening loan amount considered by MGVCL is Rs. 370.62 Crore for FY 2016-17 true up.

The loan addition is computed at Rs. 181.50 Crore towards funding of CAPEX for FY 2016-17. MGVCL has considered the weighted average rate of interest of 8.37%, as against 9.46% approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2016-17. In addition, MGVCL has considered the guarantee charges payable on legacy loan allocated from the erstwhile GEB and interest on security deposits. The details of interest and finance charges claimed by MGVCL are as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.34: Interest and Finance charges claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Opening Loans	370.62	370.62
2	Loan Additions during the year	296.18	181.50
3	Repayment during the year	245.34	242.79
4	Closing Loans	421.46	309.33
<b>5</b>	<b>Average Loans</b>	<b>396.04</b>	<b>339.98</b>
6	Interest on Loan	37.47	28.46
7	Interest on Security Deposit	53.76	48.24
8	Guarantee Charges	1.25	0.18
9	<b>Total Interest &amp; Finance Charges</b>	<b>92.48</b>	<b>76.88</b>
10	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	9.46%	8.37%

MGVCL has further submitted that interest and finance charges are categorised as uncontrollable as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and accordingly worked out the deviation in the actual vis-à-vis the approved expenses under uncontrollable factors, as given in the Table below:



**Table 4.35: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to interest & finance charges for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Interest and Finance Charges	92.48	76.88	-	15.60

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission observed that the closing balance of loan approved in the true up for FY 2015-16 is Rs. 370.62 Crore and the same has to be taken as opening loan balance for FY 2016-17.

The capitalisation and funding of CAPEX have been approved for FY 2016-17 as per Table 4.28 of this Order.

The normative addition of loans during FY 2016-17 has been considered at Rs. 181.50 Crore as approved in Table 4.28 on the actual capitalisation as per the audited annual accounts. The interest on security deposits of Rs. 48.24 Crore is claimed as per the audited accounts for FY 2016-17.

The repayment of loan is Rs. 242.79 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17, which is equivalent to the depreciation, approved in Table 4.32 of this Order. The guarantee charges and other finance charges, as per audited accounts for FY 2016-17, are Rs. 0.18 Crore.

MGVCL has submitted details of the actual loan portfolio and the rate of interest applicable for each loan portfolio for FY 2016-17 vide e-mail dated 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2018. Based on this information, the Commission has worked out the weighted average rate of interest as 9.43% which is in accordance with the Regulation 38 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Taking all these factors into consideration, the interest and finance charges have been computed as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.36: Interest and Finance charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
1	Opening Loans	370.62	370.62
2	Loan Additions during the year	296.18	181.50
3	Repayment during the year	245.34	242.79
4	Closing Loans	421.46	309.34



Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
5	<b>Average Loans</b>	<b>396.04</b>	<b>339.98</b>
6	<b>Interest on Loan</b>	37.47	32.06
7	Interest on Security Deposit	53.76	48.24
8	Guarantee Charges	1.25	0.18
9	<b>Total Interest &amp; Finance Charges</b>	<b>92.48</b>	<b>80.48</b>
10	Weighted Average Rate of Interest	9.46%	9.43%

The Commission, accordingly, approves the interest and finance charges at Rs. 80.48 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission is of the view that the parameters which impact interest and finance charges should be treated as uncontrollable. The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains / losses on account of interest and finance charges in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.37: Gain / loss approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Deviation	Gain / (loss) due to Uncontrollable factor
Interest and Finance Charges	92.48	80.48	12.00	12.00

#### 4.6.10. Interest on Working Capital

MGVCL has not claimed any interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2016-17, against Nil amount approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2016-17 as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.38: Interest on working capital claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
Interest on Working Capital	Nil	Nil

#### Petitioner's Submission



MGVCL has submitted that the interest on working capital has been calculated based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, at an interest rate of 11.79%, being the SBBR prevailing during the financial year plus 250 basis points, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The detailed computation of interest on working capital is given in the Table below:

**Table 4.39: Interest on working capital claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	O&M Expenses	31.90	44.04
2	Maintenance Spares	41.86	41.86
3	Receivables	456.84	417.69
4	Less: Amount held as security deposit from consumers	693.73	688.78
5	<b>Total Working Capital</b>	<b>(163.13)</b>	<b>(185.19)</b>
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital		11.79%
7	<b>Interest on Working Capital</b>	-	-

With subsequent submission the Petitioner has revised the working capital requirement as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.40: Revised Interest on working capital claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	O&M Expenses	31.90	45.49
2	Maintenance Spares	41.86	41.86
3	Receivables	456.84	417.69
4	Less: Amount held as security deposit from consumers	693.73	688.78
5	<b>Total Working Capital</b>	<b>(163.13)</b>	<b>(183.74)</b>
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital		11.79%
7	<b>Interest on Working Capital</b>	-	-

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the computation of normative working capital under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The working capital requirement works out to be negative during FY 2016-17.

The Commission has computed the Working Capital and interest thereon, as detailed in the Table below:





**Table 4.41: Interest on working capital approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
1	O&M Expenses	31.90	39.79
2	Maintenance Spares	41.86	41.86
3	Receivables	456.84	417.69
4	Less: Amount held as security deposit from consumers	693.73	688.78
5	<b>Total Working Capital</b>	<b>(163.13)</b>	<b>(189.44)</b>
6	Rate of Interest	11.70%	-
7	<b>Interest on Working Capital</b>	-	-

The Commission, accordingly, approves Nil amount under interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2016-17.

#### 4.6.11. Bad Debts

MGVCL has not claimed expenses towards for bad debts in the truing up for FY 2016-17, against nil amount approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.42: Bad debts claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Bad Debts	0.00	0.00

#### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has not claimed any Bad & Doubtful debts for the FY 2016-17.

#### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that MGVCL has claimed Rs. Nil Crore towards Bad & Doubtful Debts Written Off for during FY 2016-17. In response to the Commission's query, the Petitioner informed that actual bad debts written off is Rs. 0.01 Crore.

The Commission, therefore, approves Rs. 0.01 Crore towards bad and doubtful debts written off in the truing up for FY 2016-17.

The deviation on account of bad debts written off is Rs. 0.01 and the Commission considers the same as loss due to controllable factors, as detailed in the Table below:



**Table 4.43: Gains/ (Losses) due to Bad Debts approved in the Truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
1	Bad Debts	-	0.01	(0.01)	

#### 4.6.12. Return on Equity

MGVCL has claimed Rs.125.23 Crore towards return on equity in the truing up for FY 2016-17 against Rs. 128.67 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.44: Return on equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Return on Equity	128.67	125.23

#### Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner has submitted that MGVCL has computed the return on equity considering the rate of 14% on the average of opening and closing equity, taking into account the additions during the year FY 2016-17.

The details of computation of return on equity are given in the Table below:

**Table 4.45: Return on equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
1	Opening Equity	855.63	855.63
2	Equity Additions during the year	126.94	77.79
3	Closing Equity	982.56	933.42
4	Average Equity	919.10	894.52
5	Rate of Return on the Equity	14%	14%
6	<b>Return on Equity</b>	<b>128.67</b>	<b>125.23</b>

#### Commission's Analysis



MGVCL has claimed the opening equity of Rs. 855.63 Crore for FY 2016-17 and equity addition as Rs. 77.79 Crore during the FY 2016-17. The opening equity, as on 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2016, was Rs. 855.63 Crore, being the closing balance of equity approved in the True-up for FY 2015-16. The Commission has approved the normative equity addition as Rs. 77.79 Crore in Table 4.28.

The Commission has computed the return on equity in the truing up for FY 2016-17, considering the rate of 14% as provided in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.46: Return on equity approved for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17
1	Opening Equity	855.63	855.63
2	Equity Additions during the year	126.94	77.79
3	Closing Equity	982.56	933.42
4	Average Equity	919.10	894.52
5	Rate of Return on the Equity	14%	14%
6	<b>Return on Equity</b>	<b>128.67</b>	<b>125.23</b>

**The Commission approves the return on equity at Rs. 125.23 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.**

It is considered that the deviation is due to uncontrollable factors as the return on equity is being allowed on a normative basis and the quantum of equity addition in the year depends upon the capital expenditure and the capitalization achieved during the year.

As mentioned in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the factors impacting the Return on Equity are considered uncontrollable. The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains and losses, on account of Return on Equity, in the Truing up for FY 2016-17 as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the gains / (losses) on account of return on equity in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.47: Approved gains / losses due to return on equity in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Return on Equity	128.67	125.23	-	3.44



#### 4.6.13. Income Tax

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 25.04 Crore towards income tax in the truing up for FY 2016-17, against Rs. 17.59 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.48: Income Tax claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17
Income Tax	17.59	25.04

#### Petitioner's submission

MGVCL has submitted that Income tax is a statutory expense and this should be allowed without any deduction. MGVCL has claimed a loss of Rs. 7.45 Crore on account of tax, as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.49: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to provision for Income Tax for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Income Tax	17.59	25.04	-	(7.45)

#### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has obtained the copies of the Challans of Tax payer's counterfoil and also verified from the audited annual accounts of the company and found that the licensee has paid/provided Rs. 25.04 Crore towards income tax.

**The Commission, accordingly, approves the income tax of Rs. 25.04 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.**

With regard to the computation of Gains/(Losses), Regulation 22 of the GERC(MYT) Regulations, 2016 considers variation in taxes on income as uncontrollable. The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/(Losses) on account of tax on income in the truing up for FY 2016-17 as detailed in the Table below:



**Table 4.50: Approved gains / losses due to tax in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Tax on Income	17.59	25.04	-	(7.45)

#### 4.6.14. Non-Tariff Income

MGVCL has claimed the actual Non-Tariff income at Rs. 118.41 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17, against Rs. 137.11 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as given in the Table below:

**Table 4.51: Non-tariff income claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for FY 2016-17
Non- tariff Income	137.11	118.41

#### Petitioner's submission

The Petitioner has claimed that the actual value of non-tariff income is Rs. 118.41 Crore, as against Rs. 137.11 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. This resulted in a net uncontrollable loss of Rs. 18.70 Crore, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.52: Gains / (Loss) claimed due to non-tariff income for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to uncontrollable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Non- tariff Income	137.11	118.41	-	(18.70)

#### Commission's Analysis

The Commission observed that as per audited annual accounts the non-tariff income is Rs. 118.41 Crore, net of interest on staff loans (Rs. 2.92 Crore), for FY 2016-17. The Commission, accordingly, approves the non-tariff income at Rs. 118.41 Crore net of interest on staff loans of Rs. 2.92 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.



The deviation in non-tariff income is considered as uncontrollable. The Commission, approves uncontrollable gain of Rs. 18.70 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.53: Approved gains / losses due to non-tariff income in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Particulars	Approved for FY 2016-17 in MYT Order	Approved in truing up for 2016-17	Gain/(Loss) due to uncontrollable Factor	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factor
Non- tariff Income	137.11	118.41	0.00	18.70

#### 4.7 Revenue from Sale of Power

MGVCL has claimed the total revenue at Rs. 5012.28 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17 as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 4.54: Revenue submitted in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Claimed in Truing up for FY 2016-17
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	4697.34
2	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
<b>3</b>	<b>Total Revenue excluding subsidy (1+2)</b>	<b>4939.00</b>
4	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
<b>5</b>	<b>Total Revenue including subsidy (3+4)</b>	<b>5012.28</b>

#### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has verified the total revenue for FY 2016-17 from the audited accounts. The actual revenue from category-wise sales, as per audited accounts, is Rs. 4787.83 Crore which includes the revenue shown by the licensee from sale of power to GUVNL Rs. 2.32 Crore and UI/DSM charges Rs. 88.17 Crore for FY 2016-17. The Commission has adjusted these two elements against the power purchase cost for FY 2016-17, as shown in Table 4.9 of this Order. Accordingly, the net revenue from sale of power is worked out to Rs.4697.34 Crore.

It is further observed that other operating income as per audited annual accounts is Rs. 337.81 Crore which includes Delayed Payment Charges income of Rs. 22.87 Crore and Agriculture Subsidy of Rs. 73.28 Crore. After excluding these two elements the Consumers related Other Income works out to Rs. 241.66 Crore.



The Commission has considered Agriculture Subsidy separately while Delayed Payment Charges income is not considered since Delayed Payment Charges expenditure is not considered as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

**Table 4.55: Revenue approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Claimed in truing up for 2016-17	Approved for 2016-17 in Truing up
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	4697.34	4,697.34
2	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66	241.66
<b>3</b>	<b>Total Revenue excluding subsidy (1+2)</b>	<b>4939.00</b>	<b>4,939.00</b>
4	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28	73.28
<b>5</b>	<b>Total Revenue including subsidy (3+4)</b>	<b>5012.28</b>	<b>5,012.28</b>

The Commission, accordingly, approves the total revenue of Rs. 5012.28 Crore, including consumer related income of Rs. 241.66 Crore and agriculture subsidy of Rs. 73.28 Crore in the truing up for FY 2016-17.

#### **4.8 ARR Approved in the Truing Up**

The Commission reviewed the performance of MGCVCL under Regulation 21 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, with reference to the audited accounts for FY 2016-17. The Commission computed the gains/(losses) for FY 2016-17, based on the truing up for each of the component discussed in the above paragraphs.

The Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017, actual submitted in truing up and approved for truing up and Gains/(Losses) computed in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 are a given in the Table below:



**Table 4.56: ARR approved in truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved for 2016-17 in MYT Order	Claimed in Truing up for 2016-17	Approved in Truing up for 2016-17	Deviation +/-	Gains/ (Losses) due to controllable factors	Gains/ (Losses) due to uncontrollable factors
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Cost of Power Purchase	4,664.10	4,183.43	4183.43	480.68	22.89	457.79
2	O&M Expenses	382.77	545.85	477.51			
2.1	Employee cost	354.54	473.99	406.13	(51.58)	(51.58)	-
2.2	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	53.53	47.92	47.92	5.61	5.61	-
2.3	Administration and General Expenses	66.41	77.08	76.59	(10.18)	(10.18)	-
2.5	Other expenses capitalised	(91.72)	(53.13)	(53.13)	(38.59)	-	(38.59)
3	Bad Debts written Off	-	-	0.01	(0.01)	(0.01)	
4	Depreciation	245.34	242.79	242.79	2.55	-	2.55
5	Interest and Finance Charges	92.48	76.88	80.48	12.00	-	12.00
6	Interest on working capital	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	<b>Sub Total (1 To 6)</b>	<b>5,384.69</b>	<b>5,048.94</b>	<b>4,984.21</b>	<b>400.48</b>	<b>(33.28)</b>	<b>433.76</b>
8	Return on Equity	128.67	125.23	125.23	3.44	-	3.44
9	Provision for Tax / Tax paid	17.59	25.04	25.04	(7.45)	-	(7.45)
10	<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>5,530.96</b>	<b>5,199.22</b>	<b>5,134.49</b>	<b>396.47</b>	<b>(33.28)</b>	<b>429.75</b>
11	Less: Non -Tariff income	137.11	118.41	118.41	18.70	-	18.70
12	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>5,393.85</b>	<b>5,080.81</b>	<b>5,016.07</b>	<b>377.77</b>	<b>(33.28)</b>	<b>411.05</b>

#### 4.9 Sharing of Gains / Losses for FY 2016-17

The Commission has analysed the gains / (losses) on account of controllable and uncontrollable factors. The relevant Regulations are extracted below

***“Regulation 23. Mechanism for pass-through of gains or losses on account of uncontrollable factors***





23.1 *The approved aggregate gain or loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee on account of uncontrollable factors shall be passed through as an adjustment in the tariff of the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee over such period as may be specified in the Order of the Commission passed under these Regulations.*

23.2 *The Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee shall submit such details of the variation between expenses incurred and revenue earned and the figures approved by the Commission, in the prescribed format to the Commission, along with the detailed computations and supporting documents as may be required for verification by the Commission.*

23.3 *Nothing contained in this Regulation 23 shall apply in respect of any gain or loss arising out of variations in the price of fuel and power purchase, which shall be dealt with as specified by the Commission from time to time.*

**Regulation 24. Mechanism for sharing of gains or losses on account of controllable factors**

24.1 *The approved aggregate gain to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee on account of controllable factors shall be dealt with in the following manner:*

(a) *One-third of the amount of such gain shall be passed on as a rebate in tariffs over such period as may be stipulated in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 21.6;*

(b) *The balance amount, which will amount to two-thirds of such gain, may be utilised at the discretion of the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee.*

24.2 *The approved aggregate loss to the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee on account of controllable factors shall be dealt with in the following manner:*

(a) *One-third of the amount of such loss may be passed on as an additional charge in tariffs over such period as may be stipulated in the Order of the Commission under Regulation 21.6; and*

(b) *The balance amount of loss, which will amount to two-thirds of such loss, shall be absorbed by the Generating Company or Transmission Licensee or SLDC or Distribution Licensee.”*



#### 4.10 Revenue Gap / Surplus for FY 2016-17

As shown in the Table below, MGCVCL has claimed a revenue gap of Rs.63.30 Crore in the truing up considering the treatment of Gains/(Losses) due to controllable/ uncontrollable factors.

**Table 4.57: Projected Revenue surplus/(gap) FY 2016-17**

		(Rs Crore)
Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
1	Aggregation Revenue Requirement approved for 2016-17	5393.85
2	Gain /(Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor to be passed on to Consumer	312.04
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	6.12
4	Surplus/ (gap) of FY 2014-15	62.50
5	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2009-10	(46.56)
6	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2010-11	(32.06)
7	Allocation of GUVNL profit of FY 2016-17	16.22
8	<b>Total Revenue Requirement (1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 )</b>	<b>5075.58</b>
9	Revenue from Sale of Power	4697.34
10	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
11	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
12	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy(9 +10 +11)</b>	<b>5012.28</b>
13	<b>Revised Gap after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (8-12)</b>	<b>63.30</b>

#### Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner submitted that the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 has approved Aggregate Revenue Requirement of Rs. 5393.85 Crore for FY 2016-17.

With the subsequent submission as stated in para 4.6.1 of this Order, the Petitioner has claimed revised ARR of Rs. 5080.81 Crore as shown in Table 4.56 hereinabove. The said revised ARR when compared with the ARR of Rs. 5393.85 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017 resulted in controllable and uncontrollable gains of Rs. 17.95 Crore and Rs. 295.08 Crore respectively.

As per the mechanism specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulation 2016, MGCVCL proposed to pass on a sum of 1/3rd of total gain/(loss) on account of controllable factors i.e. Rs.5.98 Crore out of Rs. 17.95 Crore and total gain/(loss) on account of uncontrollable factor i.e. Rs. 295.08 Crore to the consumers. Additionally, the past revenue (gap)/surplus of Rs. 62.50 Crore for FY 2014-15, Rs. (46.56) Crore for FY 2009-10 and Rs. (32.06) Crore for FY 2010-11 is also adjusted in the approved Aggregated Revenue Requirement. GUVNL profit of Rs. 130.01 Crore



for FY 2016-17 is allocated among four Discoms and MGCVCL's share of GUVNL profit is Rs. 16.22 Crores. Based on the same MGCVCL has arrived at the Revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement for FY 2016-17 at Rs. 5092.68 Crore.

This revised Aggregate Revenue Requirement is compared against the revised income under various heads including Revenue with Existing Tariff of Rs. 4697.34 Crore, Other Consumer related Income of Rs. 241.66 Crore and Agriculture Subsidy of Rs. 73.28 Crore, summing up to a Total Revenue of Rs. 5012.28 Crore. Accordingly, total Revenue Gap of MGCVCL for FY 2016-17 after treatment of gain/(loss) due to controllable / uncontrollable factors is computed at Rs. 80.40 Crore as shown in the Table below:

**Table 4.58: Revised Revenue surplus/(gap) FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for 2016-17	5393.85
2	Gain /(Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor to be passed on to Consumer	295.08
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	5.98
4	Surplus/ (gap) of FY 2014-15	62.50
5	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2009-10	(46.56)
6	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2010-11	(32.06)
7	Allocation of GUVNL profit of FY 2016-17	16.22
8	<b>Total Revenue Requirement ((1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 -6 -7 )</b>	<b>5092.68</b>
9	Revenue from Sale of Power	4697.34
10	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
11	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
12	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy (9 +10 +11)</b>	<b>5012.28</b>
13	<b>Revised Gap after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (8-12)</b>	<b>80.40</b>

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission compared the actual performance of MGCVCL with the values approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017. The Commission also verified the tariff order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2016 in Petition No. 1549 of 2015 and observed that the Petitioner has claimed the earlier years' surplus/(gap) approved by the Commission in the said Order while determining the tariff for FY 2016-17.

The Petitioner has stated that subsidy of Rs. 1195.72 Crore for FY 2016-17 which was to be received in advance for all four DISCOMs as whole has not been received from the State



Government. The Petitioner also informed about the State Government's commitment to release the subsidy. In view of this commitment, the consolidated gap of all four DISCOMs is reduced by the subsidy receivable amount of Rs. 1195.72 Crore. MGCVCL's share in the receivable subsidy is Rs. 86.87 Crore and the same has been adjusted to work out the revenue gap/surplus of FY 2016-17.

The Commission arrived at the revised ARR and revenue gap, based on the expenses and the gains / loss approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17 and the earlier years' surplus/(gap). The revenue (gap) / surplus as approved by the Commission for FY 2016-17 is summarised in the Table below:

**Table 4.59: Revenue (Surplus)/Gap approved in the truing up for FY 2016-17**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	2016-17
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for 2016-17	5,393.85
2	Gain /(Loss) on account of Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factor to be passed on to Consumer	411.05
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	(11.09)
4	Surplus/ (gap) of FY 2014-15	62.50
5	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2009-10	(46.56)
6	Recovery of Past Year True-up (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2010-11	(32.06)
7	Allocation of GUVNL profit of FY 2016-17	16.22
8	<b>Total Revenue Requirement (1-2-3-4 -5-6-7)</b>	<b>4,993.79</b>
9	Revenue from Sale of Power	4,697.34
10	Other Income (Consumer related)	241.66
11	Agriculture Subsidy	73.28
12	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy (8+9+10+11)</b>	<b>5,012.28</b>
13	<b>Subsidy Receivable from State Government</b>	<b>86.87</b>
14	<b>Revised (Surplus)/ Gap after treating gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (8-12-13)</b>	<b>(105.36)</b>



## 5 ARR and Gap for FY 2018-19

### 5.1 Revenue from Existing Tariff FY 2018-19

MGVCL has estimated the category-wise revenue based on existing tariff at Rs. 4549.00 Crore in respect of category-wise sale in terms of MUs for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 5.1: Sales and Revenue from existing tariff projected for FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units (MUs)	Amount (Rs. Crore)
<b>A</b>	<b>LT Consumers</b>		
1	RGP	2,831	1,224.30
2	GLP	71	29.70
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1,571	838.15
4	Public Water Works	288	103.15
5	Agriculture-Metered	1,070	101.23
6	Agriculture-Unmetered	472	66.62
7	Street Light	65	26.29
	<b>LT Total (A)</b>	<b>6,366</b>	<b>2,389.43</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>HT Consumers</b>		
1	Industrial HT	3,534	2,159.57
2	Railway Traction		-
	<b>HT Total (B)</b>	<b>3,534</b>	<b>2,159.57</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A + B)</b>	<b>9,900</b>	<b>4,549.00</b>

### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that it has considered sale of 9900 MUs to different categories of consumers approved in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 as shown in the Table above and the existing retail tariff to work out the revenue from sale of power of Rs. 4549 Crore for FY 2018-19.

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission observed that the category-wise sales, as considered by MGVCL is as per the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017 for FY 2018-19. Taking into consideration the same and the existing tariff for each category, the Commission has computed the revenue from sale of power for FY 2018-19 as detailed in the Table below:



**Table 5.2: Approved Sales and Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units (MUs)	Amount (Rs. Crore)
<b>A</b>	<b>LT Consumers</b>		
1	RGP	2,831	1,224.30
2	GLP	71	29.70
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1,571	838.15
4	Public Water Works	288	103.15
5	Agriculture-Metered	1,070	101.23
6	Agriculture- Unmetered	472	66.62
7	Street Light	65	26.29
	<b>LT Total (A)</b>	<b>6,366</b>	<b>2,389.43</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>HT Consumers</b>		
1	Industrial HT	3,534	2,159.57
2	Railway Traction		-
	<b>HT Total (B)</b>	<b>3,534</b>	<b>2,159.57</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A + B)</b>	<b>9,900</b>	<b>4,549.00</b>

## 5.2 Revenue from FPPPA Charges

MGVCL has estimated revenue from FPPPA charges for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 5.3: Projected Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19**

Particulars	Amount
Rate of FPPPA (Rs./kWh)	1.49
Sales (MUs)	9900
FPPPA Charges (Rs. Crore)	1,475.10

### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that in the MYT Order dated 31.03.2017 for Control Period FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21, the Commission has considered the base power purchase cost at Rs. 4.17/unit and base FPPPA at Rs. 1.43/unit. As per approved FPPPA formula, any increase in power purchase cost during the year over the base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.17/unit is to be recovered through FPPPA over and above base FPPPA of Rs. 1.43/unit on quarterly basis. As per approved ARR for FY 2018-19, the weighted average power purchase cost is worked out to Rs. 4.22/unit as against base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.17/unit. Thus, the incremental power purchase cost of Rs. 0.05/unit for FY 2018-19 (i.e. Rs. 4.22 - 4.17) grossed up with losses works out to Rs. 0.06/unit which will be recovered through FPPPA over and above base FPPPA of Rs. 1.43/unit. totaling to Rs. 1.49/unit during FY 2018-19 as shown in the Table below:



**Table 5.4: FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Particulars	2017-18	2018-19
1	Fixed Cost	12,913	13,520
2	Variable Cost	18,302	19,523
3	GETCO Cost	3,407	3,749
4	GUVNL Cost	350	368
5	PGCIL Charge	1,591	1,670
6	SLDC Charge	16	8
<b>7</b>	<b>Total Power Purchase Cost</b>	<b>36,579</b>	<b>38,838</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Total Energy Requirements</b>	<b>87,619</b>	<b>91,973</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Power Purchase Cost (Rs. /kWh)</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>4.22</b>
10	Increase in Power Purchase Cost		0.05
11	Additional FPPPA Charges (Grossed up by Distribution Loss @ 18.32%)		0.06
12	Existing FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)		1.43
<b>13</b>	<b>Revised FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)</b>		<b>1.49</b>

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission allows revenue from FPPPA charges on the approved sales of 9900 MUs for FY 2018-19 at Rs. 1.49 /kWh as shown in table below.

**Table 5.5: Approved Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2018-19**

Particulars	Amount
Rate of FPPPA considered (Rs./kWh)	1.49
Sales (MUs)	9900
FPPPA Charges (Rs. Crore)	1,475.10

### 5.3 Other (Consumer related) Income

MGVCL has estimated the other consumer related income at Rs. 102.45 Crore for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

**Table 5.6: Other Consumer related Income for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Amount
Other Income Consumer Related	102.45

### Petitioner's Submission



MGVCL has submitted that the revenue from other consumer related income comprises of revenue on account of charges other than the basic charges applicable to the Consumers. These include income on account of meter rent, wheeling charges, inspection charges and miscellaneous charges.

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that MGVCL has projected the other consumer related income for FY 2018-19 as approved by the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the other consumer related income at Rs. 102.45 Crore shown in the Table below:

**Table 5.7: Approved Other Consumer related income for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Amount
Other Income Consumer Related	102.45

## 5.4 Agriculture Subsidy

### Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that the annual agricultural subsidy that was being received by the erstwhile GEB from the State Government will continue to be received by the four DISCOMs i.e. Rs 1100 Crores. The share of agricultural subsidy for FY 2018-19 is considered same level as approved by the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2017.

**Table 5.8: Agriculture Subsidy projected for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Amount
Agriculture Subsidy	74.25

### Commission's Analysis

The Commission observed the Agriculture subsidy claimed by the Petitioner is as per the MYT Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017.





The Commission, accordingly, approves the Agriculture subsidy at Rs. 74.25 Crore for FY 2018-19.:

**Table 5.9: Approved agricultural subsidy for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Amount
Agriculture Subsidy	74.25

## 5.5 Total Expected Revenue for FY 2018-19

### Petitioner's Submission

The total expected revenue for MGVCCL comprises of revenue from sale of power at existing tariff, FPPPA charges, other consumer related income and Agriculture Subsidy. Total revenue for FY 2018-19 is as shown in the Table below:

**Table 5.10: Total Revenue projected for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4,549.00
2	Base FPPPA Charges @ Rs.1.49/kWh	1,475.10
3	Other Income (Consumer related)	102.45
4	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25
5	<b>Total Revenue including subsidy (1 to 4)</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>

### Commission's Analysis

The total revenue as estimated by the Commission for FY 2018-19 is shown in the Table below:

**Table 5.11: Approved Total Revenue for FY 2018-19**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4,549.00
2	Base FPPPA Charges @ Rs.1.49/kWh	1,475.10
3	Other Income (Consumer related)	102.45
4	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25
5	<b>Total Revenue including subsidy (1 to 4)</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>

## 5.6 ARR for the FY 2018-19

The Table below shows approved Aggregate Revenue Requirement including power purchase cost of MGVCCL for the FY 2018-19.



**Table 5.12: Approved Multi-Year ARR for FY 2018-19**

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Power Purchase Expenses	5,328.11
2	O & M Expenses	427.81
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26
2.2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	59.83
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	74.23
2.4	Other Debits	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses /(Income)	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalized	(102.51)
3	Depreciation	304.84
4	Interest & Finance Charges	114.17
5	Interest on Working Capital	-
6	Bad Debts Written Off	-
7	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	-
8	<b>Total Revenue Expenditure</b>	<b>6,174.93</b>
9	Return on Equity Capital	168.36
10	Income Tax	17.59
11	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,360.89</b>
12	Less: Non-Tariff Income	137.11
14	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,223.77</b>

### 5.7 Estimated Revenue Gap / Surplus for FY 2018-19

Based on the above, the estimated revenue gap / surplus for FY 2018-19 at existing tariff is as outlined in the Table below:

**Table 5.13: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2018-19 at Existing tariff**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Projected by MGVCL	Approved by the Commission
1	<b>Aggregate revenue requirement</b>	6,223.77	6,223.77
2	Revenue Gap/(Surplus) from True up of FY 2016-17	80.40	(105.36)
3	<b>Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement (1-2)</b>	<b>6,304.17</b>	<b>6,118.41</b>
4	Revenue with existing tariff	4,549.00	4,549.00
5	FPPPA charges @ Rs.1.49/kWh	1,475.10	1,475.10
6	Other income (Consumer related)	102.45	102.45
7	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25	74.25
8	<b>Total Revenue including Subsidy (4+5+6+7)</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>	<b>6,200.80</b>
9	<b>Gap/(Surplus) (3-8)</b>	<b>103.36</b>	<b>(82.39)</b>



## 6 Compliance of directives

### 6.1 Compliance to earlier Directives

The Commission had given various directives to MGVCCL in the Order dated 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017, compliance of which is mentioned below:

#### **Directive 1: Losses on JGY feeders**

DISCOMs are directed to identify the feeders with more than 50 % loss level and 5% loss reduction should be targeted for such feeders.

#### **Compliance:**

MGVCCL had identified 122 Nos. of JGY feeders with more than 50 % loss and targeted for 5% for loss reduction. MGVCCL has nominated feeder manager of each feeder. It has been directed to analyze the causes of high Dist. Losses and prepare the detailed micro level action plan to reduce these losses for the JGY feeders. Intensive checking drives with surveillance have been increased on these sensitive areas. Also, every month in SE's conference, review of distribution losses / Action taken report of high loss JGY feeders are being reviewed at management level. Further, MGVCCL had appointed senior level officer as nodal officer for monitoring of distribution losses of Dahod, Lunawada, Godhra and Bodeli divisions

The meeting with concern feeder manager/SDO is called by the Ex Eng every month, SE also review once in two months and CE once in six month. In this meeting exhaustive review of each feeder is taken along with other remedial actions which are required to achieve the target in time bound manner. Also, MGVCCL is arranging surprise visit of higher officers to review progress and resolve problems at subdivision level.

It was observed that, out of 122 Nos of selected high loss feeders, Dist. Loss have decreased in 92 Nos. as on Aug-17 as compare to Aug-16. Further, in 47 Nos. of feeders' distribution losses are decreased even more than 5% .Overall distributions losses for selected 122 numbers of JGY feeders are decreased from 68.20% (Aug-16) to 64.14% (Aug-17).

#### **Commission's comments:**

Commission noted the compliance submitted by the Petitioner. It is observed that there are number of JGY feeders which are still having more than 50% loss level and the same needs to be reduced on priority. The Petitioner needs to identify such feeders and 5% loss reduction



should be targeted for such feeders. MGVCCL should submit quarterly report to the Commission, indicating loss reduction achieved for such feeders.

**Directive 2: Energy Audit and Segregation of HT & LT losses**

The Commission has directed MGVCCL to submit the report at the earliest.

**Compliance:**

GUVNL vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/ARR 2016-21/430 Dated 26.04.2017 submitted report on study, undertaken by M/s Meghraj Capital Advisors Pvt Ltd for working out the exact losses in HT and LT network for all four Distribution Companies and also consolidated report of GUVNL as a whole.

**Commission's comments:**

Commission noted the compliance submitted by the Petitioner. The Commission observed from the report that as per the study carried out by the consultant, the total technical and commercial losses in the HT System works out to 7.59% and for LT System 8.73%. The Petitioner tried to achieve the level of losses as stated by the consultant in its report. The Petitioner is directed to submit the details of technical and commercial losses on HT System and LT System including different feeders, Transformers (DTC) on monthly basis to the Commission so as to monitor such losses.

**Directive 3: Subsidy for Agricultural Consumers**

Some of the stakeholders pointed out that the Discoms are not providing separate details related to Subsidy claimed, Subsidy received and outstanding subsidy with the State Government. In view of the ambitious target for clearing backlog of pending application for Agricultural connections, the Discom is directed to report progress on release of Agricultural Connections along with details related to subsidy claimed under different heads, subsidy received and outstanding subsidy from the State Government on quarterly basis.

**Compliance:**

Details related to subsidy claimed under different heads, subsidy received and outstanding subsidy from the State Government as on 31.03.2017 is submitted by GUVNL vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/MYT 16-21/560 dated 12.06.2017 and the details as on 30.06.2017 is submitted



vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/MYT 16-21/1127 dated 01.11.2017. The details related release of Agriculture connections are as under.

Nos. of Agriculture Connections released during FY 2016-17: 16707 Nos.

Nos. of Agriculture Connections released during 1<sup>st</sup>Qtr of FY 2017-18: 1524 Nos.

Nos. of Agriculture Connections released during 2<sup>nd</sup>Qtr of FY 2017-18: 1852 Nos.

**Commission's comments:**

The subsidy is received by the licensee directly from the Government. It is necessary that the subsidy is received in advance and in timely manner by the Licensee otherwise it will affect the cash flow of the licensee. The Commission therefore directs the Licensee to ensure that they receive the subsidy in time by pursuing with the State Government. The State Government is advised to release the subsidy amount to the Distribution Licensee as approved in the State Budget for FY 2018-19 as per the provisions of Section 65 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

**Directive 4: Replacement of Defective Meters**

It is observed that a high number of defective meters exist in the system. Such a high number of defective meters in the system is not only affecting revenues of the Discom but it also is resulting into consumer grievances. Discom is directed to prepare detailed action plan for replacement of defective meters and submit the same by 30<sup>th</sup> June 2017.

**Compliance:**

Meter replacement task is continuous process. Every month faulty and defective meters are added and same are being replaced. At the beginning of FY-17-18, total 1470 meters were pending for replacement and 50497 numbers of meters were found defective/faulty up to Sep-17 and against total 51967 Nos. of faulty/defective meters, 50588 numbers of faulty/defective meters are replaced. As a result, at the end of second quarter (up to Sept-17), only 1379 numbers of faulty meters were pending for replacement. These pending meters will be replaced within current month.

**Commission's comments:**

The Commission observed that the Licensee has made efforts for replacement of meters as directed by the Commission. As the meter accuracy is directly linked with the revenue, it is necessary to ensure correct functioning of the meters so that the energy consumption is



reflected correctly and accordingly the correct revenue is earned from the consumers against cost of supply.

### **Directive 5: Rooftop Solar Installations**

Discoms is directed to report the progress with the details like number of applications received, kW requested, approval given, installation completed, grid connectivity provided and electricity generated from such installation on quarterly basis.

### **Compliance:**

Details of Rooftop Solar Installations are as Under:

Sr. No.	Quarter	Applicati on Received Nos.	KW Request ed	Approv al Given Nos.	Installatio ns Complete d Nos.	Grid Connectivity Provided Nos.	Electricity Generated (kWh)
1	Jan-Mar 2017	354	5317.15	354	290	290	176977
2	April-June 2017	1068	6274.35	1068	534	534	688766
3	July-Sept 2017	1581	13262	1581	1011	1011	1022645

### **Commission's comments:**

The Commission noted the compliance of the Petitioner.

### **Directive 6: Renegotiation of PPA for stranded generating stations due to non-availability of fuel or costly fuel**

Some of the stakeholders represented that there is adverse impact on tariff of the consumers because of fixed cost liability of some generating stations which are not working either due to non-availability of fuel or costly fuel. In view of the above, the Distribution Licensee is directed to explore the possibilities of reduction in fixed cost elements e.g. normative O&M charges, Return on Equity, normative interest on working capital etc. by renegotiating existing PPAs of such stranded generating stations. The Distribution Licensee is also directed to submit action taken report in this regard by 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

### **Compliance:**

Compliance is submitted by GUVNL vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/MYT 16-21/560 dated 12.06.2017



**Commission's comments:**

As far as renegotiation of the PPA for stranded generating stations is concerned, the same is carried out within the framework of the PPA executed between the parties.

**6.2 Fresh Directive**

**Directive 1** : The Commission observed recently that the demand in the State is increasing against which the availability of power is not sufficient due to various reasons. In such a situation Licensees have to procure short term power at higher rates. The Commission is of the view that Discoms/ GUVNL should endeavour to plan out power purchase in advance on priority to optimise power purchase cost with the varying demand at competitive rate so as to maintain consistent and reliable supply in the State.

**Directive 2** : In order to promote supply at higher voltages, the Commission is of the view that consumers should be appropriately incentivised for availing supply at EHV. At present there is a provision of giving rebate to such EHV consumers in terms of percentage to the energy charges. Discoms are directed to carry out a detailed study to work out cost of supply at EHV level, reduction in technical loss for supplying electricity at higher voltages, and submit it to the Commission on or before 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**Directive 3** : The Government to promote renewable energy, has promulgated a scheme for installation of solar pump for agriculture consumers thereby promoting de-centralised energy generation. The scheme has manifold benefits to the society inter-alia reduction in distribution loss and power purchase cost to the distribution company. The Commission is of the view that the benefit that shall accrue to the distribution licensees should also be shared with the farmers. In order to give a boost to the programme of the Government, the Commission contemplates appropriate tariff to be paid to the farmers by the distribution licensees for surplus energy generation from such solar pump installations. The distribution licensees are directed to explore the possibilities for promotion of such installations and a report in this regard may be submitted by 30<sup>th</sup> September 2018.

**Directive 4** : It is required to match the electricity demand curve with the availability of electricity supply and in order to optimise the overall cost of electricity supply to consumers and to move towards recovery of cost of supply according to time of use of electricity supply, Commission would like to introduce Demand Response measures. Accordingly, the Distribution



Licensee is directed to initiate study for implementing 'Demand Response' measures and submit its Action Plan accordingly by 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2018





## 7 Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

### 7.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

The Commission its Order in Case No. 1309/2013 and 1313/2013 vide dated 29.10.2013, has approved the formula as mentioned below:

$$FPPPA = [(PPCA-PPCB)] / [100-Loss \text{ in } \%]; \text{ Where,}$$

<b>PPCA</b>	is the average power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost), computed based on the operational parameters approved by the Commission or principles laid down in the Power Purchase Agreements in Rs./kWh for all the generation sources as approved by the Commission while determining ARR and who have supplied power in the given quarter and transmission charges as approved by the Commission for transmission network calculated as total power purchase cost billed in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units made during the quarter.
<b>PPCB</b>	is the approved average base power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost) for all the generating stations considered by the Commission for supplying power to the company in Rs./kWh and transmission charges as approved by the Commission calculated as the total power purchase cost approved by the Commission in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units considered by the Commission.
<b>Loss in %</b>	is the weighted average of the approved level of Transmission and Distribution losses (%) for the four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL applicable for a particular quarter or actual weighted average in Transmission and Distribution losses (%) for four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL of the previous year for which true-up have been done by the Commission, whichever is lower.

### 7.2 Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)

The Commission has approved the total energy requirement and the total Power Purchase Cost for all the DISCOMs including fixed cost, variable cost, GUVNL cost GETCO cost, PGCIL charges, SLDC charges for FY 2018-19 from various sources as given in the Table below:

**Table 7.1: Base price of Power Purchase**

(Rs. Crore)



Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Fixed Cost	13,520
2	Variable Cost	19,523
3	GETCO Cost	3,749
4	GUVNL Cost	368
5	PGCIL Charge	1,670
6	SLDC Charge	8
7	<b>Total Power Purchase Cost</b>	<b>38,838</b>
8	<b>Total Energy Requirements</b>	<b>91,973</b>
9	<b>Power Purchase Cost (Rs. / kWh)</b>	<b>4.22</b>

As mentioned above the base Power Purchase cost for the DISCOMs is **Rs. 4.22/kWh**.

GUVNL/DISCOMs may claim difference between actual power purchase cost and base power purchase cost approved in the Table above as per the approved FPPPA formula mentioned above.

Information regarding FPPPA recovery and the FPPPA calculations shall be kept on the website of the Licensee / GUVNL.

For any increase in FPPPA, worked out on the basis of above formula, beyond ten (10) paise per kWh in a quarter, prior approval of the Commission shall be necessary and only on approval of such additional increase by the Commission, the FPPPA can be billed to consumers.

FPPPA calculations shall be submitted to the Commission within one month from the end of the relevant quarter.



## 8 Wheeling charges and Cross Subsidy Surcharge

### 8.1 Allocation matrix

Regulation 87 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 of GERC stipulates that the Commission shall specify the wheeling charges of distribution wires business of the distribution licensees in its ARR and Tariff Order.

MGVCL has provided the allocation matrix for allocation of costs between wires business and retail supply business as notified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 (Regulation 87) as shown in the table below:

**Table 8.1: Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply for the FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Allocation Matrix	Wire Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0%	100%
2.1	Employee Expenses	60%	40%
2.2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	90%	10%
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	50%	50%
2.4	Other Debits	50%	50%
2.5	Extraordinary Items	50%	50%
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	25%	75%
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalized	55%	45%
3	Depreciation	90%	10%
4	Interest & Finance Charges	90%	10%
5	Interest on Working Capital & Security Deposit	10%	90%
6	Bad Debts Written off	0%	100%
7	Income tax	90%	10%
8	Return on Equity	90%	10%
9	Non-tariff Income	10%	90%

Based on the above allocation the approved ARRs for wires business and retail supply business for FY 2018-19 are computed as shown in the Table below:

**Table 8.2: Allocation of ARR between wheeling and retail supply business for FY 2018-19**

(Rs Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Distribution	Wire Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	5,328.11	-	5,328.11
2	O & M Expenses	427.81	272.34	155.47



Sr. No.	Particulars	Distribution	Wire Business	Retail Supply Business
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26	237.76	158.50
2.2	Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	59.83	53.85	5.98
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	74.23	37.12	37.12
2.4	Other Debits	-	-	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses /(Income)	-	-	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalized	(102.51)	(56.38)	(46.13)
3	Depreciation	304.84	274.36	30.48
4	Interest & Finance Charges	49.12	44.21	4.91
5	Interest on Security Deposit	65.05	6.51	58.55
6	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-
7	Bad Debts Written Off	-	-	-
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	-	-	-
9	<b>Total Revenue Expenditure</b>	<b>6,174.93</b>	<b>597.41</b>	<b>5,577.52</b>
10	Return on Equity	168.36	151.52	16.84
11	Income Tax	17.59	15.83	1.76
12	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,360.88</b>	<b>764.76</b>	<b>5,596.12</b>
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	137.11	13.71	123.40
14	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,223.77</b>	<b>751.05</b>	<b>5,472.72</b>

## 8.2 Wheeling Charges

The wheeling charges for the four Distribution Companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL for the FY 2018-19, as given below are applicable for use of the distribution system of a licensee by other licenses or generating companies or captive power plants or consumers / users who are permitted open access under Section 42 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

**Table 8.3: Wheeling charges for FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units	Amount
1	Distribution costs of the four DISCOMs	Rs. Crore	4,156.10
2	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at 11 kV level (30% of total distribution cost)	Rs. Crore	1,246.83
3	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at LT level (70% of total distribution cost)	Rs. Crore	2,909.27
4	Energy input at 11 kV	MUs	85,361.00
5	<b>Wheeling charges at 11 kV</b>	Ps./kWh	<b>14.61</b>
6	Energy Input at 400 V	MUs	51994.10
7	<b>Wheeling charges at 400 V (LT)</b>	Ps./kWh	<b>55.95</b>



Accordingly, the Commission approves wheeling charges for HT network (11 KV system) at 14.61 Paise per unit and wheeling charges for LT network (400 V system) at 55.95 Paise per unit.

### **Distribution losses**

The distribution loss at 11 kV and 400 V during FY 2018-19 are given below:

Particulars	Point of energy delivered	
	11 kV	400 Volts
11 kV, 22 kV and 33 kV	10%	13.82%
400 Volts		6.28%

The losses in HT and LT network are 10% and 6.28% respectively, with respect to energy input to the segment of the system. In case injection at 11 kV levels and drawal at LT level envisages use of both the networks i.e. 11 kV and LT, in that case, the combined loss works out to 13.82% of the energy injection at 11 kV network.

The above wheeling charges payable shall be uniform in all the four distribution companies, DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.



### 8.3 Cross Subsidy Surcharge

The Cross Subsidy Surcharge is based on the formula given in the Tariff Policy as below:

$$S = T - [C / (1 - L/100) + D + R]$$

Where,

- T is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers, including reflecting the Renewable Purchase Obligation
- C is the per unit weighted average cost of power purchase by the Licensee, including meeting the Renewable Purchase Obligation
- D is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and wheeling charge applicable to the relevant voltage level
- L is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and commercial losses, expressed as a percentage applicable to the relevant voltage level
- R is the per unit cost of carrying regulatory assets.

The Cross Subsidy Surcharge based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:

**Table 8.4: Cross Subsidy Surcharge for FY 2018-19**

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units	HT Industry
1	T	Rs/ kWh	7.34
2	C	Rs/ kWh	4.22
3	D	Paise/ kWh	14.61
4	L	%	10%
5	<b>S (Cross Subsidy Surcharge)</b>	<b>Rs/ kWh</b>	<b>2.51</b>

$$S = 7.34 - [4.22 / (1 - 0.10) + (14.61 / 100) + 0] = 2.51$$

Thus, Cross Subsidy Surcharge as per Tariff Policy, 2016 works out to Rs. 2.51 /kWh for the four State owned Distribution companies viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.

However, Tariff Policy, 2016 provides that the surcharge shall not exceed 20% of the tariff applicable to the category of the consumers seeking Open Access.



In view of above, the Commission decides to restrict the Cross Subsidy Surcharge leviable from the consumers of the four State Owned Distribution Companies, seeking Open Access, for FY 2018-19 at Rs. 1.47 /kWh for FY 2018-19.

Accordingly, Cross Subsidy Surcharge for HT Category = **1.47 Rs /kWh** for **FY 2018-19**.



## 9 Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals

### 9.1 Introduction

The Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the National Electricity Policy (NEP), the Tariff Policy, the Regulations on Terms and Conditions of Tariff issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) and the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 notified by the Commission.

Section 61 of the Act lays down the broad principles and guidelines for determination of retail supply tariff. The basic principle is to ensure that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduce the cross subsidies amongst categories within a period to be specified by the Commission.

### 9.2 DISCOMs Tariff Proposal and Changes in Tariff Structure

There are few changes/revision/modification in the tariff structure proposed by the Petitioner for FY 2018-19

#### A. Meter rent to be merged with Fixed / Demand Charges:

To address the issue of applicability of multiple taxes such as Electricity Duty and GST on different components of electricity bill like energy charge, fixed charge, meter charge etc., the Petitioner proposed to merge meter charges into fixed charge so that only one tax i.e. Electricity Duty is applicable.

#### B. Change in condition for opting LTMD by NRGPs:

##### Existing Provision

This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer covered in category – ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ so opts to be charged in place of ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ tariff.

##### Proposed Provision

This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer **having contracted load of 15 kW and above** covered in category – ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ so opts to be charged in place of ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ tariff.

#### C. Change in condition for switch over from Non-RGP to Non-RGP Night:

##### Existing Provision





The option can be exercised to switch over from Non-RGP tariff to Non-RGP Night tariff **and** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

#### **Proposed Provision**

The option can be exercised to switch over from Non-RGP tariff to Non-RGP Night tariff **or** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

#### **D. Change in condition for switch over from LTMD tariff to LTMD – Night tariff:**

##### **Existing Provision**

The option can be exercised to switch over from LTMD tariff to LTMD Night tariff **and** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

##### **Proposed Provision**

The option can be exercised to switch over from LTMD tariff to LTMD Night tariff **or** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

#### **E. Change in condition for switch over from HTP-I tariff to HTP-IV tariff:**

##### **Existing Provision**

The option can be exercised to switch over from HTP-I tariff to HTP-IV tariff **and** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

##### **Proposed Provision**

The option can be exercised to switch over from HTP-I tariff to HTP-IV tariff **or** vice versa twice in a calendar year by giving not less than one month's notice in writing.

### **9.3 Commission's Analysis**

The Commission noted that the Petitioner has proposed changes in the Tariff Structure as stated above. Some of the stakeholders have also suggested modification in retail tariff schedule. The details of proposal and suggestions considered by the Commission are given here under-

#### **1. Meter Rent-**

The State owned Distribution Licensees have proposed merging of meter charges with the fixed charges/ demand charges. Some of the stakeholders have repeatedly suggested to abolish meter rent from the electricity bill.



At present Meter Rent is being collected by the Distribution Licensee in accordance with the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Licensee's Power to Recover Expenditure incurred in providing supply and other Miscellaneous Charges) Regulations, 2005.

In view of the proposal of the petitioner, representations of the stakeholders and in exercise of the powers conferred under the aforesaid Regulations, the Commission decides to abolish Meter Charges effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2018.

## **2. Clarity for shifting tariff category for exclusive night time tariff-**

In order to reduce ambiguity and to provide more clarity in switching of tariff category from regular tariff to exclusive night time tariff and period of notice, Commission decides to reword existing condition as follows-

*'The option can be exercised to shift from regular tariff category to exclusive night time tariff or from exclusive night time tariff category to regular tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period.'*

## **3. Extending benefit of Optional Demand Based Tariff to small consumers-**

At present consumers covered under 'Rate: Non-RGP' category can opt for demand based 'Rate: LTMD' tariff. Some of the stakeholders represented that in order to encourage small consumers to opt for demand based tariff, it is required to reduce minimum billing criteria of 15 kW in Billing Demand of 'Rate: LTMD' category to 6 kW. Commission agrees with the suggestion to extend option of demand based tariff to small consumers and accordingly decides to reduce minimum billing criteria from existing 15 kW to 10 kW.

## **4. Reduction in Fixed Charges/Demand Charges for Lift Irrigation tariff-**

Association of farmers; Piyat Mandalis represented that at present electricity supply for piyat mandalis for use of surface water in irrigation is covered under category created for 'Lift Irrigation'. However, tariff for this category is higher than the normal charges applicable to individual farmer for regular supply for irrigation. Discoms have replied that the special 'Lift Irrigation' category created for 24 hour electricity supply to lift surface water for irrigation purpose and tariff is also determined accordingly. Commission observed that there is a need to encourage 'Piyat Mandalis' and use of surface water for irrigation purpose on co-operative basis and to reduce demand for separate connection of individual farmer. Accordingly, the Commission decides to reduce fixed charges for 'LTP- Lift Irrigation' category from Rs. 45 per



HP per month to Rs. 20 per HP per month and the Demand Charges for HTP-V category from Rs. 50 per kVA per month to Rs. 25 per kVA per month.

**5. Applicability of GLP tariff-**

One of the stakeholders represented that the applicability of 'Rate: GLP' may also be made applicable to the institutes which are established for charity purpose but are not allowed to register with the Charity Commissioner. The Commission decides to modify applicability criteria for 'Rate: GLP' so as to include institutes registered with the Charity Commissioner and similarly placed authority designated by the Government of India for such intended purpose.

**6. Tariff for Electric Vehicle (EV) charging facilities-**

The Commission is aware about initiative taken by the Government to encourage use of electric vehicles. One of the challenges in this regard is identified as lack of EV charging infrastructure. Commission would like to clarify that the consumers getting electricity supply under regular tariff categories may use electricity supply for EV charging under same consumer category.

Further, in order to promote creation of new EV charging facilities, Commission decides to introduce special tariff category for exclusive EV Charging infrastructure with Fixed Charges of Rs. 25 per month per installation and Energy Charges of Rs. 4.10 per kWh for LT consumer and Demand Charges of Rs. 25 per KVA per month and Energy Charges of Rs. 4.00 per kWh for HT consumer. Such consumers are also required to pay the FPPPA charges as applicable from time to time.

In view of the above referred modifications, it is estimated that the consolidated revenue of four Discoms may be affected, which will be duly considered by Commission in next ARR in truing up exercises.



### COMMISSION'S ORDER

The Commission approves the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for MGCVCL for FY 2018-19 as shown in the Table below:

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2018-19
1	Power Purchase Expenses	5,328.11
2	O & M Expenses	427.81
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26
2.2	Repair & Maintenance Expenses	59.83
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	74.23
2.4	Other Debits	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses /(Income)	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalized	(102.51)
3	Depreciation	304.84
4	Interest & Finance Charges	114.17
5	Interest on Working Capital	-
6	Bad Debts written Off	-
7	Contribution to contingency reserves	-
8	<b>Total Revenue Expenditure</b>	<b>6,174.93</b>
9	Return on Equity Capital	168.36
10	Income Tax	17.59
11	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,360.89</b>
12	Less: Non-Tariff Income	137.11
14	<b>Aggregate Revenue Requirement</b>	<b>6,223.77</b>

The retail supply tariffs for MGCVCL distribution area for FY 2018-19 determined by the Commission are annexed to this Order. This Order shall come into force with effect from the 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018. The revised rate shall be applicable for the electricity consumption from the 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018 onwards.

Sd/-

P. J. THAKKAR  
Member

Sd/-

K. M. SHRINGARPURE  
Member

Sd/-

ANAND KUMAR  
Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar

Date: 31/03/2018



**ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE**  
**TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT LOW TENSION, HIGH TENSION, AND**  
**EXTRA HIGH TENSION**  
**Effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2018**

**GENERAL**

1. The tariff figures indicated in this tariff schedule are the tariff rates payable by the consumers of Distribution Licensees viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.
2. These tariffs are exclusive of Electricity Duty, tax on sale of electricity, taxes and other charges levied by the Government or other competent authorities from time to time which are payable by the consumers, in addition to the charges levied as per the tariff.
3. All these tariffs for power supply are applicable to only one point of supply.
4. The charges specified are on monthly basis. Distribution Licensee may decide the period of billing and adjust the tariff rate accordingly.
5. Except in cases where the supply is used for purposes for which a lower tariff is provided in the tariff schedule, the power supplied to any consumer shall be utilized only for the purpose for which supply is taken and as provided for in the tariff.
6. The various provisions of the GERC (licensee's power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and other miscellaneous charges) Regulations, except Meter Charges, will continue to apply.
7. Conversion of Ratings of electrical appliances and equipments from kilowatt to B.H.P. or vice versa will be done, when necessary, at the rate of 0.746 kilowatt equal to 1 B.H.P.
8. The billing of fixed charges based on contracted load or maximum demand shall be done in multiples of 0.5 (one half) Horse Power or kilo watt (HP or kW) as the case may be. The fraction of less than 0.5 shall be rounded off to next 0.5. The billing of energy charges will be done on complete one kilo-watt-hour (kWh).
9. The Connected Load for the purpose of billing will be taken as the maximum load connected during the billing period.
10. The Fixed charges, minimum charges, demand charges, and the slabs of consumption of energy for energy charges mentioned shall not be subject to any adjustment on account of existence of any broken period within billing period arising from consumer supply being connected or disconnected any time within the duration of billing period for any reason.
11. Prompt payment discount on the total bill excluding all types of levies, duties or taxes levied by the Government or any other competent authorities and but including fixed charges,



energy charges and minimum charge may be allowed at the 1% rate for all tariff categories provided that the payment is made within 7 days of presentation of bill and that no previous amount is outstanding as on the date of the bill.

12. Contract Demand shall mean the maximum kW / kVA for the supply of which licensee undertakes to provide facilities to the consumer from time to time.
13. Fuel Cost and Power Purchase Adjustment Charges shall be applicable in accordance with the Formula approved by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission from time to time.
14. Payment of penal charges for usage in excess of contract demand / load for any billing period does not entitle the consumer to draw in excess of contract demand / load as a matter of right.
15. The payment of power factor penalty does not exempt the consumer from taking steps to improve the power factor to the levels specified in the Regulations notified under the Electricity Act, 2003 and licensee shall be entitled to take any other action deemed necessary and authorized under the Act.
16. Delayed payment charges for all consumers:
  - No delayed payment charges shall be levied if the bill is paid within ten days from the date of billing (excluding date of billing).
  - Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 15% per annum in case of all consumers except Agricultural category for the period from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date. Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 12% per annum for the consumer governed under Rate AG from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date.
  - For Government dues, the delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate provided under the relevant Electricity Duty Act.



**PART - I**  
**SCHEDULE OF TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY**  
**AT LOW AND MEDIUM VOLTAGE**

**1. RATE: RGP**

This tariff is applicable to all services in the residential premises which are not covered under 'Rate: RGP (Rural)' Category.

- Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6 kW
- Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6 kW

**1.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:**

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 70/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month
---------------	-------------------

**PLUS**

**1.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:**  
**(OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	305 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 units	350 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 100 units	415 Paise per Unit
(d)	Next 50 units	425 Paise per Unit
(e)	Above 250 units	520 Paise per Unit

**1.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:**  
**FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL)\*\***



(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP

\*\*The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 30 units per month.

#### 1.4 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 1.1 above.

## 2. RATE: RGP (RURAL)

This tariff is applicable to all services for residential premises located in areas within Gram Panchayat as defined in the Gujarat Panchayats Act.

- Single-phase supply- Aggregate load up to 6 kW
- Three-phase supply- Aggregate load above 6 kW

### 2.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(d)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 70/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers:

Fixed charges	Rs. 5/- per month
---------------	-------------------

**PLUS**

### 2.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:

**(OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	265 Paise per Unit
-----	----------------	--------------------





(b)	Next 50 units	310 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 100 units	375 Paise per Unit
(d)	Next 50 units	385 Paise per Unit
(e)	Above 250 units	490 Paise per Unit

### 2.3 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:

#### FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL) \*\*

(a)	First 30 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP (Rural)

\*\*The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 30 units per month.

### 2.4 MINIMUM BILL:

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 2.1 above.

*Note: If the part of the residential premises is used for non-residential (commercial) purposes by the consumers located within 'Gram Panchayat' as defined in Gujarat Panchayat Act, entire consumption will be charged under this tariff.*

### 3. RATE: GLP

This tariff is applicable to the educational institutes and other institutions registered with the Charity Commissioner or similarly placed authority designated by the Government of India for such intended purpose and research and development laboratories.

(a)	Fixed charges	Rs. 70/- per month
(b)	Energy charges	390 Paise per Unit

### 4. RATE: NON-RGP

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff



categories and having aggregate load up to and including 40 kW.

#### 4.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

(a) First 10 kW of connected load	Rs. 50/- per kW
(b) For next 30 kW of connected load	Rs. 85/- per kW

**PLUS**

#### 4.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

(a)	For installation having contracted load up to and including 10 kW: for entire consumption during the month	435 Paise per Unit
(b)	For installation having contracted load exceeding 10 kW: for entire consumption during the month	465 Paise per Unit

#### 4.3 MINIMUM BILL PER INSTALLATION FOR SEASONAL CONSUMERS

(a) "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, huller, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fisheries industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, etc.

(b) Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.

(c) The total minimum amount under the head "Fixed and Energy Charges" payable by the seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause (a) above and complying with the provision stipulated under sub-clause (b) above shall be Rs. 1800 per annum per kW of the contracted load.

(d) The units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at a flat rate of 480 Paise per unit.

(e) The electricity bills related to the off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills related to the seasonal period only under the



heads “Fixed Charges” and “Energy Charges”, shall be taken into account while determining the amount of short-fall payable towards the annual minimum bill as specified under sub-clause (c) above.

## 5. **RATE: LTMD**

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load above 40 kW and up to 100 kW.

This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer covered in category- ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ so opts to be charged in place of ‘Rate: Non-RGP’ tariff.

### 5.1 **FIXED CHARGES:**

(a)	For billing demand up to the contract demand	
	(i) For first 40 kW of billing demand	Rs. 90/- per kW per month
	(ii) Next 20 kW of billing demand	Rs. 130/- per kW per month
	(iii) Above 60 kW of billing demand	Rs. 195/- per kW per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of the contract demand	Rs. 265/- per kW

**PLUS**

### 5.2 **ENERGY CHARGES:**

For the entire consumption during the month	460 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

**PLUS**

### 5.3 **REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all the reactive units (KVARH) drawn during the month	10 paise per KVARH
---	--------------------

### 5.4 **BILLING DEMAND**

The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- (a) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (b) Actual maximum demand registered during the month
- (c) 10 kW



## 5.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of demand charges every month based on the billing demand.

## 5.6 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING LTMD SUPPLY:

- 5.6.1** The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.
- 5.6.2** Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- 5.6.3** The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause 5.6.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub-clause 5.6.2 above shall be Rs. 2970 per annum per kW of the billing demand.
- 5.6.4** The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:
- (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
  - (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
  - (c) 10 kW
- 5.6.5** Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.

## 6. RATE: NON-RGP NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load up to 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

### 6.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:



50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate Non-RGP above.

**PLUS**

**6.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For entire consumption during the month	260 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

**NOTE:**

1. 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 6 above.
2. 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 6 above.
3. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then demand charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category demand charge rates given in para 4.1 of this schedule.
4. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category energy charge rates given in para 4.2 of this schedule.
5. In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then demand charge and entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category demand charge and energy charge rates given in para 4.1 and 4.2 respectively, of this schedule.
6. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of Non-RGP tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
7. This option can be exercised to shift from NON-RGP tariff category to NON-RGP NIGHT tariff or from NON-RGP NIGHT tariff category to NON-RGP tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period.

**7. RATE: LTMD- NIGHT**

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load above 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)



**7.1 FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:**

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate <b>LTMD</b> above.
---

**PLUS**

**7.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For entire consumption during the month	260 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

**PLUS**

**7.3 REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all reactive units (KVARH) drawn during the month	10 Paise per KVARH
---	--------------------

**NOTE:**

1. 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 7 above.
2. 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 7 above.
3. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then demand charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category demand charge rates given in para 5.1 of this schedule.
4. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category energy charge rates given in para 5.2 of this schedule.
5. In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then demand charge and entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category demand charge and energy charge rates given in para 5.1 and 5.2 respectively, of this schedule.
6. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of LTMD tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
7. This option can be exercised to shift from LTMD tariff category to LTMD-NIGHT tariff or from LTMD-NIGHT tariff category to LTMD tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period.



### 8. RATE: LTP- LIFT IRRIGATION

Applicable for supply of electricity to Low Tension Agricultural consumers contracting load up to 125 HP requiring continuous (twenty-four hours) power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river, & dam and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20/- per HP
<b>PLUS</b>		
(b)	Energy charges For entire consumption during the month	180 Paise per Unit

### 9. RATE: WWSP

This tariff shall be applicable to services used for water works and sewerage pumping purposes.

#### 9.1 **Type I** – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by other than local authority:

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 25/- per HP
<b>PLUS</b>		
(b)	Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	430 Paise per Unit

#### 9.2 **Type II** – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by local authority such as Municipal Corporation, Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board located outside Gram Panchayat Area will also attract this tariff:

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20 per HP
<b>PLUS</b>		
(b)	Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	410 Paise per Unit

#### 9.3 **Type III** – Water works and sewerage pumps operated by Municipalities / Nagarpalikas and Gram Panchayats or Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board for its installations



located in Gram Panchayats:

Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	320 Paise/Unit
--	----------------

#### 9.4 **TIME OF USE DISCOUNT:**

Applicable to all the water works consumers having connected load of 50 HP and above for the energy consumption during the Off-Peak Load Hours of the Day.

For energy consumption during the off-peak period, viz., 1100 Hrs. to 1800 Hrs.	40 Paise per Unit
For energy consumption during night hours, viz., 2200 Hrs. to 0600 Hrs. next day	85 Paise per Unit

#### 10. **RATE: AG**

This tariff is applicable to services used for irrigation purposes only excluding installations covered under LTP- Lift Irrigation category.

10.1 The rates for following group are as under:

##### 10.1.1 **HP BASED TARIFF:**

For entire contracted load	Rs. 200 per HP per month
----------------------------	--------------------------

#### **ALTERNATIVELY**

##### 10.1.2 **METERED TARIFF:**

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption	60 Paise per Unit per month

##### 10.1.3 **TATKAL SCHEME:**

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption	80 Paise per Unit per month





NOTE: The consumers under Tatkal Scheme shall be eligible for normal metered tariff as above, on completion of five years period from the date of commencement of supply.

**10.2** No machinery other than pump water for irrigation (and a single bulb or CFL up to 40 watts) will be permitted under this tariff. Any other machinery connected in the installation governed under this tariff shall be charged separately at appropriate tariff for which consumers shall have to take separate connection.

**10.3** Agricultural consumers who desire to supply water to brick manufacturing units shall have to pay Rs. 100/HP per annum subject to minimum of Rs. 2000/- per year for each brick Mfg. Unit to which water is supplied in addition to existing rate of HP based / metered agricultural tariff.

**10.4** Such Agricultural consumers shall have to pay the above charges for a full financial year irrespective of whether they supply water to the brick manufacturing unit for full or part of the Financial Year.

Agricultural consumers shall have to declare their intention for supply of the water to such brick manufacturing units in advance and pay charges accordingly before commencement of the financial year (i.e. in March every year).

## **11. RATE: SL**

### **11.1 Tariff for Street Light for Local Authorities and Industrial Estates:**

This tariff includes the provision of maintenance, operation and control of the street lighting system.

#### **11.1.1 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all the units consumed during the month:	405 Paise per Unit
--	--------------------

#### **11.1.2 OPTIONAL KVAH CHARGES:**

For all the kVAh units consumed during the month:	305 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

#### **11.1.3 Renewal and Replacements of Lamps:**

The consumer shall arrange for renewal, maintenance and replacement of lamp, associated Fixture, connecting wire, disconnecting device, switch including time switch etc. at his cost by



person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

#### **11.1.4 Maintenance other than Replacement of Lamps:**

Maintenance of the street lighting conductor provided on pole to connect the street light shall be carried out by Distribution Licensee.

### **11.2 Tariff for power supply for street lighting purposes to consumers other than the local authorities and industrial estates:**

#### **11.2.1 FIXED CHARGES:**

Rs. 30 per kW per month
-------------------------

#### **11.2.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all units consumed during the month
---

405 Paise per kWh
-------------------

#### **11.2.3 Renewal and Replacement of Lamps:**

The consumer shall arrange for renewal, maintenance and replacement of lamp, associated Fixture, connecting wire, disconnecting device, switch including time switch etc. at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 / Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

#### **11.2.4 Maintenance other than Replacement of Lamps:**

Maintenance of the street lighting conductor provided on pole to connect the street light shall be carried out by Distribution Licensee.

### **12. RATE: TMP**

This tariff is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at the low voltage. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

#### **12.1 FIXED CHARGE**



Fixed Charge per Installation	Rs. 15 per kW per Day
-------------------------------	-----------------------

## 12.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	465 Paise per Unit
----------------	--------------------

*Note: Payment of bills is to be made within seven days from the date of issue of the bill. Supply would be disconnected for non-payment of dues on 24 hours' notice.*

## 13. RATE: LT ELECTRIC VEHICLE (EV) CHARGING STATIONS

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **exclusively** for Electric Vehicle Charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. RGP, RGP (RURAL), GLP, LTMD, NON-RGP NIGHT, LTMD-NIGHT, etc. as the case may be.

### 13.1 FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Fixed Charge	Rs. 25 per installation
--------------	-------------------------

### 13.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE ENTIRE MONTHLY CONSUMPTION

ENERGY CHARGE	410 Paise per Unit
---------------	--------------------



**PART - II**

**TARIFFS FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT HIGH TENSION  
(3.3 KV AND ABOVE, 3-PHASE 50 HERTZ), AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION**

The following tariffs are available for supply at high tension for large power services for contract demand not less than 100 kVA

**14. RATE: HTP-I**

This tariff will be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers contracted for 100 kVA and above for regular power supply and requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other HT Categories.

**14.1 DEMAND CHARGES:**

**14.1.1** For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 150/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 260/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 475/- per kVA per month

**14.1.2** For Billing Demand in Excess of Contract Demand

For billing demand in excess over the contract demand	Rs. 555 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------

**PLUS**

**14.2 ENERGY CHARGES**

For entire consumption during the month		
(a)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	400 Paise per Unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	420 Paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	430 Paise per Unit

**PLUS**

**14.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:**



For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs. to 1100 Hrs. and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.	
(a) For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	45 Paise per Unit
(b) For Billing Demand above 500 kVA	85 Paise per Unit

#### **14.4 BILLING DEMAND:**

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (c) One hundred kVA

#### **14.5 MINIMUM BILLS:**

Payment of “demand charges” based on kVA of billing demand.

#### **14.6 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

##### **14.6.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:**

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

##### **14.6.2 Power Factor Rebate:**

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

#### **14.7 MAXIMUM DEMAND AND ITS MEASUREMENT:**



The maximum demand in kW or kVA, as the case may be, shall mean an average kW / kVA supplied during consecutive 30/15 minutes or if consumer is having parallel operation with the grid and has opted for 3 minutes, period of maximum use where such meter with the features of reading the maximum demand in KW/KVA directly, have been provided.

#### **14.8 CONTRACT DEMAND:**

The contract demand shall mean the maximum KW/KVA for the supply, of which the supplier undertakes to provide facilities from time to time.

#### **14.9 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV:**

<b>On Energy charges:</b>		<b>Rebate @</b>
(a)	If supply is availed at 33/66 kV	0.5%
(b)	If supply is availed at 132 kV and above	1.0%

#### **14.10 CONCESSION FOR USE OF ELECTRICITY DURING NIGHT HOURS:**

For the consumer eligible for using supply at any time during 24 hours, entire consumption shall be billed at the energy charges specified above. However, the energy consumed during night hours of 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next morning shall be eligible for concession at the rate of 40 Paise per unit.

#### **14.11 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING HT SUPPLY:**

**14.11.1** The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers etc.

**14.11.2** Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.

**14.11.3** The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by



a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause 14.11.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub-clause 14.11.2 above shall be Rs. 4550 per annum per kVA of the billing demand.

**14.11.4** The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
- (c) One hundred kVA.

**14.11.5** Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 430 Paise per unit.

**14.11.6** Electricity bills paid during off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills for seasonal period only under the heads "Demand Charges" and "Energy Charges" shall be taken into account while determining the amount payable towards the annual minimum bill.

## **15. RATE HTP-II**

Applicability: This tariff shall be applicable for supply of energy to HT consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for Water Works and Sewerage pumping stations run by Local Authorities and GW & SB. GIDC Water Works.

### **15.1 DEMAND CHARGES:**

**15.1.1** For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 115/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 225/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 290/- per kVA per month

**15.1.2** For billing demand in excess of contract demand

For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 360 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------

**PLUS**

### **15.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**



For entire consumption during the month		
(b)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	435 Paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit
(d)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	465 Paise per Unit

**PLUS**

**15.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:**

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs. to 1100 Hrs. and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.		
(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	45 Paise per Unit
(b)	For Billing Demand above 500 kVA	85 Paise per Unit

**15.4** Billing demand

**15.5** Minimum bill

**15.6** Maximum demand and its measurement

**15.7** Contract Demand

**15.8** Rebate for supply at EHV

**15.9** Concession for use of electricity during night hours



Same as per  
HTP-I Tariff

**15.10 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

**15.10.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:**

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

**15.10.2 Power Factor Rebate:**





If the power factor of the consumer's installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

**16. RATE: HTP-III**

This tariff shall be applicable to a consumer taking supply of electricity at high voltage, contracting for not less than 100 kVA for temporary period. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

**16.1 DEMAND CHARGES:**

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 18/- per kVA per day
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 20/- per kVA per day

**PLUS**

**16.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all units consumed during the month	660 Paise/Unit
---	----------------

**PLUS**

**16.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:**

Additional charge for energy consumption during two peak periods, viz., 0700 Hrs. to 1100 Hrs. and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.	85 Paise per Unit
---	-------------------

**16.4 Billing demand**

**16.5 Minimum bill**

**16.6 Maximum demand and its measurement**

**16.7 Contract Demand**

**16.8 Rebate for supply at EHV**

} Same as per  
HTP-I Tariff

**16.9 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

**16.9.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:**



- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

### 16.9.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

## 17 RATE: HTP-IV

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers opting to use electricity exclusively during night hours from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day and contracted for regular power supply of 100 kVA and above.

### 17.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of the Fixed Charges specified in Rate HTP-I above.
---

**PLUS**

### 17.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month
---

225 Paise per Unit
--------------------

### 17.3 Billing demand



**17.4 Minimum bill**

**17.5 Maximum demand and its measurement**

Same as per HTP-I Tariff

**17.6 Contract Demand**

**17.7 Rebate for supply at EHV**

**17.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

**17.8.1** Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

**17.8.2 Power Factor Rebate:**

If the power factor of the consumer's installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

**NOTE:**

1. 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 16 above.
2. 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 16 above.
3. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then demand charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per HTP-I category demand charge rates given in para 14.1 of this schedule.
4. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed



as per HTP-I category energy charge rates given in para 14.2 of this schedule.

5. In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then demand charge and entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per HTP-I category demand charge and energy charge rates given in para 14.1 and 14.2 respectively, of this schedule.
6. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of HTP-I tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
7. This option can be exercised to shift from HTP-I tariff category to HTP-IV tariff or from HTP-IV tariff category to HTP-I tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period

## 18 RATE: HTP- V

### HT - Agricultural (for HT Lift Irrigation scheme only)

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to High Tension Agricultural consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river and dam, and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

#### 18.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

Demand Charges Rs. 25 per kVA per month
---

PLUS

#### 18.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	180 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

18.3 Billing demand

18.4 Minimum bill

18.5 Maximum demand and its measurement

18.6 Contract Demand

18.7 Rebate for supply at EHV

} Same as per  
HTP-I Tariff



## **18.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

### **18.8.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:**

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

### **18.8.2 Power Factor Rebate:**

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

## **19 RATE: RAILWAY TRACTION**

This tariff is applicable for power supply to Railway Traction at 132 kV/66 kV.

### **19.1 DEMAND CHARGES:**

(a)	For billing demand up to the contract demand	Rs. 180 per kVA per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 425 per kVA per month

NOTE: In case of the load transfer for traction supply due to non-availability of power supply at preceding or succeeding point of supply or maintenance at Discom’s level, excess demand over the contract demand shall be charged at normal rate at appropriate point of supply.

Normal Demand Charges will also apply in case of bunching of trains. However, Discoms shall charge excess demand charges while raising the bills and Railways have to give convincing details and documentary proof of bunching of trains if they want to be charged at the normal



demand charges. If satisfactory proof of bunching of trains is provided, Discom shall consider that occasion for normal demand charges, otherwise excess demand charges will be applicable specified as above at 19.1 (b).

**PLUS**

**19.2 ENERGY CHARGES:**

For all units consumed during the month	500 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

**19.3 Billing demand**

**19.4 Minimum bill**

**19.5 Maximum demand and its measurement**

**19.6 Contract Demand**

**19.7 Rebate for supply at EHV**

} Same as per  
HTP-I Tariff

**19.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:**

**19.8.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:**

- (a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 19.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- (b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 19.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

**19.8.2 Power Factor Rebate:**

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 19.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.



**20 RATE: HT ELECTRIC VEHICLE (EV) CHARGING STATIONS**

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **exclusively** for Electric Vehicle Charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. HTP-I, HTP-II, HTP-III, HTP-IV, HTP-V, RAILWAY TRACTION as the case may be.

**20.1 DEMAND CHARGES:**

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 25/- per kVA per Month
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 50/- per kVA per Month

**PLUS**

**20.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION**

ENERGY CHARGE	400 Paise per Unit
---------------	--------------------

**20.3 BILLING DEMAND:**

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- (a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- (b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- (c) One hundred kVA

